## Math 309 Assignment 5 Solution

Problem 1. (a) We need one (free) dual variable  $\lambda$  for the equality constraint  $x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 6$  and three non-negative dual variables  $s = (s_1, s_2, s_3)$  for  $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0$ . Therefore the original problem is equivalent to

$$\min_{x \text{ free}} \max_{\substack{\lambda \text{ free,} \\ s_1 \ge 0, s_2 \ge 0, s_3 \ge 0}} x_1 + x_2 - \lambda (x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 - 6) - s_1 x_1 - s_2 x_2 - s_3 x_3$$

The dual problem is obtained by interchange the order of min and max, i.e.,

$$\max_{\substack{\lambda \text{ free,} \\ s_1 \ge 0, s_2 \ge 0, s_3 \ge 0}} \min_{x \text{ free}} x_1 + x_2 - \lambda(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 - 6) - s_1 x_1 - s_2 x_2 - s_3 x_3$$

The coefficients of both  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  must vanish (or taking the gradient), which gives the condition

$$1 - \lambda - s_1 = 0$$
,  $1 - 2\lambda - s_2 = 0$ ,  $-3\lambda - s_3 = 0$ .

The objective function for the dual problem is simplified as  $6\lambda$ . Therefore, the dual problem is

max 
$$6\lambda$$
  
subject to  $\lambda + s_1 = 1$ ,  
 $2\lambda + s_2 = 1$ ,  
 $3\lambda + s_3 = 0$ ,  
 $s_1 > 0, s_2 > 0, s_3 > 0$ .

(b) The step length  $\alpha$  is determined by the constrants that  $x^0 + \alpha \Delta x \geq 0$  and  $s^0 + \Delta s \geq 0$  ( $\lambda$  is free, no conditions for it). This implies the following inequalities

$$x^{0} + \alpha \Delta x = \begin{pmatrix} 4 + \alpha \\ 1 + \alpha \\ -\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ge 0, \quad s^{0} + \alpha \Delta s = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \alpha \\ 3 - 2\alpha \\ 3 - 3\alpha \end{pmatrix} \ge 0.$$

The intersection of all these inequalities is  $\alpha = 0$  ( $\alpha$  should be non-negative) and we have  $x^1 = x^0$ .

- (c) Since the complementarity conditions  $x_i s_i = 0$  are obviously violated at  $x^1$ , this is not a local minimizer.
  - 2. Since  $\beta_{\mu}$  is unconstrained, the local minimizer is given by

$$\beta'_{\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{\mu}{x} = 0$$

or the minimizer (depending on  $\mu$ ) is  $x(\mu) = \mu/(1-\mu)$ . We have

$$\lim_{\mu \to 0^+} x(\mu) = 0 = x^*,$$

which is the global minimizer.

3. (a) If we choose  $c_1$  as the only active constraint, then the subproblem is

max 
$$\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 3)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$$
 subject to 
$$2x_1 - x_2 = 0.$$

The minizer is  $x^1 = (11/9, 22/9)$  and the Lagrange Multiplier is  $\lambda_1 = -8/9 < 0$ . This implies that  $c_1$  can not be a local minimizer of the original problem and  $c_1$  is not active.

(b) We have an unconstrained problem at  $x^1$  with

$$\nabla f(x^1) = \begin{pmatrix} -16/9 \\ 8/9 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \nabla^2 f(x^1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The search direction is given by  $p^1 = -(\nabla^2 f(x^1))^{-1} \nabla f(x^1) = \binom{16/9}{-4/9}$ . The step length  $\alpha$  is determined either when the global minimizer is obtained inside the feasible region or  $x^1 + \alpha p^1$  stays on the boundary of the feasible set (some constraints become active). For this case, the global minimizer along the line  $x^1 + \alpha p^1 = (\frac{11-16\alpha}{9}, \frac{22+8\alpha}{9})$  is outside the feasible region. we have to choose the largest non-negative  $\alpha$  in  $x^1 + \alpha p^2$  while satisfying the constraints,

$$2\frac{11-16\alpha}{9} - \frac{22+8\alpha}{9} \ge 0, \quad -\frac{11-16\alpha}{9} - \frac{22+8\alpha}{9} \ge -4, \quad -\frac{22+8\alpha}{9} \ge 0,$$

or  $0 \le \alpha \le 1/4$ . Therefore  $\alpha^1 = 1/4$ ,  $x^2 = (5/3, 7/3)$  and  $c_2$  becomes active. Finally if we take  $c_2$  as the only active constraint, we can get the global minimizer  $x^* = (7/3, 5/3)$ .