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There was an error in the implementation of equation (5.2) of [1] in the R package included in the Supplementary Material. When corrected, this led to the following amendments:

- The $95 \%$ credible intervals for $\phi E$ for the CDET data using the ST model at the end of section 5.2 and the GT model in paragraph 3 of section 5.3 are now $1360-1380 \mathrm{MPa}$ in both cases.
- Figures $5,7,9,11,12$ and 13 have been updated below. Figures 6,8 and 10 are also affected, theoretically, but the changes to those figures are imperceptible by visual inspection.
- We have updated the R package and figures 5-8 in the additional mathematical material in the Supplementary Material.

All other results and the conclusions drawn are unaffected.


Figure 5: Plots of the posterior distributions calculated using the RWM algorithm on synthetic data. Main diagonal: marginal posteriors. Lower half: twodimensional contour plots of the joint distributions. Upper half: posterior correlations between parameters. The parameter values used to create the synthetic data are represented by a red line on the posteriors and a black dot on the contour plots. For the correlation values, three asterisks represent $p<0.001$. In order to create this figure, the 1 million samples were thinned by a factor of 10 .
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Figure 7: Approximate posteriors and contour plots of the parameters for the SDFT data. Samples were thinned by a factor of 10 .


Figure 9: Approximate posteriors and contour plots of the parameters for the CDET data. Samples were thinned by a factor of 10 .


Figure 11: Approximate posteriors and contour plots of the parameters of the GT model for the SDFT data. Samples were thinned by a factor of 10 .


Figure 12: Approximate posteriors and contour plots of the parameters of the GT model for the CDET data. Samples were thinned by a factor of 10 .


Figure 13: Histograms of $(2 c-b-a) /(b-a)$ for (a) the SDFT data and (b) the CDET data; (2c-$b-a) /(b-a)$ ranges between $-1(c=a)$ and $1(c=b)$ and 0 corresponds to an ST distribution.
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