

1. We have that  $z^*A^*Az = \|Az\|_2^2 \geq 0 \forall z \neq 0$ . Since  $\text{rank}(A) = n$ ,  $A$  has full rank, that is,  $Az = 0$  iff  $z = 0$ . Hence  $z^*A^*Az > 0$  for all nonzero  $z$  which shows that  $A^*A$  is Hermitian positive definite and therefore nonsingular.

Let  $X = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*$ . Then it is easy to check that  $X$  satisfies the four Moore–Penrose conditions.

2.

For any  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) + \dim(\text{null}(A)) = n$ . Hence  $\dim(\text{null}(A)) = n - r$  and  $\dim(\text{range}(A)) = \text{rank}(A) = r$ .

From  $AV = U\Sigma$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} Av_i &= \sigma_i u_i, \quad (\sigma_i \neq 0) \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ Av_i &= 0, \quad i = r + 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

$U$  unitary  $\Rightarrow$  the vectors  $u_i, i = 1, \dots, r$ , are linearly independent and therefore  $\text{range}(A) = \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$ .

$V$  unitary  $\Rightarrow$  the vectors  $v_i, i = r + 1, \dots, n$ , are linearly independent and therefore  $\text{null}(A) = \text{span}\{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ .

3. Let  $A$  have the SVD  $A = U\Sigma V^*$ . By the unitary invariance of the 2 and Frobenius norms,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_2 &= \|\Sigma\|_2 = \sigma_1, \\ \|A\|_F &= \|\Sigma\|_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{n}\|A\|_2$$

(in fact, we can replace  $\sqrt{n}$  by  $\sqrt{r}$ , where  $r = \text{rank}(A)$ ).

There is equality on the left when  $\sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_n = 0$ , that is, when  $A$  has rank 1 ( $A = xy^*$ ) or  $A = O$ . There is equality on the right when  $\sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_n = \alpha$ , that is, when  $A = \alpha Q$  where  $Q$  is unitary,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

4. The easiest is to notice that

$$\|AX - I_m\|_F^2 = \|A[x_1, \dots, x_m] - [e_1, \dots, e_m]\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \|Ax_i - e_i\|_2^2,$$

which is minimized if  $\|Ax_i - e_i\|_2$  is minimized for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Thus we have  $m$  simultaneous, but independent, LS problems. The solution is  $x_i = A^+e_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ , that is,  $X = A^+I_m = A^+$ . This is the unique solution only if  $A$  has full rank, but it is always the unique *minimum F-norm* solution. An alternative proof is to consider  $f(\alpha) = \|A(X + \alpha Y) - I_m\|_F^2$  and derive conditions for  $f(0) = \min_{\alpha} f(\alpha)$ . Another (longer) proof uses the SVD of  $A$  and works from first principles.

5. (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - QB\|_F^2 &= \text{trace}((A - QB)^T(A - QB)) \\ &= \text{trace}(A^T A) + \text{trace}(B^T Q^T QB) - \text{trace}(B^T Q^T A) - \text{trace}(A^T QB) \\ &= \text{const} - 2 \text{trace}(A^T QB), \end{aligned}$$

where const denotes a term independent of  $Q$ . Hence minimizing  $\|A - QB\|_F$  is equivalent to maximizing  $\text{trace}(A^T QB)$ .

(ii) We have

$$\text{trace}(A^T QB) = \text{trace}(QBA^T) = \text{trace}(QU\Sigma V^T) = \text{trace}(V^T QU\Sigma) = \text{trace}(Z\Sigma).$$

Since  $Z$  is orthogonal, all its elements lie between  $-1$  and  $1$ , hence  $\text{trace}(Z\Sigma) = \sum_i z_{ii}\sigma_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i$ .

(iii) Equality holds for  $Z = I$ , that is,  $Q = VU^T$ .

(iv) Theorem: Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Then  $\|A - QB\|_F$  with  $Q$  orthogonal is minimized by  $Q = VU^T$ , where  $BA^T = U\Sigma V^T$  is an SVD.

6. Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be orthogonal projectors onto  $S$ . Since  $\text{range}(P_1) = \text{range}(P_2)$ ,  $P_2 = P_1X$  for some  $X$ . Then  $P_1P_2 = P_1^2X = P_1X = P_2$ . Likewise,  $P_2P_1 = P_1$ . Hence, for any  $z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_1 - P_2)z\|_2^2 &= z^*(P_1 - P_2)(P_1 - P_2)z \\ &= z^*(P_1^2 + P_2^2 - P_1P_2 - P_2P_1)z \\ &= z^*(P_1 + P_2 - P_2 - P_1)z = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $P_1 - P_2 = O$ .

7.

(i) Let  $A = U\Sigma V^*$  be the singular value decomposition of  $A$ ,  $r = \text{rank}(A)$  and  $U = [u_1, \dots, u_m]$ . Then in a similar way to Exercise ?? we find that  $\text{null}(A^*) = \text{span}\{u_{r+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ . But by (??),  $\text{range}(A) = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$  so  $(\text{range}(A))^\perp = \text{span}\{u_{r+1}, \dots, u_m\}$  and hence,  $(\text{range}(A))^\perp = \text{null}(A^*)$ . It follows that  $(\text{range}(A^*))^\perp = \text{null}(A)$  and hence  $\text{range}(A^*) = (\text{null}(A))^\perp$ .

(ii)  $AA^+$  is Hermitian by condition (iii) of the Moore–Penrose conditions, and  $(AA^+)^2 = AA^+AA^+ = AA^+$  by condition (i) of the Moore–Penrose conditions. It remains to show that  $\text{range}(AA^+) = \text{range}(A)$ .

Let  $x \in \text{range}(A)$ , so that  $x = Ay$  for some  $y$ . Then, by condition (i) of the Moore–Penrose conditions,  $x = AA^+Ay = AA^+x$ , so  $x \in \text{range}(AA^+)$ . Conversely,  $x \in \text{range}(AA^+)$  implies  $x = AA^+y$  for some  $y$  and then  $x = A(A^+y) \in \text{range}(A)$ . Thus  $P_{\text{range}(A)} = AA^+$  is the orthogonal projector onto  $\text{range}(A)$ . Since  $(\text{range}(A))^\perp = \text{null}(A^*)$ ,  $P_{\text{null}(A^*)} = I - AA^+$  is the orthogonal projector onto  $\text{null}(A^*)$ .

By the first part, the orthogonal projector onto  $\text{range}(A^*)$  is  $A^*(A^+)^* = A^*(A^+)^* = (A^+A)^* = A^+A$ . Since  $(\text{range}(A^*))^\perp = \text{null}(A)$ ,  $P_{\text{null}(A)} = I - A^+A$  is the orthogonal projector onto  $\text{null}(A)$ .

(iii) Computing the products  $AA^+$  and  $A^+A$  reveals that

$$AA^+ = U\Sigma V^*V\Sigma^+U^* = U \begin{bmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} U^* = U_1U_1^*, \quad A^+A = V\Sigma^+U^*U\Sigma V^* = V \begin{bmatrix} I_r & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} V^* = V_1V_1^*$$

and since  $U$  and  $V$  are unitary,  $U_2U_2^* = I - U_1U_1^*$  and  $V_2V_2^* = I - V_1V_1^*$ . The result follows from (ii).

8. It is easy to verify that  $x^+ = x^*/(x^*x)$  satisfies the four Moore–Penrose conditions.

9. Yes. This follows from the symmetry in  $A$  and  $X$  of the Moore–Penrose conditions (i.e., the roles of  $A$  and  $X$  can be interchanged).