

1. (i)

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [1 & 2] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ [3 & 4] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ [5 & 6] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+4 \\ 3+8 \\ 5+12 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 11 \\ 17 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & | & 2 \\ 3 & | & 4 \\ 5 & | & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 11 \\ 17 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.  $A = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} e_j e_{j+1}^T.$

3. (i)

$$AA^T = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I.$$

Since  $AA^T = I$  we have  $A^{-1} = A^T = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$

(ii) Let  $Q_1, Q_2$  be orthogonal. Then  $(Q_1 Q_2)(Q_1 Q_2)^T = Q_1 Q_2 Q_2^T Q_1^T = Q_1 Q_1^T = I.$

(iii)  $1 = \det I = \det(Q^T Q) = \det Q^T \det Q = (\det Q)^2$  so  $\det(Q) = \pm 1.$

$1 = \det I = \det(U^* U) = \det U^* \det U = \overline{\det U} \det U$  so  $\det U = e^{i\theta}.$

4. (i)  $X = D - CA^{-1}B$  and  $Y = A - BD^{-1}C.$

(ii) From the block LU factorization of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  we deduce that

$$\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \right) \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & D - CA^{-1}B \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det(A) \det(D - CA^{-1}B),$$

where we have used the fact that the determinant of a block-triangular matrix is the product of determinants of the diagonal blocks, and that  $\det(I) = 1.$  Likewise, the block UL factorization of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  leads to  $\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det(D) \det(A - BD^{-1}C).$

Setting  $A = I$  and  $D = I$  in (1) yields (2). With  $B = x$  and  $C = y^*$  so that  $n = 1,$  (2) yields  $\det(I - xy^*) = \det(1 - y^*x) = 1 - y^*x.$

5. (i)

$$\begin{aligned} (A + uv^*)(A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}uv^*A^{-1}}{1 + v^*A^{-1}u}) &= I - \frac{uv^*A^{-1}}{1 + v^*A^{-1}u} + uv^*A^{-1} - \frac{uv^*A^{-1}uv^*A^{-1}}{1 + v^*A^{-1}u} \\ &= I - \frac{uv^*A^{-1}}{1 + v^*A^{-1}u}(1 + v^*A^{-1}u) + uv^*A^{-1} \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) From Exercise (4),  $\det(I + \alpha e_i e_j^T) = 1 + \alpha e_j^T e_i$ . Thus for  $i \neq j$ ,  $I + \alpha e_i e_j^T$  is always nonsingular. When  $i = j$ ,  $I + \alpha e_i e_i^T$  is nonsingular as long as  $\alpha \neq -1$ . In this case, the Sherman–Morrison formula gives  $(I + \alpha e_i e_i^T)^{-1} = I - \alpha(1 + \alpha e_i^T e_i)^{-1} e_i e_i^T$ .

6. Suppose  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent so that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i = 0$  with not all constants  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  equal to zero. Then for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $x_j^* \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i = \alpha_j x_j^* x_j = 0$  which implies that  $\alpha_j = 0$  since  $x_j^* x_j \neq 0$ .

7.

(i) Identity matrix.

(ii) Zero matrix.

(iii)  $xy^*$  idempotent when  $y^*x = 1$  and nilpotent when  $y^*x = 0$ .

(iv)  $AB = AAB B = ABAB = (AB)^2$ .

(v) Suppose  $A^2 = A$  and  $A$  nonsingular. Then  $A^{-1}(A^2) = A^{-1}A \Leftrightarrow A = I$ .

(vi)  $A^2 - I = (A - I)(A + I)$ . So  $A^2 = I$  if and only if  $(A - I)(A + I) = (A + I)(A - I) = O$ .

(vii)  $(I - 2xx^*)(I - 2xx^*) = I - 4xx^* + 4xx^* = I$ .

(viii)  $I = (I - A)(I - A)^{-1} = (I - A) \sum_{j=0}^k A^j = \sum_{j=0}^k A^j - \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} A^j = I - A^{k+1} \Rightarrow A^{k+1} = O$ .

8. (i) If  $T = AB$  is a product of upper triangular matrices, then, accounting for the zero subdiagonals of  $A$  and  $B$ ,

$$t_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj} = \sum_{k=i}^j a_{ik} b_{kj}, \tag{1}$$

and the product is zero if  $j < i$ , as required. Transposing the equation  $T = AB$  gives  $T^T = B^T A^T$ , which implies the corresponding result for lower triangular matrices.

Setting  $i = j$  in (1) gives that  $t_{ii} = e_i^T A B e_i = a_{ii} b_{ii}$ .

(ii)  $\det(A) = \prod_{j=1}^n a_{jj} \neq 0$  iff  $a_{jj} \neq 0$  for all  $j$ . Regarding the inverse, let  $T = A^{-1}$ . Since  $TA = I$  we have, equating  $(i, 1)$  elements,

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^n t_{ik} a_{k1} = t_{i1} a_{11}, \quad i > 1.$$

Since  $a_{11} \neq 0$  this implies  $t_{21} = \cdots = t_{n1} = 0$ . For  $i > j = 2$ , we then have  $0 = t_{i2}a_{22}$ , showing that  $T$  is zero beneath the diagonal in the second column. 'By induction'  $T$  is upper triangular.

Using (i) with  $B = A^{-1}$  we have that  $1 = e_j^T I e_j = e_j^T A B e_j = a_{jj} b_{jj} \Rightarrow b_{jj} = 1/a_{jj}$ .

9.

(i)  $\text{trace}(\alpha A + \beta B) = \sum \alpha a_{ii} + \sum \beta b_{ii} = \alpha \sum a_{ii} + \beta \sum b_{ii} = \alpha \text{trace}(A) + \beta \text{trace}(B)$ .

(ii) Since  $(AB)_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{ki}$ ,

$$\text{trace}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{ki} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} a_{ki} = \text{trace}(BA).$$

(iii)  $0 = S + S^T \Rightarrow \text{trace}(S + S^T) = 2 \text{trace}(S) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{trace}(S) = 0$ .

Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\text{trace}(A) = 0$  but  $A$  is not skew-symmetric.