

# Foam Recovery of Biosurfactants from Fermenters



Universit

The Univ of Manch

### Dr Andrew Russell

Centre of Excellence ice i e Unilever Foods R&D Colworth Science Park Sharnbrook Bedford MK44 1LQ United Kingdom

#### MANCHESTER

The University of Mancheste

#### Dr James Winterburn

james.winterburn@manchester.ac.uk

#### Dr Peter Martin

School of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science The University of Manchester UK





### **Biosurfactants**

#### Surfactants produced by microorganisms



#### Hydrophobin protein - HFBII





### **Biosurfactants**

Foams generated from aqueous solutions of HFBII are extremely stable





ofA

# Engineering Challenge

Mukherjee S et al (2006) Trend. Biotech. 2-Blanch, H.W and Clark D.S (1997) Biochemical Eng.

. Francis



Engineering Challenge

# Downstream separation accounts for **60** % of the total production cost

Mukherjee S et al (2006) *Trend. Biotech.* **24** Blanch, H.W and Clark D.S (1997) Biochemical Engineering. Taylor & Francis



### Nuisance Foaming

#### How can foaming be made a virtue?







of Mar

### **Effects of Foaming**



Winterburn J.B et al (2011) App. Micro. Biotech 90





of Mar

### Foam Fractionation

### Adsorptive bubble separation method







### **Recovery Process**





# **Controlled Foaming** 1



Winterburn J.B et al (2011) Biochem. Eng. J 54





Winterburn J.B et al (2011) Biochem. Eng. J 54



Foam separation reduced uncontrolled overflow Biomass retention increased from 66 % to 95 % HFBII recovery 70 % with an enrichment of 6.6 Total production could be increased



### **Acknowledgements**

#### Dr Peter Martin, The University of Manchester, UK

### **Colleagues in the Multiphase Processing Group**

### Dr Andrew Russell, Unilever R&D, UK





Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council

### Thank you for listening