# Simplicity of contracted inverse semigroup algebras

Nóra Szakács

University of York, UK University of Szeged, Hungary

York Semigroup seminar (online), May 20 2020

#### Definition

A semigroup S is called an **inverse semigroup** if for any  $s \in S$ , there exists a unique element  $s^{-1} \in S$  for which

$$ss^{-1}s = s, \ s^{-1}ss^{-1} = s^{-1}.$$

#### Definition

A semigroup S is called an **inverse semigroup** if for any  $s \in S$ , there exists a unique element  $s^{-1} \in S$  for which

$$ss^{-1}s = s$$
,  $s^{-1}ss^{-1} = s^{-1}$ .

Idempotents of S form a subsemilattice denoted by E(S) or just E.

#### Definition

A semigroup S is called an **inverse semigroup** if for any  $s \in S$ , there exists a unique element  $s^{-1} \in S$  for which

$$ss^{-1}s = s$$
,  $s^{-1}ss^{-1} = s^{-1}$ .

Idempotents of S form a subsemilattice denoted by E(S) or just E. The partial order on E(S) extends to S.

#### Definition

A semigroup S is called an **inverse semigroup** if for any  $s \in S$ , there exists a unique element  $s^{-1} \in S$  for which

$$ss^{-1}s = s$$
,  $s^{-1}ss^{-1} = s^{-1}$ .

Idempotents of S form a subsemilattice denoted by E(S) or just E. The partial order on E(S) extends to S.

#### The archetypal example

The set of partial one-to-one maps on a set A under composition and inverse: the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_A$ .

### The polycylic monoid

#### Example

Fix a set |X| > 1 (alphabet). The **polycyclic monoid** P(X) on X is

- an inverse semigroup with a zero 0 and an identity 1 generated by X,
- defined by relations

$$x^{-1}y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

### The polycylic monoid

#### Example

Fix a set |X| > 1 (alphabet). The **polycyclic monoid** P(X) on X is

- an inverse semigroup with a zero 0 and an identity 1 generated by X.
- defined by relations

$$x^{-1}y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Elements:  $\alpha\beta^{-1}$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in X^*$ , and 0 ldempotents:  $\alpha\alpha^{-1}$  with  $\alpha \in X^*$ , and 0.

# Semigroup algebras

Let S be a semigroup, K a field.

The **semigroup algebra** KS consists of finite linear combinations of elements of S over K. It is

- $\triangleright$  a vector space over K with basis S,
- equipped with a multiplication by extending the multiplication on S linearly.

# Semigroup algebras

Let S be a semigroup, K a field.

The **semigroup algebra** KS consists of finite linear combinations of elements of S over K. It is

- $\triangleright$  a vector space over K with basis S,
- equipped with a multiplication by extending the multiplication on S linearly.

Notice that  $(KS, +, \cdot)$  is a ring.

# Semigroup algebras

Let S be a semigroup, K a field.

The **semigroup algebra** KS consists of finite linear combinations of elements of S over K. It is

- $\triangleright$  a vector space over K with basis S,
- equipped with a multiplication by extending the multiplication on S linearly.

Notice that  $(KS, +, \cdot)$  is a ring.

**Question:** Suppose S in an inverse semigroup. When is the ring KS simple?

### A simple answer

Let S be a nontrivial inverse semigroup, K a field.

Then

$$KS \to K, \sum_{s \in S} a_s s \mapsto \sum_{s \in S} a_s$$

is a homomorphism with a nontrivial, proper kernel

### A simple answer

Let S be a nontrivial inverse semigroup, K a field.

Then

$$KS \to K, \ \sum_{s \in S} a_s s \mapsto \sum_{s \in S} a_s$$

is a homomorphism with a nontrivial, proper kernel

$$\implies$$
 KS is not simple.

Let S be an inverse semigroup with a zero z, K a field.

Let  $K_0S = KS/(z)$  – this effectively identifies z with 0. We call it the **contracted inverse semigroup algebra**.

Let S be an inverse semigroup with a zero z, K a field.

Let  $K_0S = KS/(z)$  – this effectively identifies z with 0. We call it the **contracted inverse semigroup algebra**.

This can be simple, e.g. if S is the Brandt semigroup  $B_n$ , then  $K_0S \cong M_n(K)$ .

Let S be an inverse semigroup with a zero z, K a field.

Let  $K_0S = KS/(z)$  – this effectively identifies z with 0. We call it the **contracted inverse semigroup algebra**.

This can be simple, e.g. if S is the Brandt semigroup  $B_n$ , then  $K_0S \cong M_n(K)$ .

Notice: a congruence  $\equiv$  on S induces a surjective homomorphism  $K_0S \to K_0[S/\equiv]$ , so

 $K_0S$  is simple  $\Longrightarrow S$  is congruence-free.

But

 $K_0S$  is simple  $\not\leftarrow S$  is congruence-free.

P(x,y) is congruence-free, but  $K_0[P(x,y)]$  is not:

$$I = (xx^{-1} + yy^{-1} - 1)$$

is a proper ideal, in fact  $K_0[P(x,y)]/I$  is the Leavitt algebra  $L_K(1,2)$ .

But

 $K_0S$  is simple  $\not\leftarrow S$  is congruence-free.

P(x,y) is congruence-free, but  $K_0[P(x,y)]$  is not:

$$I = (xx^{-1} + yy^{-1} - 1)$$

is a proper ideal, in fact  $K_0[P(x,y)]/I$  is the Leavitt algebra  $L_K(1,2)$ .

#### Problem (Munn, 1978)

Characterize those congruence-free inverse semigroups with zero which have a simple contracted algebra.

# Congruence-free inverse semigroups with 0

An inverse semigroup with 0 is congruence free if and only if it is

0-simple: it has no proper, nonzero ideals,

### Congruence-free inverse semigroups with 0

An inverse semigroup with 0 is congruence free if and only if it is

- 0-simple: it has no proper, nonzero ideals,
- fundamental: it has no nontrivial idempotent-separating congruences,

# Congruence-free inverse semigroups with 0

An inverse semigroup with 0 is congruence free if and only if it is

- 0-simple: it has no proper, nonzero ideals,
- fundamental: it has no nontrivial idempotent-separating congruences,
- ▶ and E(S) is 0-disjunctive: for all idempotents  $0 \neq f < e$ , there exists  $0 \neq f' < e$  such that ff' = 0.

Let S be an inverse monoid with zero 0, E its semilattice of idempotents.

Let 
$$e \in E$$
. We say  $F \subseteq (e)^{\downarrow}$  covers  $e$  if for all  $h \in E$ 

$$hf = 0$$
 for all  $f \in F \Longrightarrow he = 0$ .

Let S be an inverse monoid with zero 0, E its semilattice of idempotents.

Let  $e \in E$ . We say  $F \subseteq (e)^{\downarrow}$  covers e if for all  $h \in E$ 

$$hf = 0$$
 for all  $f \in F \Longrightarrow he = 0$ .

S is tight if all finite covers of e contain e.

Let S be an inverse monoid with zero 0, E its semilattice of idempotents.

Let  $e \in E$ . We say  $F \subseteq (e)^{\downarrow}$  covers e if for all  $h \in E$ 

$$hf = 0$$
 for all  $f \in F \Longrightarrow he = 0$ .

S is tight if all finite covers of e contain e.

Example

$$P(x, y)$$
 is not tight because  $\{xx^{-1}, yy^{-1}\}$  covers 1.

Let S be an inverse monoid with zero 0, E its semilattice of idempotents.

Let  $e \in E$ . We say  $F \subseteq (e)^{\downarrow}$  covers e if for all  $h \in E$ 

$$hf = 0$$
 for all  $f \in F \Longrightarrow he = 0$ .

S is tight if all finite covers of e contain e.

#### Example

P(x, y) is not tight because  $\{xx^{-1}, yy^{-1}\}$  covers 1. However, P(X) is tight if X is infinite.

Let S be an inverse monoid with zero 0, E its semilattice of idempotents.

Let  $e \in E$ . We say  $F \subseteq (e)^{\downarrow}$  covers e if for all  $h \in E$ 

$$hf = 0$$
 for all  $f \in F \Longrightarrow he = 0$ .

S is tight if all finite covers of e contain e.

#### Example

P(x,y) is not tight because  $\{xx^{-1}, yy^{-1}\}$  covers 1. However, P(X) is tight if X is infinite.

Nontrivial finite covers give rise to an ideal of  $K_0S$  called the **tight** ideal. If  $K_0S$  is simple, then S is tight.

S is called **Hausdorff** if for each  $s, t \in S$ , the set  $(s)^{\downarrow} \cap (t)^{\downarrow}$  has finitely many maximal elements.

#### Remark

 $E^*$ -unitary  $\Longrightarrow$  Hausdorff

#### Theorem (Steinberg, 2014)

A Hausdorff inverse semigroup S with a zero has a simple contracted algebra over any field K if and only if S is congruence-free and tight.

S is called **Hausdorff** if for each  $s, t \in S$ , the set  $(s)^{\downarrow} \cap (t)^{\downarrow}$  has finitely many maximal elements.

#### Remark

 $E^*$ -unitary  $\Longrightarrow$  Hausdorff

#### Theorem (Steinberg, 2014)

A Hausdorff inverse semigroup S with a zero has a simple contracted algebra over any field K if and only if S is congruence-free and tight.

In the general case, congruence-free and tight are necessary conditions, but it was not known if they were sufficient.

Some ideals are better seen in a different model of  $K_0S$ :

► E: semilattice of idempotents of S

- E: semilattice of idempotents of S
- $\widehat{E}$ : the set of surjective semilattice homomorphisms  $E \to \{0,1\}$ , equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence

- ► E: semilattice of idempotents of S
- $\widehat{E}$  : the set of surjective semilattice homomorphisms  $E o \{0,1\}$ , equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence
- ightharpoonup S acts on  $\widehat{E}$  by partial homeomorphisms by the dual of the Munn representation

- $\triangleright$  E: semilattice of idempotents of S
- $\widehat{E}$  : the set of surjective semilattice homomorphisms  $E \to \{0,1\}$ , equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence
- ightharpoonup S acts on  $\widehat{E}$  by partial homeomorphisms by the dual of the Munn representation
- ▶ plug  $S \curvearrowright \widehat{E}$  into a general construction to obtain its **groupoid** of germs  $\mathcal{G}(S)$  an ample groupoid

- $\triangleright$  E: semilattice of idempotents of S
- $\widehat{E}$  : the set of surjective semilattice homomorphisms  $E \to \{0,1\}$ , equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence
- ightharpoonup S acts on  $\widehat{E}$  by partial homeomorphisms by the dual of the Munn representation
- ▶ plug  $S \curvearrowright \widehat{E}$  into a general construction to obtain its **groupoid** of germs  $\mathcal{G}(S)$  an ample groupoid
- ▶ construct the **Steinberg algebra** KG(S) of the ample groupoid G(S)

- $\triangleright$  E: semilattice of idempotents of S
- $\widehat{E}$  : the set of surjective semilattice homomorphisms  $E \to \{0,1\}$ , equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence
- ightharpoonup S acts on  $\widehat{E}$  by partial homeomorphisms by the dual of the Munn representation
- ▶ plug  $S \curvearrowright \widehat{E}$  into a general construction to obtain its **groupoid** of germs  $\mathcal{G}(S)$  an ample groupoid
- ▶ construct the **Steinberg algebra** KG(S) of the ample groupoid G(S)

$$K_0S \cong KG(S)$$

# Simplicity of ample groupoid algebras

S is Hausdorff  $\iff \mathcal{G}(S)$  is Hausdorff (as a topological space).

# Simplicity of ample groupoid algebras

S is Hausdorff  $\iff \mathcal{G}(S)$  is Hausdorff (as a topological space).

Simplicity of ample groupoid algebras was characterized by

- Brown, Clark, Farthing and Sims (2013) in the Hausdorff case,
- Clark, Exel, Pardo, Sims and Starling (2018) in the non-Hausdorff case.

In the non-Hausdorff case, a new ideal needs to be considered: the ideal of **singular** functions.

#### The main theorem

Let

$$I = \{A \in K_0S : \forall e \in E \setminus \{0\} \exists f \leq e, f \neq 0 \text{ such that } Af = 0\}.$$

#### Theorem (Steinberg, Sz.)

- 1. I is an ideal in  $K_0S$ .
- 2.  $K_0S$  is simple if and only if S is congurence free and  $I = \{0\}$ .

#### The main theorem

#### Let

$$I = \{A \in K_0S : \forall e \in E \setminus \{0\} \exists f \leq e, f \neq 0 \text{ such that } Af = 0\}.$$

#### Theorem (Steinberg, Sz.)

- 1. I is an ideal in  $K_0S$ .
- 2.  $K_0S$  is simple if and only if S is congurence free and  $I = \{0\}$ .

#### Remark

I contains the tight ideal. In fact it corresponds to the ideal generated by the tight ideal and the ideal of singular functions.

#### The main theorem

#### Let

$$I = \{A \in K_0S : \forall e \in E \setminus \{0\} \exists f \leq e, f \neq 0 \text{ such that } Af = 0\}.$$

#### Theorem (Steinberg, Sz.)

- 1. I is an ideal in  $K_0S$ .
- 2.  $K_0S$  is simple if and only if S is congurence free and  $I = \{0\}$ .

#### Remark

- ► I contains the tight ideal. In fact it corresponds to the ideal generated by the tight ideal and the ideal of singular functions.
- ► Simplicity depends on the field *K*.

### A class of congruence-free inverse semigroups

Fix an alphabet X, and consider the polycyclic monoid P(X).

Recall: P(X) is congruence free, and tight whenever X is infinite. We build congruence-free [tight] inverse semigroups from polycyclic monoids and a groups.

#### A class of congruence-free inverse semigroups

Fix an alphabet X, and consider the polycyclic monoid P(X).

Recall: P(X) is congruence free, and tight whenever X is infinite. We build congruence-free [tight] inverse semigroups from polycyclic monoids and a groups.

P(X) can be represented by partial one-to-one (right) maps on  $X^*$ :

$$\alpha \beta^{-1} \colon \alpha X^* \to \beta X^*$$
$$\alpha w \mapsto \beta w$$

### Self-similar groups

Let G be a group with a faithful, length-preserving action on  $X^*$ . We call the action **self-similar** if for every  $g \in G$ ,  $u \in X^*$  there exists  $g|_{u} \in G$  such that for all  $w \in X^*$ 

$$g(uw) = g(u)g|_{u}(w).$$

#### Self-similar groups

Let G be a group with a faithful, length-preserving action on  $X^*$ . We call the action **self-similar** if for every  $g \in G$ ,  $u \in X^*$  there exists  $g|_{u} \in G$  such that for all  $w \in X^*$ 

$$g(uw) = g(u)g|_{u}(w).$$

A very trivial example:  $G = C_2 = \{1, a\}$ ,  $X = \{x, y\}$ ,

$$a(xw) = yw, a(yw) = xw,$$

so for any nonempty word u we have a(uw)=a(u)1(w), that is  $a|_{u}=1$ .

Let G be a group with a self-similar action on  $X^*$ . Identify G and  $P_X$  with their images in the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ , and let  $S = \langle G, P_X \rangle \leq \mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ .

Let G be a group with a self-similar action on  $X^*$ . Identify G and  $P_X$  with their images in the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ , and let  $S = \langle G, P_X \rangle \leq \mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ .

S is congruence-free, and if X is infinite, tight.

Let G be a group with a self-similar action on  $X^*$ . Identify G and  $P_X$  with their images in the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ , and let  $S = \langle G, P_X \rangle \leq \mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ .

S is congruence-free, and if X is infinite, tight.

**Note:** for any  $g \in G$ , and for any  $\alpha, \beta \in A^* (\subseteq P_A)$ ,  $w \in A^*$ ,

$$(g\alpha)(w) = g(\alpha w) = g(\alpha)g|_{\alpha}(w) = (g(\alpha)g|_{\alpha})(w),$$

so 
$$g\alpha = g(\alpha)g|_{\alpha}$$
.

Let G be a group with a self-similar action on  $X^*$ . Identify G and  $P_X$  with their images in the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ , and let  $S = \langle G, P_X \rangle \leq \mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ .

S is congruence-free, and if X is infinite, tight.

**Note:** for any  $g \in G$ , and for any  $\alpha, \beta \in A^* \subseteq P_A$ ,  $w \in A^*$ ,

$$(g\alpha)(w) = g(\alpha w) = g(\alpha)g|_{\alpha}(w) = (g(\alpha)g|_{\alpha})(w),$$

so 
$$g\alpha = g(\alpha)g|_{\alpha}$$
. Similarly  $\beta^{-1}g = g|_{g^{-1}(\beta)}(g^{-1}(\beta))^{-1}$ .

Let G be a group with a self-similar action on  $X^*$ . Identify G and  $P_X$  with their images in the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ , and let  $S = \langle G, P_X \rangle \leq \mathcal{I}_{X^*}$ .

S is congruence-free, and if X is infinite, tight.

**Note:** for any  $g \in G$ , and for any  $\alpha, \beta \in A^* (\subseteq P_A)$ ,  $w \in A^*$ ,

$$(g\alpha)(w) = g(\alpha w) = g(\alpha)g|_{\alpha}(w) = (g(\alpha)g|_{\alpha})(w),$$
 so  $g\alpha = g(\alpha)g|_{\alpha}$ . Similarly  $\beta^{-1}g = g|_{g^{-1}(\beta)}(g^{-1}(\beta))^{-1}$ .

Furthermore we can write elements of S uniquely in the form  $\alpha g \beta^{-1}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in X^* (\subseteq P_X), g \in G$ .

Let  $A = \{x, y\} \overset{.}{\bigcup} Z$  with Z infinite,  $G = C_2 = \{1, a\}$ , and consider the self-similar action

$$a(xw) = yw, a(yw) = xw, a(zw) = zw$$

for all  $z \in Z$ ,  $w \in X^*$ .

Let  $A = \{x, y\} \overset{.}{\bigcup} Z$  with Z infinite,  $G = C_2 = \{1, a\}$ , and consider the self-similar action

$$a(xw) = yw, a(yw) = xw, a(zw) = zw$$

for all  $z \in Z$ ,  $w \in X^*$ .

Let  $S = \langle G, P_A \rangle$ .

Recall:

$$I = \{A \in K_0S : \forall e \in E \setminus \{0\} \exists f \leq e, f \neq 0 \text{ such that } Af = 0\}.$$

Claim:

$$A = (1 - xx^{-1} - yy^{-1}) - (a - axx^{-1} - ayy^{-1}) \in I.$$

$$Ax = ((1 - xx^{-1} - yy^{-1}) - (a - axx^{-1} - ayy^{-1}))x$$
  
=  $(x - xx^{-1}x - yy^{-1}x) - (ax - axx^{-1}x - ayy^{-1}x)$   
=  $(x - x) - (ax - ax) = 0$ .

$$Ax = ((1 - xx^{-1} - yy^{-1}) - (a - axx^{-1} - ayy^{-1}))x$$

$$= (x - xx^{-1}x - yy^{-1}x) - (ax - axx^{-1}x - ayy^{-1}x)$$

$$= (x - x) - (ax - ax) = 0.$$

$$\implies \text{if } \alpha = x\beta, \text{ then } A\alpha\alpha^{-1} = 0.$$

$$Ax = ((1 - xx^{-1} - yy^{-1}) - (a - axx^{-1} - ayy^{-1}))x$$
  
=  $(x - xx^{-1}x - yy^{-1}x) - (ax - axx^{-1}x - ayy^{-1}x)$   
=  $(x - x) - (ax - ax) = 0$ .

 $\implies$  if  $\alpha = x\beta$ , then  $A\alpha\alpha^{-1} = 0$ . Similarly for y.

$$Ax = ((1 - xx^{-1} - yy^{-1}) - (a - axx^{-1} - ayy^{-1}))x$$
  
=  $(x - xx^{-1}x - yy^{-1}x) - (ax - axx^{-1}x - ayy^{-1}x)$   
=  $(x - x) - (ax - ax) = 0$ .

 $\implies$  if  $\alpha = x\beta$ , then  $A\alpha\alpha^{-1} = 0$ . Similarly for y.

Let  $z \in Z$ .

$$Az = ((1 - xx^{-1} - yy^{-1}) - (a - axx^{-1} - ayy^{-1}))z$$
  
=  $(z - xx^{-1}z - yy^{-1}z) - (az - axx^{-1}z - ayy^{-1}z)$   
=  $z - az = z - a(z)a|_{z} = z - z = 0.$ 

$$Ax = ((1 - xx^{-1} - yy^{-1}) - (a - axx^{-1} - ayy^{-1}))x$$
  
=  $(x - xx^{-1}x - yy^{-1}x) - (ax - axx^{-1}x - ayy^{-1}x)$   
=  $(x - x) - (ax - ax) = 0$ .

 $\implies$  if  $\alpha = x\beta$ , then  $A\alpha\alpha^{-1} = 0$ . Similarly for y.

Let  $z \in Z$ .

$$Az = ((1 - xx^{-1} - yy^{-1}) - (a - axx^{-1} - ayy^{-1}))z$$
  
=  $(z - xx^{-1}z - yy^{-1}z) - (az - axx^{-1}z - ayy^{-1}z)$   
=  $z - az = z - a(z)a|_z = z - z = 0$ .

 $\implies$  if  $\alpha = z\beta$ , then  $A\alpha\alpha^{-1} = 0$ .

So for all 
$$f \in E \setminus \{1\}$$
 we have  $Af = 0$ , so certainly for all  $e \in E \setminus \{0\}$  there exists  $f \le e, f \ne 0$  such that  $Af = 0$   $\Longrightarrow A \in I$ ,

So for all  $f \in E \setminus \{1\}$  we have Af = 0, so certainly for all  $e \in E \setminus \{0\}$  there exists  $f \le e, f \ne 0$  such that Af = 0

$$\Longrightarrow A \in I$$
,

 $\Longrightarrow S$  is congruence-free an tight, but  $K_0S$  is not simple.