## MATH10242 Sequences and Series: Solutions to Exercises for Week 2 Tutorials

Question 1: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Using just the axioms for ordered fields (A0-9) and (Ord 14) from Chapter 1 of the Notes, and breaking into the cases when $x$ is either positive, negative or zero, show that $x^{2} \geq 0$.
Solution: We break into three cases. If $x=0$ then $x^{2}=0 \cdot 0=0 \geq 0$ (we showed in the lectures that $x .0=0$ for every $x$.

If $x>0$ then (Ord 4) gives $x^{2}=x \cdot x>x \cdot 0=0$.
Finally, by (Ord 1), the only remaining possibility is that $x<0$. But if $x<0$ then, adding $-x$ to each side and using (Ord 3), we get $x+(-x)<-x$, that is, using (A4), $0<-x$. The first paragraph then gives $0<(-x)^{2}$. We need to show that we can "pull the minus signs out the the brackets". (Note that $(-x)^{2}$ means "the negative of $x$, squared", whereas, $-\left(-\left(x^{2}\right)\right)$ means "the negative of the negative of $x^{2}$ " and it's not obvious that these are equal, or that the latter is equal to $x^{2}$.)

So: first we prove the rule $(-a) b=-(a b)$ : we have $(-a) b+a b=(-a+a) b=0 . b=0$ (using (A6), (A9) and what's already been proved) so, by (A4), ( $-a$ ) $b=-(a b)$. Therefore using this twice (and (A6)), we get $(-a)(-b)=a b$. In particular, $(-x)^{2}=x^{2}$, which is what we needed to finish off this third case, and therefore the proof.

Question 2: Show, using just the axioms for ordered fields, that if $x, y>0$ then $x>y$ $\Longleftrightarrow x^{2}>y^{2}$.
Solution: Suppose that $x>y$. Then by (Ord 4) $x^{2}=x \cdot x>x \cdot y$ and similarly $y \cdot x>y \cdot y$. Combining them (with (A6)) gives $x^{2}>y^{2}$.

The same argument shows that, if $x<y$ then $x^{2}<y^{2}$ (hence, by (Ord 1), $y^{2} \nless x^{2}$ ) and if $x=y$ then (by what " $=$ " means) $x^{2}=y^{2}$ (so, again, $y^{2} \nless x^{2}$ ). That is (again using (Ord 1)), if $x \ngtr y$ then $x^{2} \ngtr y^{2}$. So we have shown $x^{2}>y^{2} \Leftrightarrow x>y$.

Question 3: Show, using just the axioms for ordered fields (including that $0 \neq 1$ ), that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $x<x+1$.

Solution: By (Ord 3) it will be enough to prove that $0<1$, so let's do that first.
I'll argue by contradiction, so suppose that $0<1$ is false. Then, by (Ord 1), either $0=1$ - which contradicts our assumption that $0 \neq 1$ - or $1<0$. So assume, aiming for a contradiction, that $1<0$. That is, $-(-1)<0$ (we did the argument for that in the lectures). By (Ord 3) we deduce $-(-1)+(-1)<0+(-1)$ which, by (A4) and (A3), gives $0<-1$. Then apply (Ord 4) to get $0 .(-1)<(-1)$. ( -1 ). The left-hand side is 0 (we showed this in the lecture) and, see the solution to Question $1,(-1)(-1)=-(-1)=1$. That is, $0<1$ - contradicting, by (Ord 1 ), the assumption that $1<0$.

Thus we deduce that $0<1$. Now add $x$ to both sides and (Ord 3) gives us $x<x+1$. [There will be many ways of proving this, maybe some more direct than the argument I've given.]

Another solution, found by a student: Take any $x \neq 0$; by Question $1, x^{2} \geq 0$, in fact, $x^{2}>0$ because, if $x^{2}=0$ then, multiplying both sides by $\frac{1}{x^{2}}$, we'd deduce $1=0$, contradiction. Since also $\frac{1}{x} \neq 0$ (otherwise, multiplying both sides by $x$, we'd again have the $0=1$ contradiction), we also have $\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)^{2}>0$. Next note that $\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{x^{2}}$ (because both multiply $x^{2}$ to 1 so, by uniqueness of multiplicative inverse (A8), they're equal). Now
apply (Ord 4) to the inequality $x^{2}>0$, multiplying both sides by $\frac{1}{x^{2}}$ (which we've just shown is positive), to deduce $1>0$. Then add $x$ to both sides to finish.
Question 4: Show that for any $\delta>0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n}<\delta$. [Example 2.4.8 from the notes can be used here.]
Solution: Note that $\frac{1}{\delta}$ exists by (A8). We have $\frac{1}{\delta}>0$ (if we had $\frac{1}{\delta}<0$ then we'd deduce $1<0$, which contradicts the result of Question 1 since $1=1^{2}$ ) and so by the unboundedness of $\mathbb{N}$ (Example 2.4.8) there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n>\frac{1}{\delta}$. Now (Ord 4) shows that $d n>\frac{1}{\delta} d$ for any $d \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Take $d=\frac{1}{n} \delta$ - this is positive by (Ord 4) and (Ord 2) since $\delta$ and (by (Ord 2)) $n$ are, hence (as in the first paragraph) so is $\frac{1}{n}$. With this value of $d$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{n}<\delta
$$

Question 5:* I said in the lecture that, from the construction of the reals $\mathbb{R}$ from the rationals $\mathbb{Q}$, it follows that $\mathbb{Q}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$ (meaning that, given any two real numbers $x<y$, there is a rational number, $q$, between them: $x<q<y)$. Show that the set $\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$ of irrationals is dense in $\mathbb{R}$ i.e. show that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ if $x<y$ then there exists $t \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x<t<y$.
Solution: Here are a couple of, rather different, solutions. There may well be more.
[1]: Given $x<y$ in $\mathbb{R}$, first choose rational $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ with $x \leq x^{\prime}<y^{\prime} \leq y$ - we can do that using density of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ twice (if both $x, y$ are irrational). So it will be enough to show that there is an irrational strictly between $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$. We know from Foundations of Pure Maths that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational; so (note), for every positive integer $n, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}$ is irrational. If we choose $n$ large enough that $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}<y^{\prime}-x^{\prime}$ (the distance between $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ ) then we'll have $x^{\prime}<x^{\prime}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}<y^{\prime}$, as required since $x^{\prime}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}$ must be irrational (if it were rational, we'd quickly deduce, subtracting the rational $x^{\prime}$ and multiplying up by $n$, that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational - contradiction).
[2]: We could use the ideas around countable and uncountable sets that were discussed in Foundations of Pure Maths. Recall that the interval $(0,1)$ is uncountable. Then scale it into the interval $(x, y)$ by applying the bijection $z \in(0,1) \mapsto x+\frac{z}{y-x}$. Since that is a bijection, it follows that the interval $(x, y)$ is uncountable (as, indeed, is every non-empty open interval in $\mathbb{R})$. But recall also that the set $\mathbb{Q}$ is countable, so its subset $\mathbb{Q} \cap(x, y)$ is countable. Hence $(\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}) \cap(x, y)$ must be uncountable (if it were countable then $(x, y)$ would be the union of two countable sets, hence countable, contradiction). In particular $(\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}) \cap(x, y)$ is non-empty, which is exactly what we wanted to prove.

Question 6: For each of the following sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and real numbers $\epsilon>0$, find a natural number $N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$ we have $\left|a_{n}\right|<\epsilon$.
(a) $a_{n}=\frac{1}{n}, \epsilon=1 / 50$.
(b) $a_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}}, \epsilon=1 / 100$.
(c) $a_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}}, \epsilon=1 / 1000$.
(d) $a_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \epsilon=1 / 1000$.
(e) $a_{n}=\frac{\cos (n)}{n}, \epsilon=10^{-6}$.
(f) $a_{n}=\frac{\cos (n)}{n^{2}}, \epsilon=10^{-6}$.
(g) $a_{n}=\sqrt{n+2}-\sqrt{n}, \epsilon=10^{-6}$.

Solution: (a) We want $1 / n<1 / 50$, equivalently $n>50$, so we can choose $N=51$.
(b) We need $1 / n^{2}<1 / 100$, equivalently $100<n^{2}$. So we can choose $N=11$.
(c) Similarly we need to have $1000<n^{2}$. So we can choose, say, $N=50$ (there's no requirement to choose the "best" value of $N$ ).
(d) We need $1 / \sqrt{n}<1 / 1000$, equivalently $1000<\sqrt{n}$. So we can choose $N=10^{6}+1$.
(e) We want to have $\left|\frac{\cos (n)}{n}\right|<10^{-6}$, that is $\frac{|\cos (n)|}{n}<10^{-6}$. Since the maximum value of $|\cos (n)|$ is 1 , it will be enough to choose $N=10^{6}+1$; let's just check that.
(Notice that, so far, we've kind of worked backwards to find the right value of $N$, and that's been enough since it's been obvious that the chosen value of $N$ works. More commonly, you work backwards, or maybe even semi-guess, a value of $N$ that will work, but then you do have to check that it really does work. So let's do that now, in this example.)

Suppose $n \geq 10^{6}+1$, so $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{10^{6}+1}<\frac{1}{10^{6}}$, then $\left|\frac{\cos (n)}{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}<10^{-6}=\epsilon$. As required. (f) We want $\left|\frac{\cos (n)}{n^{2}}\right|<10^{-6}$ and, as in part (d), it will be enough to have $\frac{1}{n^{2}}<10^{-6}$, that is $n>10^{3}$, so take, say, $N=10^{4}\left(N=10^{3}+1\right.$ is the minimum choice $)$. We check: if $n \geq 10^{4}$, then $n^{2}>10^{6}$, so $\frac{1}{n^{2}}<10^{-6}$, hence $\left|\frac{\cos (n)}{n^{2}}\right|<\frac{1}{n^{2}}<10^{-6}$, as required.
(g) We need to get a "nice" estimate of the difference $\sqrt{n+2}-\sqrt{n}$ between these square roots. Multiply by $\frac{\sqrt{n+2}+\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+2}+\sqrt{n}}$ and simplify to get $\sqrt{n+2}-\sqrt{n}=\frac{(\sqrt{n+2}-\sqrt{n})(\sqrt{n+2}+\sqrt{n})}{\sqrt{n+2}+\sqrt{n}}=$ $\frac{2}{\sqrt{n+2}+\sqrt{n}}$. Now, since $\sqrt{n+2}>\sqrt{n}$, we have $\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{n+2}>\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{n}>0$, so $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{n+2}}<$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{n}}$, so $\frac{2}{\sqrt{n+2}+\sqrt{n}}<\frac{2}{2 \sqrt{n}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. Therefore we should choose $N$ such that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \leq 10^{-6}$, equivalently, $N \geq 10^{12}$. So take $N=10^{12}$ (we don't need to check directly because the estimates we made had the form "it's enough to ..." and the final part of the estimation for $N$ was "iff").

Question 7: For each of the following sequences $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and real numbers $\epsilon>0$, find a natural number $N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$ we have $\left|a_{n}-2\right|<\epsilon$.
(a) $a_{n}=2-\frac{1}{2^{n}}, \epsilon=1 / 1000$.
(b) $a_{n}=2+\frac{\sin (n)}{n}, \epsilon=1 / 1000$.

Solution: (a) We want $\left|2-\frac{1}{2^{n}}-2\right|<\epsilon$, that is $\frac{1}{2^{n}}<\epsilon$, equivalently $2^{n}>\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ so, putting $\epsilon=1 / 1000$, we need $2^{n}>1000$, so take $N=10$, say. (For a general $\epsilon$, we'd take $N=\log _{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon}$.)
(b) We want $\left|2-\frac{\sin (n)}{n}-2\right|<\epsilon$, that is $\left|\frac{\sin (n)}{n}\right|<\epsilon$. Since $|\sin (n)| \leq 1$, so $\left|\frac{\sin (n)}{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}$, it will be sufficient to have $\frac{1}{n}<\epsilon$, equivalently $n>\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. In the case $\epsilon=1 / 1000$, this becomes $n>1000$, so take $N=1001$, say. (For a general $\epsilon$, we could take $N=\frac{1}{\epsilon}+1$.)

Extra Question for Week 2 Prove, from the axioms, that $(-1) \cdot x=-x$.

Solution to Extra Question for Week 2 Prove, from the axioms, that $(-1) \cdot x=-x$.
Solution: By (A4) we have $x+(-x)=0$; in particular $1+(-1)=0$. So $0 . x=$ $(1+(-1)) x=1 \cdot x+(-1) \cdot x($ by $(\mathrm{A} 6)$ and $(\mathrm{A} 9))=x+(-1) \cdot x($ by $(\mathrm{A} 7))$. And $0 \cdot x=(0+0) \cdot x$ $($ by $(\mathrm{A} 3))=0 \cdot x+0 \cdot x((\mathrm{~A} 6),(\mathrm{A} 9))$ so, adding $-(0 \cdot x)$ to each side, we get, using $(\mathrm{A} 4)$ and (A1), $0=0 . x$.

Now we have both $x+(-x)=0$ and $0=x+(-1) \cdot x$ so, by the uniqueness part of (A4), we deduce $-x=(-1) \cdot x$.

There will be other proofs, maybe some shorter.

