

$$y'' + p y' + q y = r(x)$$

\swarrow \searrow
 p q
 const!

$$y = y_p + \underbrace{A y_1 + B y_2}_{\checkmark}$$

?

Method of undetermined coeffs

Example:

$$y'' + p y' + q y = A e^{ax}$$

\swarrow
 known

Ansatz: $y_p = C e^{ax}$

\swarrow
 undet. coeffn.

into ODE:

$$C = \frac{A}{a^2 + p a + q}$$



unless a is root of char. poly.

If so try

(2)

$$y = C' x e^{ax}$$

$$y' = C' e^{ax} (1+ax)$$

$$y'' = C' e^{ax} (a(2+ax))$$

into ODE

$$\cancel{C' e^{ax}} \left(\underbrace{a(2+ax)}_{y''} + p \underbrace{(1+ax)}_{y'} + q x \right) = A$$

$$\cancel{A e^{ax}}$$

$\forall x$

select x -terms:

$$C' \left(\underbrace{x(a^2 + pa + q)}_{=0} + (2a + p) \right) = A$$

in this case:

$$\underline{\underline{C' = \frac{A}{2a+p}}}$$

works
unless
 $2a+p=0$

When does this happen?

3

Recall: we only used the modified ansatz because a was a root of

$$a^2 + pa + q = 0$$

So

$$a = -\frac{p}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^2 - q}$$

The modified ansatz didn't work because $2a + p = 0$

$$\Rightarrow a = -\frac{p}{2}$$

\Rightarrow So this only happens if a is a repeated root of the char. poly.

In that case try

$$y_p = C x^2 e^{ax}$$

EXERCISE

...

$$\underline{\underline{C = \frac{1}{2} A}}$$

& this
definitely
works!

(4)

So general procedure:

$$y'' + py' + qy = A e^{ax}$$

① Solve homof. ODE via
 $\lambda^2 + p\lambda + q = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1, \lambda_2$
 \Rightarrow 2 fundamental solns
 y_1 & y_2 .

② • If $a \neq \lambda_1$ & $a \neq \lambda_2$
 $y_p = C e^{ax}$
• If $a = \lambda_1$ or $a = \lambda_2$ & $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$
 $y_p = C x e^{ax}$
• If $a = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$
 $y_p = C x^2 e^{ax}$

Note: we have classified (5)
the 3 cases in terms of
the roots of the char. poly.

Reformulate: Special cases
arise if $r(x)$ contains a
fct. that is a solution of
the homof. ODE.

General approach:

$$y'' + py' + qy = A_1 r_1(x) + A_2 r_2(x) + \dots + A_n r_n(x)$$

where the $r_i(x)$ are
lin. indep.

Fact: If $y_1(x)$ is a soln. of

$$y'' + py' + qy = r_1(x)$$

and $y_2(x)$ is a soln. of

$$y'' + py' + qy = r_2(x)$$

then $A_1 \gamma_1 + A_2 \gamma_2$ is
a soln. of:

$$y'' + P y' + Q y = A_1 r_1(x) + A_2 r_2(x)$$

(EXERCISE)

This suggests the ansatz

$$y_p = \underbrace{C_1}_{\text{undef. coeffs}} \underbrace{r_1(x)}_{\text{known fct}} + \underbrace{C_2}_{\text{undef. coeffs}} \underbrace{r_2(x)}_{\text{known fct}} + \dots + \underbrace{C_n}_{\text{undef. coeffs}} \underbrace{r_n(x)}_{\text{known fct}}$$

known fcts:

Plan: Insert ansatz into ODE
collect lin. indep. fcts & set
their coeffs. to zero \Rightarrow
n eqns for C_1, \dots, C_n

Modification: If the differentiation
of any of the $r_i(x)$ produces
new lin. indep. fcts then
add them to the ansatz.

$$\hat{y}_p = C_1 \Gamma_1(x) + \dots + C_n \Gamma_n(x) +$$

$$D_1 \Gamma_1'(x) + \dots + D_n \Gamma_n'(x) +$$

$$E_1 \Gamma_1''(x) + \dots + E_n \Gamma_n''(x) \quad (7)$$

undef. coeffs

Note: only add new, lin. indep. fcts.

what can go wrong?

If only one of the terms in the ansatz is a soln. of the homof. ODE this won't work:
To illustrate consider:

$$y'' + p y' + q y = A \Gamma$$

where
given

Ansatz: $y_p = C \Gamma$

into ODE:

$$C \underbrace{(\Gamma'' + p \Gamma' + q \Gamma)}_0 = A \Gamma$$

In that case, try

(P)

$$y_p = C x r$$

$$y_p' = C(\Gamma + x r')$$

$$y_p'' = C(2r' + x r'')$$

into ODE:

$$C \left[\underbrace{2r' + x r''}_{y''} + p \underbrace{(\Gamma + x r')}_{y'} + q \underbrace{x r}_{y} \right] \stackrel{!}{=} A \Gamma$$

collect terms involving x

$$C \left[\underbrace{x(r'' + p r' + q r)}_0 + (2r' + p \Gamma) \right] \stackrel{!}{=} A \Gamma$$

This can work unless $2r' + p r = 0$
This only happens if $x r$
is also a soln. of the
homog. ODE!

In that case try

(7)

$$y_p = c' x^2 \Gamma$$

$$y_p' = c' (2x\Gamma + x^2\Gamma')$$

$$y_p'' = c' (2\Gamma + 4x\Gamma' + x^2\Gamma'')$$

into ODE:

$$c' \left[\underbrace{2\Gamma + 4x\Gamma' + x^2\Gamma''}_{y''} + \rho \underbrace{(2x\Gamma + x^2\Gamma')}_{y'} + 9x^2\Gamma \right] = A\Gamma$$

collect powers of x :

$$c' \left[x^2 (\Gamma'' + \rho\Gamma' + 9\Gamma) + x (4\Gamma' + 2\rho\Gamma) + 2\Gamma \right] = A\Gamma$$

$$c' 2\Gamma = A\Gamma$$

$$\underbrace{(2c' - A)}_0 \Gamma = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{c' = \frac{1}{2}A}}$$

This always works.

(10)

Note: include any new derivatives that appear when differentiating the modified ansatz.

Example: $y = A_1 r_1(t) + A_2 r_2(t)$

$\ddot{y} + 4y = \cos(3t) + \underbrace{2}_{A_2} \underbrace{\sin(t)}_{r_2(t)}$

$y_H = \hat{A} \sin(2t) + \hat{B} \cos(2t)$

Ansatz:

$$y_p = A \cos(3t) + B \sin(t)$$

$$\dot{y}_p = -3A \sin(3t) + B \cos(t)$$

$$\ddot{y}_p = -9A \cos(3t) - B \sin(t)$$

into ODE

$$\underbrace{-9A \cos(3t)}_{\ddot{y}} - \underbrace{B \sin(t)}_{\ddot{y}} + \underbrace{4(A \cos(3t) + B \sin(t))}_{4y} = \underbrace{\cos(3t)}_{\text{rhs}} + \underbrace{2 \sin(t)}_{\text{rhs}}$$

$$\cos(3t) \underbrace{(-9A + 4A - 1)}_{=0} +$$

$$+ \sin(t) \underbrace{(-B + 4B - 2)}_{=0} = 0 \quad \forall t$$

because $\cos(3t)$ & $\sin(t)$ are lin. indep. this requires:

$$-5A = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad A = -\frac{1}{5}$$

$$3B = 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad B = \frac{2}{3}$$

Gen soln. of the ODE is:

$$y = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{5} \cos(3t) + \frac{2}{3} \sin(t)}_{y_p} +$$

$$+ \underbrace{\hat{A} \sin(2t) + \hat{B} \cos(2t)}_{y_h}$$