# On torsion in free central extensions of groups

Marianne Johnson

University of Manchester

BMC 2008, York



# Free centre-by-metabelian groups

- Let F = F(X) be the free group on a set X.
- The quotient

is the free centre-by-metabelian group on X.

• In view of the short exact sequence

$$1 \to F''/[F'',F] \to F/[F'',F] \to F/F'' \to 1$$

this is a free central extension of the free metabelian group  $F/F^{\prime\prime}$ .



# Free centre-by-metabelian groups

- Let F = F(X) be the free group on a set X.
- The quotient

is the free centre-by-metabelian group on X.

• In view of the short exact sequence

$$1 \to F''/[F'',F] \to F/[F'',F] \to F/F'' \to 1$$

this is a free central extension of the free metabelian group F/F''.



# Free centre-by-metabelian groups

- Let F = F(X) be the free group on a set X.
- The quotient

is the free centre-by-metabelian group on X.

• In view of the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow F''/[F'',F] \rightarrow F/[F'',F] \rightarrow F/F'' \rightarrow 1$$

this is a free central extension of the free metabelian group F/F''.



# Kanta Gupta's discovery

### Theorem (C.K. Gupta, 1973)

The free centre-by-metabelian group F/[F'', F] of rank n is torsion free for n = 2, 3, and for  $n \ge 4$  it contains an elementary abelian 2-group of rank  $\binom{n}{4}$  in its centre.

- Gupta proved her result using intricate commutator calculations.
- In 1977 Kuzmin was able to give a new proof of this result by making the following observation...

# Kanta Gupta's discovery

### Theorem (C.K. Gupta, 1973)

The free centre-by-metabelian group F/[F'',F] of rank n is torsion free for n=2,3, and for  $n\geq 4$  it contains an elementary abelian 2-group of rank  $\binom{n}{4}$  in its centre.

- Gupta proved her result using intricate commutator calculations.
- In 1977 Kuzmin was able to give a new proof of this result by making the following observation...

# Kanta Gupta's discovery

### Theorem (C.K. Gupta, 1973)

The free centre-by-metabelian group F/[F'',F] of rank n is torsion free for n=2,3, and for  $n\geq 4$  it contains an elementary abelian 2-group of rank  $\binom{n}{4}$  in its centre.

- Gupta proved her result using intricate commutator calculations.
- In 1977 Kuzmin was able to give a new proof of this result by making the following observation...

### The Crucial Observation (Kuzmin, 1977)

$$t(F''/[F'',F])=H_4(F/F')\otimes \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

- $F/[F'', F] = F/[\gamma_2(F'), F]$  where  $\gamma_2(F')$  is the second term of the lower central series of F'.
- Let R be any normal subgroup of F,  $\gamma_c R$  an arbitrary term of the lower central series of R
- We get a free central extension  $F/[\gamma_c R, F]$ , of which the free centre-by-metabelian group is a special case...



#### The Crucial Observation (Kuzmin, 1977)

$$t(F''/[F'',F]) = H_4(F/F') \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

- $F/[F'', F] = F/[\gamma_2(F'), F]$  where  $\gamma_2(F')$  is the second term of the lower central series of F'.
- Let R be any normal subgroup of F,  $\gamma_c R$  an arbitrary term of the lower central series of R
- We get a free central extension  $F/[\gamma_c R, F]$ , of which the free centre-by-metabelian group is a special case...



#### The Crucial Observation (Kuzmin, 1977)

$$t(F''/[F'',F])=H_4(F/F')\otimes \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

- $F/[F'', F] = F/[\gamma_2(F'), F]$  where  $\gamma_2(F')$  is the second term of the lower central series of F'.
- Let R be any normal subgroup of F,  $\gamma_c R$  an arbitrary term of the lower central series of R
- We get a free central extension  $F/[\gamma_c R, F]$ , of which the free centre-by-metabelian group is a special case...



#### The Crucial Observation (Kuzmin, 1977)

$$t(F''/[F'',F])=H_4(F/F')\otimes \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

- $F/[F'', F] = F/[\gamma_2(F'), F]$  where  $\gamma_2(F')$  is the second term of the lower central series of F'.
- Let R be any normal subgroup of F,  $\gamma_c R$  an arbitrary term of the lower central series of R
- We get a free central extension  $F/[\gamma_c R, F]$ , of which the free centre-by-metabelian group is a special case...



- Let G be a group given by a free presentation G = F/R and consider the quotient  $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ ,  $(c \ge 2)$ .
- In view of the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R), F] \rightarrow F/[\gamma_c(R), F] \rightarrow F/\gamma_c(R) \rightarrow 1$$

 $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$  is a free central extension of the group  $F/\gamma_c(R)$ , which is in turn an extension of G = F/R with free nilpotent kernel:

$$1 \to R/\gamma_c(R) \to F/\gamma_c(R) \to F/R \to 1.$$

• While  $F/\gamma_c(R)$  is always torsion free (Shmelkin, 1965), elements of finite order may occur in the central quotient  $\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ .



- Let G be a group given by a free presentation G = F/R and consider the quotient  $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ ,  $(c \ge 2)$ .
- In view of the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R),F] \rightarrow F/[\gamma_c(R),F] \rightarrow F/\gamma_c(R) \rightarrow 1$$

 $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$  is a free central extension of the group  $F/\gamma_c(R)$ , which is in turn an extension of G = F/R with free nilpotent kernel:

$$1 \to R/\gamma_c(R) \to F/\gamma_c(R) \to F/R \to 1.$$

• While  $F/\gamma_c(R)$  is always torsion free (Shmelkin, 1965), elements of finite order may occur in the central quotient  $\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ .



- Let G be a group given by a free presentation G = F/R and consider the quotient  $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ ,  $(c \ge 2)$ .
- In view of the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R),F] \rightarrow F/[\gamma_c(R),F] \rightarrow F/\gamma_c(R) \rightarrow 1$$

 $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$  is a free central extension of the group  $F/\gamma_c(R)$ , which is in turn an extension of G = F/R with free nilpotent kernel:

$$1 \to R/\gamma_c(R) \to F/\gamma_c(R) \to F/R \to 1.$$

• While  $F/\gamma_c(R)$  is always torsion free (Shmelkin, 1965), elements of finite order may occur in the central quotient  $\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ .



- Let G be a group given by a free presentation G = F/R and consider the quotient  $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ ,  $(c \ge 2)$ .
- In view of the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R), F] \rightarrow F/[\gamma_c(R), F] \rightarrow F/\gamma_c(R) \rightarrow 1$$

 $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$  is a free central extension of the group  $F/\gamma_c(R)$ , which is in turn an extension of G = F/R with free nilpotent kernel:

$$1 \to R/\gamma_c(R) \to F/\gamma_c(R) \to F/R \to 1.$$

• While  $F/\gamma_c(R)$  is always torsion free (Shmelkin, 1965), elements of finite order may occur in the central quotient  $\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ .



- Let G be a group given by a free presentation G = F/R and consider the quotient  $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ ,  $(c \ge 2)$ .
- In view of the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R), F] \rightarrow F/[\gamma_c(R), F] \rightarrow F/\gamma_c(R) \rightarrow 1$$

 $F/[\gamma_c(R), F]$  is a free central extension of the group  $F/\gamma_c(R)$ , which is in turn an extension of G = F/R with free nilpotent kernel:

$$1 \to R/\gamma_c(R) \to F/\gamma_c(R) \to F/R \to 1.$$

• While  $F/\gamma_c(R)$  is always torsion free (Shmelkin, 1965), elements of finite order may occur in the central quotient  $\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R), F]$ .



- The abelianization  $R_{ab} = R/R'$  is a module for the group G = F/R (with action given by conjugation) called the **relation module**.
- For an arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -free G-module V, let L(V) denote the free Lie ring on V. This is a graded Lie ring,

$$L(V) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 1} L_n(V)$$

where  $L_n(V)$  is the *n*th homogeneous component of L(V).

- The abelianization  $R_{ab} = R/R'$  is a module for the group G = F/R (with action given by conjugation) called the **relation module**.
- For an arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}$ -free G-module V, let L(V) denote the **free** Lie ring on V. This is a graded Lie ring,

$$L(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} L_n(V)$$

where  $L_n(V)$  is the *n*th homogeneous component of L(V).



- There is a classical isomorphism of an *G*-modules,  $\gamma_c(R)/\gamma_{c+1}(R)\cong L_c(R_{ab}),$
- Trivialising the *G*-action gives the following lemma:

#### Lemma (Baumslag, Strebel and Thomson, 1980)

Let G = F/R. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R),F]\cong L_c(R_{ab})\otimes_G \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$$
.



- There is a classical isomorphism of an *G*-modules,  $\gamma_c(R)/\gamma_{c+1}(R)\cong L_c(R_{ab}),$
- Trivialising the *G*-action gives the following lemma:

#### Lemma (Baumslag, Strebel and Thomson, 1980)

Let G = F/R. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R),F]\cong L_c(R_{ab})\otimes_G \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$$
.



- There is a classical isomorphism of an *G*-modules,  $\gamma_c(R)/\gamma_{c+1}(R) \cong L_c(R_{ab})$ ,
- Trivialising the *G*-action gives the following lemma:

### Lemma (Baumslag, Strebel and Thomson, 1980)

Let G = F/R. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R),F]\cong L_c(R_{ab})\otimes_G \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$$
.



- There is a classical isomorphism of an *G*-modules,  $\gamma_c(R)/\gamma_{c+1}(R) \cong L_c(R_{ab})$ ,
- Trivialising the *G*-action gives the following lemma:

### Lemma (Baumslag, Strebel and Thomson, 1980)

Let G = F/R. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R),F]\cong L_c(R_{ab})\otimes_G \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$L_c(R_{ab})\otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$$
.



# The Exponent Theorem

### Theorem (Kuzmin, 1982; Stöhr, 1987)

Let R be an arbitrary normal subgroup of F. Then the torsion subgroup  $t_c = t(\gamma_c(R)/[\gamma_c(R), F])$  is of exponent dividing c if  $c \ge 3$ , and of exponent dividing 4 if c = 2:

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} c \ t_c & = & 0 & \mbox{,for} & c \geq 3, \\ \mbox{and} & 4 \ t_2 & = & 0. \end{array}$$

### Exact results

#### Theorem (Stöhr, 1987)

Let p be a prime, and let R be a normal subgroup of F such that G = F/R has no elements of order p. Then

$$t_p\cong H_4(G,\mathbb{Z}_p).$$

### Theorem (Stöhr, 1993)

If G = F/R has no elements of order 2, then

$$t_4 \cong H_6(G, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$



# The Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem

New results in the theory of modular Lie powers have made it possible to make further progress. Most importantly...

#### Theorem (Bryant and Schocker, 2006)

Let K be a field of characteristic p, G a group, V a KG-module and k a positive integer not divisible by p. Then

$$L_{p^mk}(V) \cong L_{p^m}(B_k) \oplus L_{p^{m-1}}(B_{pk}) \oplus \cdots \oplus L_1(B_{p^mk}),$$

where the modules  $B_{p^ik}$  satisfy

$$p^m B_{p^m k} \oplus p^{m-1} T_p(B_{p^{m-1} k}) \oplus \cdots \oplus T_{p^m}(B_k) \cong L_k(T_{p^m}(V)).$$

# The Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem

New results in the theory of modular Lie powers have made it possible to make further progress. Most importantly...

### Theorem (Bryant and Schocker, 2006)

Let K be a field of characteristic p, G a group, V a KG-module and k a positive integer not divisible by p. Then

$$L_{p^mk}(V) \cong L_{p^m}(B_k) \oplus L_{p^{m-1}}(B_{pk}) \oplus \cdots \oplus L_1(B_{p^mk}),$$

where the modules  $B_{p^ik}$  satisfy

$$p^m B_{p^m k} \oplus p^{m-1} T_p(B_{p^{m-1} k}) \oplus \cdots \oplus T_{p^m}(B_k) \cong L_k(T_{p^m}(V)).$$



- Want to identify the torsion subgroup of  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Consider the short exact sequence of *G*-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} L_c(R_{ab}) \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

Part of the associated long exact homology sequence is

$$\to H_1(G, L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \to L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z} \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z} -$$

• So the homology group on the left is the key to the elements of order p in  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .



- Want to identify the torsion subgroup of  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Consider the short exact sequence of *G*-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} L_c(R_{ab}) \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

Part of the associated long exact homology sequence is

• So the homology group on the left is the key to the elements of order p in  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .



- Want to identify the torsion subgroup of  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Consider the short exact sequence of *G*-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} L_c(R_{ab}) \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

Part of the associated long exact homology sequence is

$$\to H_1(G, L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \to L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z} \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z} \to$$

• So the homology group on the left is the key to the elements of order p in  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .



- Want to identify the torsion subgroup of  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Consider the short exact sequence of G-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} L_c(R_{ab}) \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

Part of the associated long exact homology sequence is

$$\to H_1(G, L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \to L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z} \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z} \to$$

• So the homology group on the left is the key to the elements of order p in  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .



- Want to identify the torsion subgroup of  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Consider the short exact sequence of *G*-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} L_c(R_{ab}) \longrightarrow L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

Part of the associated long exact homology sequence is

$$\to H_1(G, L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \to L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z} \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z} \to$$

• So the homology group on the left is the key to the elements of order p in  $L_c(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$ .



- Let p=2, k=3,  $K=\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $V=R_{ab}\otimes\mathbb{Z}_2$ .
- Then the Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem gives that modulo 2

$$L_6(R_{ab}) \cong L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \oplus B_6^{(2)}$$

and

$$2B_6^{(2)} \oplus T_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \cong L_3(T_2(R_{ab})).$$

- Let p=2, k=3,  $K=\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $V=R_{ab}\otimes\mathbb{Z}_2$ .
- Then the Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem gives that modulo 2

$$L_6(R_{ab}) \cong L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \oplus B_6^{(2)}$$

and

$$2B_6^{(2)} \oplus T_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \cong L_3(T_2(R_{ab})).$$

- Let p=2, k=3,  $K=\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $V=R_{ab}\otimes\mathbb{Z}_2$ .
- Then the Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem gives that modulo 2

$$L_6(R_{ab}) \cong L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \oplus B_6^{(2)}$$

and

$$2B_6^{(2)} \oplus T_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \cong L_3(T_2(R_{ab})).$$

#### But modulo 2...

- $L_3(R_{ab})$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 3),
- $L_2(L_3(R_{ab}))$  is also a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 2)
- Can show that  $L_3(T_2(R_{ab}))$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$
- ullet hence  $B_6^{(2)}$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$

### Consequently,

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(2)})$   
=0,

and hence there are no elements of order 2 in  $L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$  (provided G has no 2-torsion and no 3-torsion).

#### But modulo 2...

- $L_3(R_{ab})$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 3),
- $L_2(L_3(R_{ab}))$  is also a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 2)
- Can show that  $L_3(T_2(R_{ab}))$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$
- ullet hence  $B_6^{(2)}$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$

### Consequently,

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(2)})$   
=0,

and hence there are no elements of order 2 in  $L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}$  (provided G has no 2-torsion and no 3-torsion).

#### But modulo 2...

- $L_3(R_{ab})$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 3),
- $L_2(L_3(R_{ab}))$  is also a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 2)
- Can show that  $L_3(T_2(R_{ab}))$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$
- ullet hence  $B_6^{(2)}$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$

## Consequently,

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(2)})$   
=0,

#### But modulo 2...

- $L_3(R_{ab})$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 3),
- $L_2(L_3(R_{ab}))$  is also a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 2)
- Can show that  $L_3(T_2(R_{ab}))$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$
- hence  $B_6^{(2)}$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$

Consequently,

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(2)})$   
=0,

#### But modulo 2...

- $L_3(R_{ab})$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 3),
- $L_2(L_3(R_{ab}))$  is also a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 2)
- Can show that  $L_3(T_2(R_{ab}))$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$
- ullet hence  $B_6^{(2)}$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$

Consequently,

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(2)})$   
=0,

#### But modulo 2...

- $L_3(R_{ab})$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 3),
- $L_2(L_3(R_{ab}))$  is also a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 2)
- Can show that  $L_3(T_2(R_{ab}))$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$
- ullet hence  $B_6^{(2)}$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$

## Consequently,

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(2)})$   
=0,

But modulo 2...

- $L_3(R_{ab})$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 3),
- $L_2(L_3(R_{ab}))$  is also a projective  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$ -module (provided that G has no elements of order 2)
- Can show that  $L_3(T_2(R_{ab}))$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$
- ullet hence  $B_6^{(2)}$  has trivial homology in all dimensions  $\geq 1$

## Consequently,

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_2(L_3(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(2)})$   
=0,

$$c = 6, p = 3$$

- Let p = 3, k = 2,  $K = \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $V = R_{ab} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ .
- Then the Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem gives that modulo 3

$$L_6(R_{ab}) \cong L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \oplus B_6^{(3)}$$

$$3B_6^{(3)} \oplus T_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \cong L_2(T_3(R_{ab})).$$

By arguing similarly to the case p = 2 we obtain...

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(3)})$   
=0,

$$c = 6, p = 3$$

- Let p = 3, k = 2,  $K = \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $V = R_{ab} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ .
- Then the Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem gives that modulo 3

$$L_6(R_{ab}) \cong L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \oplus B_6^{(3)}$$

$$3B_6^{(3)} \oplus T_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \cong L_2(T_3(R_{ab})).$$

By arguing similarly to the case p = 2 we obtain...

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(3)})$   
=0,

$$c = 6, p = 3$$

- Let p = 3, k = 2,  $K = \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $V = R_{ab} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ .
- Then the Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem gives that modulo 3

$$L_6(R_{ab}) \cong L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \oplus B_6^{(3)}$$

$$3B_6^{(3)} \oplus T_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \cong L_2(T_3(R_{ab})).$$

By arguing similarly to the case p = 2 we obtain...

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3)$$
  
=  $H_1(G, L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(3)})$   
= 0,

$$c = 6, p = 3$$

- Let p = 3, k = 2,  $K = \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $V = R_{ab} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ .
- Then the Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem gives that modulo 3

$$L_6(R_{ab}) \cong L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \oplus B_6^{(3)}$$

$$3B_6^{(3)} \oplus T_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \cong L_2(T_3(R_{ab})).$$

By arguing similarly to the case p = 2 we obtain...

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(3)})$   
=0.

$$c = 6, p = 3$$

- Let p = 3, k = 2,  $K = \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $V = R_{ab} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ .
- Then the Bryant-Schocker Decomposition Theorem gives that modulo 3

$$L_6(R_{ab}) \cong L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \oplus B_6^{(3)}$$

$$3B_6^{(3)} \oplus T_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \cong L_2(T_3(R_{ab})).$$

By arguing similarly to the case p = 2 we obtain...

$$H_1(G, L_6(R_{ab}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3)$$
  
= $H_1(G, L_3(L_2(R_{ab})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3) \oplus H_1(G, B_6^{(3)})$   
=0.

### Theorem (R. Stöhr and M.J.)

#### Theorem (R. Stöhr and M.J.)

### Theorem (R. Stöhr and M.J.)

## Theorem (R. Stöhr and M.J.)

## Theorem (R. Stöhr and M.J.)

### Exact results known to date

If G = F/R has no non-trivial elements of order dividing c then

$$t_c\cong \left\{ egin{array}{ll} H_4(G,\mathbb{Z}_p), & ext{if } c=p,\ p\ a\ prime; \ H_6(G,\mathbb{Z}_2), & ext{if } c=4; \ 0, & ext{if } c=6. \end{array} 
ight.$$