

# On Lusztig's Conjecture for Character Sheaves of Classical-Type Groups

Jay Taylor

Technische Universität Kaiserslautern

Cohomology in Lie Theory  
Oxford, June 2013

- Algebraic Closures:  $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  ( $p > 2$  a prime) and  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  ( $\ell \neq p > 0$  a prime).
- $\mathbf{G}$  a connected reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{K}$  such that  $Z(\mathbf{G})$  is connected and  $\mathbf{G}/Z(\mathbf{G})$  is simple of type  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$  or  $D_n$ .

$B_n$	$C_n$	$D_n$
$SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{K})$	$CSp_{2n}(\mathbb{K})$	$CO_{2n}(\mathbb{K})^\circ$

- $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  is a split Frobenius endomorphism defining an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational structure  $\mathbf{G}^F$ .

- Algebraic Closures:  $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  ( $p > 2$  a prime) and  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  ( $\ell \neq p > 0$  a prime).
- $\mathbf{G}$  a connected reductive algebraic group over  $\mathbb{K}$  such that  $Z(\mathbf{G})$  is connected and  $\mathbf{G}/Z(\mathbf{G})$  is simple of type  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$  or  $D_n$ .

$B_n$	$C_n$	$D_n$
$SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{K})$	$CSp_{2n}(\mathbb{K})$	$CO_{2n}(\mathbb{K})^\circ$

- $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  is a split Frobenius endomorphism defining an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational structure  $\mathbf{G}^F$ .

## Goal

Let  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r\}$  be the irreducible  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  and let  $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$  be conjugacy class representatives of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . Give a method to explicitly compute the character table

$$(\chi_i(g_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}.$$

Recall we have the  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -vector space of all class functions

$$\text{Cent}(\mathbf{G}^F) = \{f : \mathbf{G}^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mid f(xgx^{-1}) = f(g) \text{ for all } x, g \in \mathbf{G}^F\}.$$

Recall we have the  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -vector space of all class functions

$$\text{Cent}(\mathbf{G}^F) = \{f : \mathbf{G}^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mid f(xgx^{-1}) = f(g) \text{ for all } x, g \in \mathbf{G}^F\}.$$

### Possible Solution

Find a basis  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  such that:

- 1 the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are “computable”,

Recall we have the  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -vector space of all class functions

$$\text{Cent}(\mathbf{G}^F) = \{f : \mathbf{G}^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mid f(xgx^{-1}) = f(g) \text{ for all } x, g \in \mathbf{G}^F\}.$$

### Possible Solution

Find a basis  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  such that:

- 1 the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are “computable”,
- 2 the change of basis matrix from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  can be described.

Recall we have the  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -vector space of all class functions

$$\text{Cent}(\mathbf{G}^F) = \{f : \mathbf{G}^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \mid f(xgx^{-1}) = f(g) \text{ for all } x, g \in \mathbf{G}^F\}.$$

### Possible Solution

Find a basis  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  such that:

- ① the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are “computable”,
- ② the change of basis matrix from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  can be described.

### A Computable Basis

Take  $\mathcal{A} = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  to be the characteristic functions of the conjugacy classes of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ . In other words for each  $1 \leq i \leq r$  we define

$$f_i(g) = \begin{cases} |C_{\mathbf{G}^F}(g)| & \text{if } xgx^{-1} = g_i \text{ for some } x \in G \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Lusztig (1984) formally introduces the notion of an **almost character**.
- Defined on a case by case basis.
- Explicit linear combination of irreducible characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ .
- Coefficients given by a Fourier transform matrix (classical types) or non-abelian analogue (exceptional types).



- Lusztig (1984) formally introduces the notion of an **almost character**.
- Defined on a case by case basis.
- Explicit linear combination of irreducible characters of  $\mathbf{G}^F$ .
- Coefficients given by a Fourier transform matrix (classical types) or non-abelian analogue (exceptional types).

### Theorem (Lusztig, 1984)

*A subset  $\mathcal{B}$  of the set of almost characters is an orthonormal basis of  $\text{Cent}(\mathbf{G}^F)$ . Furthermore the change of basis matrix from  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{G}^F)$  is explicitly known.*

Fix an  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}_0 \leq \mathbf{G}$  and let  $W = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)/\mathbf{T}_0$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathbf{G}$ . For each  $w \in W$  define an  $F$ -stable maximal torus

$$\mathbf{T}_w := g\mathbf{T}_0g^{-1} \quad \text{some } g \in \mathbf{G} \text{ with } g^{-1}F(g) \mapsto w \in W.$$

Fix an  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}_0 \leq \mathbf{G}$  and let  $W = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)/\mathbf{T}_0$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathbf{G}$ . For each  $w \in W$  define an  $F$ -stable maximal torus

$$\mathbf{T}_w := g\mathbf{T}_0g^{-1} \quad \text{some } g \in \mathbf{G} \text{ with } g^{-1}F(g) \mapsto w \in W.$$

By Deligne–Lusztig (1976) we have for each  $w \in W$  a virtual character

$$R_{\mathbf{T}_w}^{\mathbf{G}}(1) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}(G).$$

Fix an  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $\mathbf{T}_0 \leq \mathbf{G}$  and let  $W = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T}_0)/\mathbf{T}_0$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathbf{G}$ . For each  $w \in W$  define an  $F$ -stable maximal torus

$$\mathbf{T}_w := g\mathbf{T}_0g^{-1} \quad \text{some } g \in \mathbf{G} \text{ with } g^{-1}F(g) \mapsto w \in W.$$

By Deligne–Lusztig (1976) we have for each  $w \in W$  a virtual character

$$R_{\mathbf{T}_w}^{\mathbf{G}}(1) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr}(G).$$

### Example of an Almost Character

Let  $\rho \in \text{Irr}(W)$  then we define

$$R_{\rho} = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \rho(w) R_{\mathbf{T}_w}^{\mathbf{G}}(1) \in \mathbb{Q} \text{Irr}(G).$$

We call  $R_{\rho}$  a **uniform unipotent almost character**.

$\mathcal{D}\mathbf{G} :=$  the bounded derived category of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -constructible sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$

$\mathcal{M}\mathbf{G} :=$  the category of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -perverse sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$

$\mathcal{D}\mathbf{G} :=$  the bounded derived category of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -constructible sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$   
 $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{G} :=$  the category of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -perverse sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$

- An object  $A \in \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}$  is a complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow A_{i-1} \longrightarrow A_i \longrightarrow A_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$  such that for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  the cohomology sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^i(A)$  is constructible.

- In particular, for each  $x \in \mathbf{G}$ , the stalk  $\mathcal{H}_x^i(A)$  is a finite dimensional  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -vector space. Furthermore we have  $\mathcal{H}_x^i(A) \neq 0$  for only finitely many  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$\mathcal{D}\mathbf{G} :=$  the bounded derived category of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -constructible sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$   
 $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{G} :=$  the category of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -perverse sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$

- An object  $A \in \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}$  is a complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow A_{i-1} \longrightarrow A_i \longrightarrow A_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves on  $\mathbf{G}$  such that for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  the cohomology sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^i(A)$  is constructible.

- In particular, for each  $x \in \mathbf{G}$ , the stalk  $\mathcal{H}_x^i(A)$  is a finite dimensional  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -vector space. Furthermore we have  $\mathcal{H}_x^i(A) \neq 0$  for only finitely many  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Definition

A **character sheaf** of  $\mathbf{G}$  is a  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant simple object in  $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{G}$ . We denote by  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  the set of character sheaves of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

The Frobenius endomorphism  $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  defines a functor

$$F^* : \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}$$

which preserves  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ .



The Frobenius endomorphism  $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  defines a functor

$$F^* : \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}$$

which preserves  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ . We say  $A \in \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}$  is  $F$ -stable if there exists an isomorphism

$$\phi_A : F^*A \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}.$$

We denote by  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  the subset of  $F$ -stable character sheaves.

The Frobenius endomorphism  $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  defines a functor

$$F^* : \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}$$

which preserves  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ . We say  $A \in \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}$  is  $F$ -stable if there exists an isomorphism

$$\phi_A : F^* A \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}.$$

We denote by  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  the subset of  $F$ -stable character sheaves.

### Definition

Assume now that  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F$ . For each  $x \in \mathbf{G}^F$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\mathcal{H}_x^i(F^* A) = \mathcal{H}_{F(x)}^i(A) = \mathcal{H}_x^i(A)$$

and  $\phi_A$  induces an automorphism  $\phi_A : \mathcal{H}_x^i(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_x^i(A)$ .

The Frobenius endomorphism  $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$  defines a functor

$$F^* : \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}$$

which preserves  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ . We say  $A \in \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}$  is  $F$ -stable if there exists an isomorphism

$$\phi_A : F^* A \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{D}\mathbf{G}.$$

We denote by  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  the subset of  $F$ -stable character sheaves.

### Definition

Assume now that  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F$ . For each  $x \in \mathbf{G}^F$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\mathcal{H}_x^i(F^* A) = \mathcal{H}_{F(x)}^i(A) = \mathcal{H}_x^i(A)$$

and  $\phi_A$  induces an automorphism  $\phi_A : \mathcal{H}_x^i(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_x^i(A)$ . We define the **characteristic function of  $A$**  to be  $\chi_{A, \phi_A} : \mathbf{G}^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  given by

$$\chi_{A, \phi_A}(g) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \operatorname{Tr}(\phi_A, \mathcal{H}_g^i(A)).$$

## Theorem (Lusztig, 1986)

*There exists a family of isomorphisms  $\{\phi_A : F^*A \rightarrow A \mid A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F\}$ , (unique up to multiplication by roots of unity), such that*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\chi_{A, \phi_A} \mid A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F\}$$

*is an orthonormal basis for  $\text{Cent}(G)$ . Furthermore, the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are computable by a general algorithm.*

### Theorem (Lusztig, 1986)

*There exists a family of isomorphisms  $\{\phi_A : F^*A \rightarrow A \mid A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F\}$ , (unique up to multiplication by roots of unity), such that*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\chi_{A, \phi_A} \mid A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F\}$$

*is an orthonormal basis for  $\text{Cent}(G)$ . Furthermore, the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are computable by a general algorithm.*

### Conjecture (Lusztig, 1985)

There is an explicit ordering of the bases  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  so that the change of basis matrix from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is diagonal and the non-zero entries are roots of unity.

### Theorem (Lusztig, 1986)

*There exists a family of isomorphisms  $\{\phi_A : F^*A \rightarrow A \mid A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F\}$ , (unique up to multiplication by roots of unity), such that*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\chi_{A, \phi_A} \mid A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F\}$$

*is an orthonormal basis for  $\text{Cent}(G)$ . Furthermore, the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are computable by a general algorithm.*

### Theorem (Shoji, 1995)

There is an explicit ordering of the bases  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  so that the change of basis matrix from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is diagonal and the non-zero entries are **scalars of absolute value 1**.

## Theorem (Lusztig, 1986)

*There exists a family of isomorphisms  $\{\phi_A : F^*A \rightarrow A \mid A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F\}$ , (unique up to multiplication by roots of unity), such that*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\chi_{A, \phi_A} \mid A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F\}$$

*is an orthonormal basis for  $\text{Cent}(G)$ . Furthermore, the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are computable by a general algorithm.*

## Theorem (Shoji, 1995)

There is an explicit ordering of the bases  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  so that the change of basis matrix from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is diagonal and the non-zero entries are **scalars of absolute value 1**.

## Remaining Problem

Compute explicitly the change of basis matrix  $C$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Assume  $\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{G}$  is a parabolic with Levi complement  $\mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{P}$ . Lusztig has defined a map

$$A_0 \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0) \in \mathcal{M}\mathbf{G}$$

called **induction**.



Assume  $\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{G}$  is a parabolic with Levi complement  $\mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{P}$ . Lusztig has defined a map

$$A_0 \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0) \in \mathcal{M} \mathbf{G}$$

called **induction**. The complex  $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)$  satisfies the following properties:

- $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0) = A_0$  if  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{G}$ .

Assume  $\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{G}$  is a parabolic with Levi complement  $\mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{P}$ . Lusztig has defined a map

$$A_0 \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0) \in \mathcal{M} \mathbf{G}$$

called **induction**. The complex  $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)$  satisfies the following properties:

- $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0) = A_0$  if  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{G}$ .
- $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)$  is semisimple and all indecomposable summands are character sheaves.

Assume  $\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{G}$  is a parabolic with Levi complement  $\mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{P}$ . Lusztig has defined a map

$$A_0 \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0) \in \mathcal{M} \mathbf{G}$$

called **induction**. The complex  $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)$  satisfies the following properties:

- $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0) = A_0$  if  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{G}$ .
- $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)$  is semisimple and all indecomposable summands are character sheaves.
- for any  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  there exists a Levi subgroup  $\mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{P}$  and a **cuspidal** character sheaf  $A_0 \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}}$  such that  $(A : \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)) \neq 0$ . Furthermore the pair  $(\mathbf{L}, A_0)$  is unique up to  $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugacy.

Assume  $\mathbf{P} \leq \mathbf{G}$  is a parabolic with Levi complement  $\mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{P}$ . Lusztig has defined a map

$$A_0 \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0) \in \mathcal{M} \mathbf{G}$$

called **induction**. The complex  $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)$  satisfies the following properties:

- $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0) = A_0$  if  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{G}$ .
- $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)$  is semisimple and all indecomposable summands are character sheaves.
- for any  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  there exists a Levi subgroup  $\mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{P}$  and a **cuspidal** character sheaf  $A_0 \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}}$  such that  $(A : \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)) \neq 0$ . Furthermore the pair  $(\mathbf{L}, A_0)$  is unique up to  $\mathbf{G}$ -conjugacy.

### Definition

We say  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  is **cuspidal** if  $(A : \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_0)) \neq 0$  implies  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{G}$ .

## Definition

We say  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  is **unipotently supported** if  $\mathcal{H}_u^i(A) \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \in \mathbf{G}$  unipotent.

## Definition

We say  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  is **unipotently supported** if  $\mathcal{H}_u^i(A) \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \in \mathbf{G}$  unipotent.

## Theorem (Lusztig)

If  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  is cuspidal and unipotently supported then

$$A = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_0 Z^\circ(\mathbf{G})}, \mathcal{E}_0 \boxtimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{G}$  is a unipotent conjugacy class and  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is a cuspidal local system on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

## Definition

We say  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  is **unipotently supported** if  $\mathcal{H}_u^i(A) \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \in \mathbf{G}$  unipotent.

## Theorem (Lusztig)

If  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  is cuspidal and unipotently supported then

$$A = \mathrm{IC}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_0 Z^\circ(\mathbf{G})}, \mathcal{E}_0 \boxtimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{G}$  is a unipotent conjugacy class and  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is a cuspidal local system on  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

$A$  is  $F$ -stable if and only if  $F(\mathcal{O}_0) = \mathcal{O}_0$  and  $F^* \mathcal{E}_0 \cong \mathcal{E}_0$ . Choose

$$\varphi : F^* \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \varphi_u : (\mathcal{E}_0)_u \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_0)_u \quad \text{is } q^* \text{ id}$$

for any **split unipotent element**  $u \in \mathcal{O}_0^F$ . Now  $\varphi \boxtimes \mathrm{id} \rightsquigarrow \phi_A$ .

Let  $(\mathbf{L}_1, A_1), \dots, (\mathbf{L}_k, A_k)$  be representatives for the conjugation action of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  on

$$\{(\mathbf{L}, A) \mid \mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{G} \text{ an } F\text{-stable Levi and } A \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}}^F \text{ cuspidal}\}.$$



Let  $(\mathbf{L}_1, A_1), \dots, (\mathbf{L}_k, A_k)$  be representatives for the conjugation action of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  on

$$\{(\mathbf{L}, A) \mid \mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{G} \text{ an } F\text{-stable Levi and } A \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}}^F \text{ cuspidal}\}.$$

We get a block decomposition

$$\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_i^F = \{A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F \mid (A : \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L}_i \subseteq \mathbf{P}_i}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_i)) \neq 0\}$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_i^F \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & C_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $(\mathbf{L}_1, A_1), \dots, (\mathbf{L}_k, A_k)$  be representatives for the conjugation action of  $\mathbf{G}^F$  on

$$\{(\mathbf{L}, A) \mid \mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{G} \text{ an } F\text{-stable Levi and } A \in \widehat{\mathbf{L}}^F \text{ cuspidal}\}.$$

We get a block decomposition

$$\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_i^F = \{A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F \mid (A : \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L}_i \subseteq \mathbf{P}_i}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_i)) \neq 0\}$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_i^F \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & C_k \end{bmatrix}$$

### Lemma (Shoji)

Let  $\zeta_i \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$  be such that  $\zeta_i \chi_{A_i, \phi_{A_i}}$  is an almost character of  $\mathbf{L}_i^F$ . The isomorphism  $\phi_{A_i}$  induces an isomorphism  $\phi_A$  for each  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_i^F$  such that  $C_i$  is  $(-1)^{a_i} \zeta_i$  times the identity matrix for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

## Theorem (Lusztig)

*The Levi subgroups  $\mathbf{L}_i$  are such that  $\mathbf{L}_i/Z(\mathbf{L}_i)$  is simple of the same type as  $\mathbf{G}$ .*

## Theorem (Lusztig)

*The Levi subgroups  $\mathbf{L}_i$  are such that  $\mathbf{L}_i/Z(\mathbf{L}_i)$  is simple of the same type as  $\mathbf{G}$ .*

## Lemma (Lusztig)

*Assume  $(A : \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L}_i \subseteq \mathbf{P}_i}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_i)) \neq 0$  then  $A$  is unipotently supported if and only if  $A_i$  is unipotently supported.*

## Theorem (Lusztig)

The Levi subgroups  $\mathbf{L}_i$  are such that  $\mathbf{L}_i/Z(\mathbf{L}_i)$  is simple of the same type as  $\mathbf{G}$ .

## Lemma (Lusztig)

Assume  $(A : \text{ind}_{\mathbf{L}_i \subseteq \mathbf{P}_i}^{\mathbf{G}}(A_i)) \neq 0$  then  $A$  is unipotently supported if and only if  $A_i$  is unipotently supported.

## Theorem (T., 2013)

Assume  $A \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}^F$  is a unipotently supported cuspidal character sheaf and  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  when  $\mathbf{G}$  is of type  $C_n$  or  $D_n$  then  $\chi_{A, \phi_A}$  is the corresponding almost character of  $G$ .

- When  $\mathbf{G} = \text{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{K})$  due to Lusztig - “On the Character Values of Finite Chevalley Groups at Unipotent Elements” (1986).

By Kawanaka (1986) we have a map

$$u \in G \text{ unipotent} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \gamma_u \in \text{Cent}(G)$$

where  $\gamma_u$  is the character of a **generalised Gelfand–Graev representation**.

By Kawanaka (1986) we have a map

$$u \in G \text{ unipotent} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \gamma_u \in \text{Cent}(G)$$

where  $\gamma_u$  is the character of a **generalised Gelfand–Graev representation**. Assume  $p$  and  $q$  sufficiently large then by Lusztig (1992) we have a map

$$\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_\chi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \text{ an } F\text{-stable unipotent class}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_\chi$  is the **unipotent support** of  $\chi$ .

By Kawanaka (1986) we have a map

$$u \in G \text{ unipotent} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \gamma_u \in \text{Cent}(G)$$

where  $\gamma_u$  is the character of a **generalised Gelfand–Graev representation**. Assume  $p$  and  $q$  sufficiently large then by Lusztig (1992) we have a map

$$\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_\chi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \text{ an } F\text{-stable unipotent class}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_\chi$  is the **unipotent support** of  $\chi$ .

### Lemma (Lusztig, 1992)

*Assume  $p$  and  $q$  are sufficiently large. For any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  and any  $u \in \mathcal{O}_\chi^F$  we have  $|\langle D_G(\gamma_u), \chi \rangle|$  is a “small” integer. In other words it is bounded independently of  $q$ .*



## Question

Can we explicitly compute  $\langle D_G(\gamma_u), \chi \rangle$ ?

## Question

Can we explicitly compute  $\langle D_G(\gamma_u), \chi \rangle$ ?

- Lusztig has expressed each GGGR as an explicit linear combination of characteristic functions of  $F$ -stable unipotently supported character sheaves.
- In particular we may translate the problem

$$\langle D_G(\gamma_u), \chi \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle D_G(\chi_{A, \phi_A}), \chi \rangle$$

- The right hand side is known once Lusztig's conjecture is explicitly known.
- Then one only has to do the translation.