

Structure of Root Data and Smooth Regular Embeddings

Jay Taylor

New Perspectives in Representation Theory of Finite Groups

October 17th 2017

University of Arizona

$SL_n(q)$

Representation Theory
is "hard"



$SL_n(q)$

Representation Theory
is "hard"

$SL_n(q)$

Representation Theory
is "easier"

$GL_n(q)$

Representation Theory
is "hard"

Representation Theory
is "easier"

$$\mathrm{SL}_n(q) \triangleleft \mathrm{GL}_n(q)$$

Representation Theory
is "hard"

Representation Theory
is "easier"

$$G := \mathrm{SL}_n(q) \triangleleft \mathrm{GL}_n(q) =: \tilde{G}$$

Representation Theory
is "hard"

Representation Theory
is "easier"

$$G := \mathrm{SL}_n(q) \triangleleft \mathrm{GL}_n(q) =: \tilde{G}$$

Clifford's Theorem

- \tilde{G}/G is cyclic $\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Res}_{\tilde{G}}^G(\tilde{\chi}) = \chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_r$

Representation Theory
is "hard"

Representation Theory
is "easier"

$$G := \mathrm{SL}_n(q) \triangleleft \mathrm{GL}_n(q) =: \tilde{G}$$

Clifford's Theorem

- \tilde{G}/G is cyclic $\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Res}_{\tilde{G}}^G(\tilde{\chi}) = \chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_r$
- $g \in G$ and $\langle g \rangle_{\tilde{G}} \cap G = \langle g \rangle_G$ then

$$\chi_i(g) = \frac{\tilde{\chi}(g)}{r}$$

- G a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

- \mathbf{G} a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$
- $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a Steinberg endomorphism
 - $\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{G}^F = \{g \in \mathbf{G} \mid F(g) = g\}$ a finite reductive group

- \mathbf{G} a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$
- $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a Steinberg endomorphism
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{G}^F = \{g \in \mathbf{G} \mid F(g) = g\}$ a finite reductive group

Philosophical Observation

The representation theory of \mathbf{G}^F is harder when $Z(\mathbf{G})$ is disconnected.

- \mathbf{G} a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$
- $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a Steinberg endomorphism
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{G}^F = \{g \in \mathbf{G} \mid F(g) = g\}$ a finite reductive group

Philosophical Observation

The representation theory of \mathbf{G}^F is harder when $Z(\mathbf{G})$ is disconnected.

Deligne–Lusztig ('76):

- \mathbf{G} a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$
- $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a Steinberg endomorphism
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{G}^F = \{g \in \mathbf{G} \mid F(g) = g\}$ a finite reductive group

Philosophical Observation

The representation theory of \mathbf{G}^F is harder when $Z(\mathbf{G})$ is disconnected.

Deligne–Lusztig ('76):

- Should embed $\mathbf{G}^F \triangleleft \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F$ as a normal subgroup such that $Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})$ is connected.

- \mathbf{G} a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbb{F} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$
- $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a Steinberg endomorphism
 $\rightsquigarrow \mathbf{G}^F = \{g \in \mathbf{G} \mid F(g) = g\}$ a finite reductive group

Philosophical Observation

The representation theory of \mathbf{G}^F is harder when $Z(\mathbf{G})$ is disconnected.

Deligne–Lusztig ('76):

- Should embed $\mathbf{G}^F \triangleleft \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F$ as a normal subgroup such that $Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})$ is connected.
- $\mathbb{F}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}^\times \cong \mathbf{T} \leq \mathbf{G}$ an F -stable maximal torus

$$\mathbf{G} \times_{Z(\mathbf{G})} \mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{T}) / \{(z, z^{-1}) \mid z \in Z(\mathbf{G})\}$$

Example ($\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$)

- if $p \nmid n$ then $\mathbf{G} \times_{Z(\mathbf{G})} \mathbf{T} \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$
- if $n = p^k$ then $\mathbf{G} \times_{Z(\mathbf{G})} \mathbf{T} \cong \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{T}$

Example ($\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$)

- if $p \nmid n$ then $\mathbf{G} \times_{Z(\mathbf{G})} \mathbf{T} \cong \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$
- if $n = p^k$ then $\mathbf{G} \times_{Z(\mathbf{G})} \mathbf{T} \cong \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{T}$

Regular Embedding (Lusztig '88)

A closed embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a **regular embedding** if:

- $\tilde{\mathbf{G}} = \iota(\mathbf{G})Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})$ and $Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})$ is connected
- $F : \tilde{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a Steinberg endomorphism and $\iota \circ F = F \circ \iota$.

We then have $\mathbf{G}^F \cong \iota(\mathbf{G})^F \triangleleft \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^F$.

Smooth Regular Embeddings

- Root datum: $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = (X(\mathbf{T}), \Phi, \check{X}(\mathbf{T}), \check{\Phi})$

Smooth Regular Embeddings

- Root datum: $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = (X(\mathbf{T}), \Phi, \check{X}(\mathbf{T}), \check{\Phi})$
 - $X(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{F}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$
 - $\check{X}(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^\times, \mathbf{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$
- (Recall: $\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbb{F}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}^\times$.)

Smooth Regular Embeddings

- Root datum: $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = (X(\mathbf{T}), \Phi, \check{X}(\mathbf{T}), \check{\Phi})$
 - $X(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{F}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$
 - $\check{X}(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^\times, \mathbf{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$

(Recall: $\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbb{F}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}^\times$.)
- $Z(\mathbf{G}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T} \rightsquigarrow X(\mathbf{T}) \twoheadrightarrow X(Z(\mathbf{G}))$

Smooth Regular Embeddings

- Root datum: $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = (X(\mathbf{T}), \Phi, \check{X}(\mathbf{T}), \check{\Phi})$
 - $X(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{F}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$
 - $\check{X}(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^\times, \mathbf{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$

(Recall: $\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbb{F}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}^\times$.)

- $Z(\mathbf{G}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T} \rightsquigarrow X(\mathbf{T}) \twoheadrightarrow X(Z(\mathbf{G}))$
- $Z(\mathbf{G}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Phi} \text{Ker}(\alpha) \rightsquigarrow (X(\mathbf{T})/\mathbb{Z}\Phi)_{p'} \cong X(Z(\mathbf{G}))$

Smooth Regular Embeddings

- Root datum: $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = (X(\mathbf{T}), \Phi, \check{X}(\mathbf{T}), \check{\Phi})$

- $X(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{F}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$

- $\check{X}(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^\times, \mathbf{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$

(Recall: $\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbb{F}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}^\times$.)

- $Z(\mathbf{G}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T} \rightsquigarrow X(\mathbf{T}) \twoheadrightarrow X(Z(\mathbf{G}))$

- $Z(\mathbf{G}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Phi} \text{Ker}(\alpha) \rightsquigarrow (X(\mathbf{T})/\mathbb{Z}\Phi)_{p'} \cong X(Z(\mathbf{G}))$

Lemma

$Z(\mathbf{G})$ is connected if and only if $X(\mathbf{T})/\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ has no p' -torsion.

Smooth Regular Embeddings

- Root datum: $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = (X(\mathbf{T}), \Phi, \check{X}(\mathbf{T}), \check{\Phi})$
 - $X(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{F}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$
 - $\check{X}(\mathbf{T}) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^\times, \mathbf{T}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$

(Recall: $\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbb{F}^\times \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}^\times$.)
- $Z(\mathbf{G}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T} \rightsquigarrow X(\mathbf{T}) \twoheadrightarrow X(Z(\mathbf{G}))$
- $Z(\mathbf{G}) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Phi} \text{Ker}(\alpha) \rightsquigarrow (X(\mathbf{T})/\mathbb{Z}\Phi)_{p'} \cong X(Z(\mathbf{G}))$

Lemma

$Z(\mathbf{G})$ is connected if and only if $X(\mathbf{T})/\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ has no p' -torsion.

Definition

$Z(\mathbf{G})$ is **smooth** if $X(\mathbf{T})/\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ has no p -torsion.

Smooth Regular Embeddings

Example

Smooth Regular Embeddings

Example

$\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$, \mathbf{T} diagonal matrices, then $X(\mathbf{T})$ has a natural basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$.

Smooth Regular Embeddings

Example

$\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$, \mathbf{T} diagonal matrices, then $X(\mathbf{T})$ has a natural basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}\Phi &= \{a_1e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n \mid a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0\} \\ &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n\}\end{aligned}$$

and $X(\mathbf{T})/\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ has no torsion as $X(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbb{Z}\Phi \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n$.

Smooth Regular Embeddings

Example

$\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$, \mathbf{T} diagonal matrices, then $X(\mathbf{T})$ has a natural basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}\Phi &= \{a_1e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n \mid a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0\} \\ &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n\}\end{aligned}$$

and $X(\mathbf{T})/\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ has no torsion as $X(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbb{Z}\Phi \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n$.

Definition

A regular embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a **smooth regular embedding** if $Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})$ is connected and smooth.

Smooth Regular Embeddings

Example

$\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$, \mathbf{T} diagonal matrices, then $X(\mathbf{T})$ has a natural basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}\Phi &= \{a_1e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n \mid a_1 + \dots + a_n = 0\} \\ &= \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e_1 - e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n\}\end{aligned}$$

and $X(\mathbf{T})/\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ has no torsion as $X(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbb{Z}\Phi \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n$.

Definition

A regular embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a **smooth regular embedding** if $Z(\tilde{\mathbf{G}})$ is connected and smooth.

Example: $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$.

Remark

If we have a regular embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ then

$$\iota : \mathbf{T} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{T}} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \twoheadrightarrow X(\mathbf{T})$$

Remark

If we have a regular embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ then

$$\iota : \mathbf{T} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{T}} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \twoheadrightarrow X(\mathbf{T})$$

- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$.

Remark

If we have a regular embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ then

$$\iota : \mathbf{T} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{T}} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \twoheadrightarrow X(\mathbf{T})$$

- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$.
- $A := X/\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ then we have a surjective map $f : X \twoheadrightarrow A$.

Remark

If we have a regular embedding $\iota : \mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ then

$$\iota : \mathbf{T} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{T}} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad X(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \twoheadrightarrow X(\mathbf{T})$$

- $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}) = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$.
- $A := X/\mathbb{Z}\Phi$ then we have a surjective map $f : X \twoheadrightarrow A$.
- $\mathcal{T} = (T, \emptyset, \check{T}, \emptyset)$ and $h : T \twoheadrightarrow A$

$$X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T = \{(x, t) \in X \oplus T \mid f(x) = h(t)\}.$$

and a surjective homomorphism

$$\phi : X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T \twoheadrightarrow X.$$

- We have $(X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T)/\mathbb{Z}\Phi \cong T$ has no torsion.

- We have $(X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T)/\mathbb{Z}\Phi \cong T$ has no torsion.
- $X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{R} \oplus_{(A,f,h)} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{T}')$

- We have $(X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T)/\mathbb{Z}\Phi \cong T$ has no torsion.
- $X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{R} \oplus_{(A,f,h)} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{T}')$

Lemma (T.)

There exists a smooth regular embedding $\mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$.

- We have $(X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T)/\mathbb{Z}\Phi \cong T$ has no torsion.
- $X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{R} \oplus_{(A,f,h)} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{T}')$

Lemma (T.)

There exists a smooth regular embedding $\mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$.

Proposition (T.)

Assume \mathbf{G}_n is one of

$$\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}), \quad \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}), \quad \mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}), \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{Spin}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}).$$

- We have $(X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T)/\mathbb{Z}\Phi \cong T$ has no torsion.
- $X \oplus_{(A,f,h)} T \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{R} \oplus_{(A,f,h)} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{T}')$

Lemma (T.)

There exists a smooth regular embedding $\mathbf{G} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$.

Proposition (T.)

Assume \mathbf{G}_n is one of

$$\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F}), \quad \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}), \quad \mathrm{Spin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{F}), \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{Spin}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}).$$

There exists a smooth regular embedding $\mathbf{G}_n \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_n$ such that each Levi subgroup of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_n$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{F}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_r}(\mathbb{F}) \times \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_m$$

where $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r + m$.

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

- $\mathcal{R} :=$ the isomorphism classes of root data.

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

- $\mathcal{R} :=$ the isomorphism classes of root data.
- We partition \mathcal{R} into smaller subsets

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigsqcup_{[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, K] / \sim} \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, K]$$

where $\mathcal{R} = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$ is semisimple, $\mathcal{T} = (T, \emptyset, \check{T}, \emptyset)$ is a torus, and $\Phi \subseteq K \subseteq X$ is a submodule.

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

- $\mathcal{R} :=$ the isomorphism classes of root data.
- We partition \mathcal{R} into smaller subsets

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigsqcup_{[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, K] / \sim} \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, K]$$

where $\mathcal{R} = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$ is semisimple, $\mathcal{T} = (T, \emptyset, \check{T}, \emptyset)$ is a torus, and $\Phi \subseteq K \subseteq X$ is a submodule.

Remark

Recall that $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_{\text{der}} Z^{\circ}(\mathbf{G})$. Assume $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}) \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, K]$ then

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{G}_{\text{der}}) \cong \mathcal{R} \quad \mathcal{R}(Z^{\circ}(\mathbf{G})) \cong \mathcal{T} \quad X(\mathbf{G}_{\text{der}} \cap Z^{\circ}(\mathbf{G})) \cong (X/K)_{p'}$$

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

- $\mathcal{R} = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$ and $\mathcal{T} = (T, \emptyset, \check{T}, \emptyset)$

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

- $\mathcal{R} = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$ and $\mathcal{T} = (T, \emptyset, \check{T}, \emptyset)$
- $A = X/K$ and $f : X \twoheadrightarrow A$ the natural projection

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

- $\mathcal{R} = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$ and $\mathcal{T} = (T, \emptyset, \check{T}, \emptyset)$
- $A = X/K$ and $f : X \twoheadrightarrow A$ the natural projection
- $h : T \twoheadrightarrow A$ a surjective homomorphism

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

- $\mathcal{R} = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$ and $\mathcal{T} = (T, \emptyset, \check{T}, \emptyset)$
- $A = X/K$ and $f : X \rightarrow A$ the natural projection
- $h : T \rightarrow A$ a surjective homomorphism

Theorem (T.)

The map $\text{Aut}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ defined by $\psi \mapsto \mathcal{R} \oplus_{(A, f, \psi \circ h)} \mathcal{T}$ induces a bijection

$$\text{Aut}_{(\mathcal{R}, f)}(A) \backslash \text{Aut}(A) / \text{Aut}_{(\mathcal{T}, h)}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, K].$$

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

- $\mathcal{R} = (X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$ and $\mathcal{T} = (T, \emptyset, \check{T}, \emptyset)$
- $A = X/K$ and $f : X \rightarrow A$ the natural projection
- $h : T \rightarrow A$ a surjective homomorphism

Theorem (T.)

The map $\text{Aut}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ defined by $\psi \mapsto \mathcal{R} \oplus_{(A, f, \psi \circ h)} \mathcal{T}$ induces a bijection

$$\text{Aut}_{(\mathcal{R}, f)}(A) \setminus \text{Aut}(A) / \text{Aut}_{(\mathcal{T}, h)}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, K].$$

Corollary (T.)

If A has s invariant factors and $\text{rk}(T) \geq s + 1$ then $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, K]$ has cardinality 1.

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

Example

Assume \mathbf{G} has a smooth connected centre and $\mathbf{G}_{\text{der}} \cong \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$.
How many isomorphism classes of such groups are there?

Isomorphism Classes of Root Data

Example

Assume \mathbf{G} has a smooth connected centre and $\mathbf{G}_{\text{der}} \cong \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$.
How many isomorphism classes of such groups are there?

- $\dim(Z^\circ(\mathbf{G})) = 0 \rightsquigarrow \text{None,}$

Example

Assume \mathbf{G} has a smooth connected centre and $\mathbf{G}_{\text{der}} \cong \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$.
How many isomorphism classes of such groups are there?

- $\dim(Z^\circ(\mathbf{G})) = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ None,
- $\dim(Z^\circ(\mathbf{G})) = 1 \rightsquigarrow \varphi(n)/2$, e.g., $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$,

Example

Assume \mathbf{G} has a smooth connected centre and $\mathbf{G}_{\text{der}} \cong \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$.
How many isomorphism classes of such groups are there?

- $\dim(Z^\circ(\mathbf{G})) = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ None,
- $\dim(Z^\circ(\mathbf{G})) = 1 \rightsquigarrow \varphi(n)/2$, e.g., $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$,
- $\dim(Z^\circ(\mathbf{G})) = 2 \rightsquigarrow$ there's only one, e.g., $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \times (\mathbb{F}^\times)^k$.

Asai's Reduction Techniques

- Reduce proving a property (P) for (\mathbf{G}, F) to the case where \mathbf{G}_{der} is simple and simply connected. This assumes $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a Frobenius endomorphism.
- Get new proofs of Asai's results and extend them to show that they are compatible with Steinberg endomorphisms.