

Fix a probability distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . If we use  $\mu$  to drive a random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  then the distribution after  $n$  steps is given by the  $n$ -fold convolution  $\mu^{*n}$  of  $\mu$  where

$$(\mu * \mu)(t) = \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \mu(t-s)\mu(s)$$

and  $\mu^{*n} = \mu^{*(n-1)} * \mu$ . What can we say about the long-term behaviour of the random walk i.e. the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of  $\mu^{*n}$ ?

### Limits

Let  $n \mapsto \mu_n$  be a sequence of probability distributions on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . A probability distribution  $\mu_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a limit of the sequence  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(t) = \mu_\infty(t)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . The limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(t)$  is a limit of a sequence of numbers so makes sense in the usual way.

Theorem Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  be a sequence of probability distributions on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . A probability distribution  $\mu_\infty$  is their limit if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\mu_n, \mu_\infty) = 0$ .

(Recall that

$$d(\mu, \nu) = \max \{ |\mu(A) - \nu(A)| : A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} |\mu(t) - \nu(t)|$$

for all distributions  $\mu, \nu$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .)

### Ergodicity

Roughly speaking, a distribution  $\mu$  is ergodic if after many steps there is a fair chance that the current location is anywhere. Specifically, a distribution  $\mu$  is ergodic if the sequence  $\mu^{*n}$  converges to  $\lambda$ .

Our goal for the moment is a criterion for ergodicity in terms of  $\mu$  alone.

Eg If  $p=6$  and  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_2$  is  $\mu$  ergodic?

Solution: In  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  we have  $-2=4$ . After one step the biccocoli is either at location 2 or location 4. After two steps it is in one of locations 0, 2, 4. Since 6 is even and we take steps of even size, the biccocoli can never be at positions 1, 3, 5.  $\square$

Eg If  $p=6$  and  $\mu = \delta_1$  is  $\mu$  ergodic?

Solution: At each step the biccocoli is in a specific location (with guest  $n \bmod p$  at step  $n$ ) so there is never a time at which everyone has a positive probability of having biccocoli.  $\square$

Eg If  $p=6$  and  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_3$  is  $\mu$  ergodic?

Solution: After two steps the biccocoli can be with guests  $\{0, 2, 4\}$  only. After three steps it will be with guests  $\{1, 3, 5\}$  only, and this pattern repeats.  $\square$

**Support**

The support of a distribution  $\mu: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is the set

$$\text{spt}(\mu) = \{t \in \mathbb{Z}_p : \mu(t) > 0\}$$

of outcomes that  $\mu$  does not consider impossible. In particular, the support of  $\mu^{*n}$  is the set of guests who have a non-zero probability of being the biccocoli holder after  $n$  passes. If  $\mu^{*n} \rightarrow \lambda$  then there must in particular be some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with ~~spt~~  $\text{spt}(\mu^{*n}) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

What is the support of  $\mu^{*n}$  and when does  $\mu$  have the property that  $\text{spt}(\mu^{*n}) = \mathbb{Z}_p$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ?

Theorem Let  $\mu, \nu$  be probability distributions on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then  $t \in \text{spt}(\mu * \nu)$  if and only if

Proof:  $t \in \text{spt}(\mu * \nu)$   
 $\Downarrow$   
 $(\mu * \nu)(t) > 0$   
 $\Downarrow$   
 $\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \mu(t \ominus s) \nu(s) > 0$   
 $\Downarrow$   
 $\exists s \in \mathbb{Z}_p : \mu(t \ominus s) > 0 \text{ and } \nu(s) > 0$   
 $\Downarrow$   
 $\exists s \in \mathbb{Z}_p : t \ominus s \in \text{spt}(\mu) \text{ and } s \in \text{spt}(\nu)$   
 $\Downarrow$   
 $t \in \text{spt}(\mu) \oplus \text{spt}(\nu)$  □

Here  $\text{spt}(\mu) \oplus \text{spt}(\nu) = \{a+b : a \in \text{spt}(\mu) \text{ and } b \in \text{spt}(\nu)\}$ . Thus  $\text{spt}(\mu) \oplus \text{spt}(\nu)$  is the support of  $\mu * \nu$ . Define, for any  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$  the set  $A^{\oplus n} = A^{\oplus n-1} \oplus A$  so that  $A^{\oplus 2} = A \oplus A, A^{\oplus 3} = A \oplus A \oplus A$  etc. By induction the support of  $\mu^{*n}$  is  $\text{spt}(\mu)^{\oplus n}$ . If  $\mu$  is to be ergodic then  $\text{spt}(\mu)^{\oplus n} = \mathbb{Z}_p$  must hold for some (and therefore all subsequent)  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Lemma If  $\text{spt}(\mu) \subset \Gamma \oplus \alpha$  for some  $\Gamma < \mathbb{Z}_p$  proper and some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  then  $\text{spt}(\mu)^{\oplus n}$  is never  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Proof:  $(\Gamma \oplus \alpha) \oplus (\Gamma \oplus \beta) = \Gamma \oplus (\alpha \oplus \beta)$ . □

This explains all of the earlier examples succinctly.

It turns out that the converse is true as well!

Lemma If  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$  is not contained in a coset of a proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  then there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $A^{\oplus n} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Proof: The idea is to deduce that  $|A^{\oplus n}|$  is strictly increasing, so that at some point  $A^{\oplus n}$  must have cardinality  $p$  and equal  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

We begin with the following fact.

Fact: If  $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$  are both non-empty and  $|A| = |A \oplus B| = |B|$  then  $A, B$  are both cosets of the same subgroup  $\Gamma < \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Proof: Fix  $t \in A$  and  $s \in B$ . Put  $A' = A \oplus t$  and  $B' = B \oplus s$ . (If  $A$  and  $B$  are cosets of some  $\Gamma$  then  $A'$  and  $B'$  would have to be  $\Gamma$ .) Let's prove  $A'$

and  $B'$  are the same subgroup. Since  $0 \in A'$  and  $0 \in B'$  we have

$A' \subset A' \oplus B'$  and  $B' \subset A' \oplus B'$ . But our cardinality assumption then gives  $|A'| = |A' \oplus B'| = |B'|$  so that  $A' = A' \oplus B' = B'$ . Thus

$$A' \oplus A' = A' \oplus B' = A' \quad B' \oplus B' = A' \oplus B' = B'$$

so  $A', B'$  are both subgroups, and equal. Certainly  $A$  and  $B$  are both cosets of that subgroup.  $\square$

Now suppose  $A$  is not contained in a ~~proper~~ coset of a proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then  $|A| < |A \oplus A|$ . We cannot have  $A \oplus A$  contained in a coset of a proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as otherwise  $A$  would be too. If  $A \oplus A = \mathbb{Z}_p$  we are done. Otherwise the Fact gives  $|A \oplus A \oplus A| > |A \oplus A|$ .

Repeating at most  $p$  times, eventually  $A^{\oplus n} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .  $\square$

The two lemmas together prove the following theorem.

Theorem Fix a distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The support  $\text{spt}(\mu)$  is <sup>not</sup> contained in a ~~proper subgroup~~ coset of a proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\text{spt}(\mu^{*n}) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .