

Pretzel Monoids: Left Adequate Expansions of Right Cancellative Monoids

Daniel Heath

He/Him

`daniel.heath-2@manchester.ac.uk`

`https://personalpages.manchester.ac.uk/staff/daniel.heath-2/`

University of Manchester

Joint work with Mark Kambites and Nóra Szakács

75th BMC

June 17–20, 2024



The University of Manchester

The \mathcal{R}^* relation and Left Adequacy

Definition

Given a monoid M , define an equivalence relation \mathcal{R}^* on M by $a\mathcal{R}^*b$ if and only if

$$\forall x, y \in M, \quad xa = ya \iff xb = yb.$$


Think: “Elements are \mathcal{R}^* -related iff they ‘share’ right-cancellativity properties”.

Definition

A monoid is called left adequate if:

- 1 Every \mathcal{R}^* -class contains a unique idempotent.
- 2 The idempotents of M commute with each other ($ef = fe$).

A Big Diagram







FLAdS

Theorem (Kambites, 2009)

Elements of the free left adequate monoid generated by a set X may be treated as directed, edge-labelled trees, labelled by X , with two distinguished vertices called start and end, such that:

- ① *There is a path from the start vertex to every other vertex.*
- ② *No branches of the tree can be 'completely folded in', where we always fix the start/end vertices.*

The multiplication ST of trees S and T is given by gluing T to S start-to-end, then folding in any branches we can.



Pretzels!

Fix a set X and an X -generated right cancellative monoid C .

Definition

An *idempath* in an X -labelled digraph Γ is a path labelled by a word $x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$ which is equal to the identity in C . We take the empty path with label ϵ to have $\epsilon =_C 1$.

An *idempath identification* in Γ is the process of ‘cycling up’ an idempath.

Lemma (H., Kambites, Szakács, 2024)

Given a tree $T \in \text{FLAd}(X)$, there exists a unique graph obtainable by sequentially performing all non-trivial idempath identifications (in any order) to T .

Definition




Given any tree $T \in \text{FLAd}(X)$, perform the following:

- 1 Idempath identify as far as possible...
- 2 ...then retract anything in the result which can retract.

We call the (uniquely obtained) result the *pretzel* of T , denoted \widetilde{T} .

Example

Take $X = \{x, y\}$ and $C = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 = \text{Mon}\langle x, y \rangle$.



Gluing

Take two trees S and T in $\text{FLAd}(X)$ and pretzel-ify them w.r.t $C = \text{RC}\langle X \rangle$.
Define a multiplication on pretzels as follows:


- 1 Glue $\overline{\overline{T}}$ to $\overline{\overline{S}}$, start-to-end.
- 2 Pretzel-ify the result (note that new idempaths could have been created!).

Theorem (H., Kambites, Szakács, 2024)

This multiplication on pretzels is well-defined and associative.

Under this multiplication, the set of all pretzels (w.r.t X and C) is a left adequate monoid.

Denote this left adequate monoid by $\mathcal{PT}(C; X)$. For example, the 5 pretzels of $\mathcal{PT}(\mathbb{Z}_2; x)$ are:



Properties of Pretzels

Properties

- 1 $\mathcal{PT}(C; X)$ is X -generated (as a left adequate monoid).
- 2 $\mathcal{PT}(X^*; X) \cong \text{FLAd}(X)$.
- 3 For any $C = \text{RCanc}\langle X \rangle$, there exists $C' = G * Y^*$ with $\mathcal{PT}(C; X) \cong \mathcal{PT}(C'; X)$.
- 4 $\mathcal{PT}(C; X)$ is finite $\iff C$ is finite $\implies C$ is a group.
- 5 Any pretzel Γ is a tree of strongly connected subgraphs of $\text{Cay}(G)$ for some group G .

Theorem (H., Kambites, Szakács, 2024)

$$\mathcal{PT}(C; X) \cong \text{LAd}\langle X \mid w^2 = w \text{ for } w \in X^* \text{ s.t. } w =_C 1 \rangle.$$

Pretzel monoids are one analogue of Margolis-Meakin expansions from E -unitary inverse land.

$$\mathcal{M}(G; X) \cong \text{Inv}\langle X \mid w^2 = w \text{ for } w \in X^* \text{ s.t. } w =_G 1 \rangle.$$

References

Thank you!

- ① D. Heath, M. Kambites, and N. Szakács. *Pretzel monoids*. 2024. arXiv: 2405.00589
- ② M. Kambites. “Retracts of trees and free left adequate semigroups”. In: *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 54(3) (2011), 731–747
- ③ S. W. Margolis and J. C. Meakin. “ E -unitary inverse monoids and the Cayley graph of a group presentation”. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 58(1) (1989), pp. 45–76

