

### 7.3 Cycles

**Definition 7.3.1** If  $\rho$  is a permutation on  $A$  then  $\rho$  **fixes**  $a \in A$  if  $\rho(a) = a$  and  $\rho$  **moves**  $a$  if  $\rho(a) \neq a$ .

**Definition 7.3.2** Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$  be distinct elements in  $A$ . If  $\rho$  is a permutation that fixes all the other elements of  $A$  and if

$$\rho(a_1) = a_2, \rho(a_2) = a_3, \rho(a_3) = a_4, \dots, \rho(a_{r-1}) = a_r, \rho(a_r) = a_1,$$

i.e.

$$a_1 \mapsto a_2 \mapsto a_3 \mapsto \dots \mapsto a_r \mapsto a_1,$$

then  $\rho$  is called a **cycle of length  $r$** , sometimes called an  **$r$ -cycle**. A 2-cycle is called a **transposition**.

The  $r$ -cycle above will be denoted by

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_r).$$

**Note** that any  $a_i$  can be taken as the “starting point”, so

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_r) = (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_r, a_1) = \dots = (a_r, a_1, \dots, a_{r-2}, a_{r-1}).$$

We can take  $r = 1$  in the definition to get a 1-cycle,  $(a_1)$ . But such a cycle fixes all elements of  $A$  and is thus the identity. Hence all 1-cycles equal the identity, i.e.  $(a) = 1_A$  for all  $a \in A$ .

**Example 7.3.3** (i) Two permutations seen before were cycles. Namely,  $\rho, \pi \in S_5$ ,

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = (1, 4, 3),$$

and

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5).$$

(ii) In  $S_3$  all permutations happen to be cycles, namely

$$1_3, (2, 3), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 3, 2) \text{ and } (1, 2, 3).$$

The inverse of a cycle is obtained simply by writing it in reverse order. So in  $S_5$ ,

$$\rho^{-1} = (1, 4, 3)^{-1} = (3, 4, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix},$$

as seen before. And we can compose cycles written in this notation, remembering to read *from the right*. So, in  $S_5$ ,

$$\rho \circ \pi = (1, 4, 3) \circ (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

as seen before. Again, we did this by noting that  $\pi$  moved 1 to 2 which  $\rho$  then fixed. Next  $\pi$  moved 2 to 3 which  $\rho$  moved onto 1. Continue.

**Example 7.3.4** In  $S_3$  we can represent all possible products in a table

$\circ$	$1_3$	$(2, 3)$	$(1, 2)$	$(1, 3)$	$(1, 3, 2)$	$(1, 2, 3)$
$1_3$	$1_3$	$(2, 3)$	$(1, 2)$	$(1, 3)$	$(1, 3, 2)$	$(1, 2, 3)$
$(2, 3)$	$(2, 3)$	$1_3$	$(1, 3, 2)$	$(1, 2, 3)$	$(1, 2)$	$(1, 3)$
$(1, 2)$	$(1, 2)$	$(1, 2, 3)$	$1_3$	$(1, 3, 2)$	$(1, 3)$	$(2, 3)$
$(1, 3)$	$(1, 3)$	$(1, 3, 2)$	$(1, 2, 3)$	$1_3$	$(2, 3)$	$(1, 2)$
$(1, 3, 2)$	$(1, 3, 2)$	$(1, 3)$	$(2, 3)$	$(1, 2)$	$(1, 2, 3)$	$1_3$
$(1, 2, 3)$	$(1, 2, 3)$	$(1, 2)$	$(1, 3)$	$(2, 3)$	$1_3$	$(1, 3, 2)$

**Note** that because composition of functions is not commutative this table is not symmetric about the leading diagonal (which makes it different to earlier tables we have seen for  $(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}_m, \times)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}_m^*, \times)$ ).

## 7.4 Factoring permutations

**Question** If we can compose permutations can we factor them?

**Problem with this Question.** In the last section we factored integers into prime numbers. What is the equivalent of prime numbers for permutations?

**Algorithm** for factorization is best illustrated by an example.

**Example 7.4.1** In  $S_6$  factor

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Solution**

1. Take the smallest ‘unused’ element in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , namely 1. See what  $\pi$  does to 1 on repeated applications. It sends 1 to 5. Then  $\pi$  sends 5 to 4. Next  $\pi$  sends 4 back to 1. Thus we have a cycle  $(1, 5, 4)$ .
2. Next look at the smallest ‘unused’ element, i.e not in the cycles already found. In this case it is 2. Then we what happens to 2 under repeated applications of  $\pi$ , i.e.  $2 \mapsto 6 \mapsto 2$  and so we get another cycle  $(2, 6)$ .
3. Repeat by taking the smallest element *not* in these two cycles. We have only one such element 5, and we see this is fixed by  $\pi$ , and so we get a 1-cycle  $(5)$ , which we know is the identity. When there is at least one non-identity cycle we can omit the identity  $(5)$ .
4. When all elements are ‘used’, i.e. in some cycle, finish.

Hence

$$\pi = (1, 5, 4) \circ (2, 6) \circ (5) = (1, 5, 4) \circ (2, 6).$$

■

So in this way a permutation is factored into cycles, and thus cycles can be considered the equivalent of prime numbers.

**It can be proved** that each new cycle contains no elements in any earlier cycle. We can rewrite this statement using:

**Definition 7.4.2** *Two permutations  $\rho$  and  $\pi$  of a set  $A$  are **disjoint** if*

- i) every element moved by  $\rho$  is fixed by  $\pi$  and*
- ii) every element moved by  $\pi$  is fixed by  $\rho$ .*

**Example 7.4.3** *In  $S_5$  the cycles  $(1, 5, 4)$  and  $(2, 6)$  are disjoint. The cycles  $(1, 4, 3)$  and  $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$  are not disjoint.*

The continued application of the factorization method above leads to

**Theorem 7.4.4** *A permutation on a finite set  $A$  is a product (composition) of disjoint cycles.*

**Proof** not given, but see Appendix.

You should ask some questions about this algorithm. For example, what happens if we start with a different number, say 2 in place of 1 in the above example? We would get  $\pi = (2, 6) \circ (1, 5, 4)$ . But we know that composition of permutations is **not** commutative in general so can we have

$$(2, 6) \circ (1, 5, 4) = \pi = (1, 5, 4) \circ (2, 6)?$$

Yes!

**Theorem 7.4.5** *Disjoint permutations on a set commute.*

**Proof** not given in course . ■

Finally it can be shown that the factorization found by this method is unique.

**Aside** Though the proof is not given here (see the appendix) the idea is similar to the one used to prove that the factorization of integers into primes. Use **strong** induction on the *number of elements moved by the permutation  $\pi$* . Write the permutation in two ways as disjoint compositions

$$\pi = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_s = \rho_1 \circ \rho_2 \circ \rho_3 \circ \dots \circ \rho_t.$$

Look at an element  $a$  moved by  $\pi$ . This must be moved by *exactly* one  $\sigma_i$  and  $\rho_j$  from each side. Relabel so these are  $\sigma_1$  and  $\rho_1$ . It can be shown that two *cycles* arising from  $\pi$  which move the same point must be identical, i.e.  $\sigma_1 = \rho_1 = \tau$  say. So we have

$$\tau \circ \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_s = \tau \circ \rho_2 \circ \rho_3 \circ \dots \circ \rho_t.$$

Apply  $\tau^{-1}$  to both sides to get

$$\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_s = \rho_2 \circ \rho_3 \circ \dots \circ \rho_t.$$

The permutation represented here moves fewer elements than did  $\pi$  (it no longer moves the elements moved by  $\tau$ .) So we can now use induction to say that these two decompositions are identical, i.e.  $s = t$  and the  $\sigma_i, 2 \leq i \leq s$  are the same as  $\rho_j, 2 \leq j \leq t = s$  in some order.

Combining all the above results gives

**Theorem 7.4.6** *A permutation on a finite set  $A$  can be expressed as a product of disjoint cycles **uniquely** apart from the order of the cycles.*

**Proof** Not given.

## 7.5 Orders of permutations

**Definition 7.5.1** • The **positive powers**  $\rho^n$  of a permutation are defined inductively by setting  $\rho^1 = \rho$  and  $\rho^{k+1} = \rho \circ \rho^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- The **negative powers** of a permutation are defined by  $\rho^{-n} = (\rho^{-1})^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e. taking positive powers (just defined) of the inverse of  $\rho$ .
- Finally, we set  $\rho^0 = 1_A$ .

It can be shown by induction that powers satisfy the expected properties of exponents, namely that

$$\rho^{m+n} = \rho^m \circ \rho^n \quad (1)$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The method described above of factorizing a permutation started by taking an element of  $A$ , repeatedly applying  $\rho$  until you returned to  $a$  when you then have a cycle. This italicized sentence is an assumption, we have to show that repeatedly applying  $\rho$  to  $a$  does, in fact, gets us back to  $a$ .

**Lemma 7.5.2** Let  $\rho$  be a permutation on a non-empty finite set. There exists  $m \geq 1$  for which  $\rho^m = 1_A$ .

**Proof** Consider the set  $\{\rho^j : j \geq 0\}$  which is a subset of **all** permutations on  $A$ . Yet the set of all permutations on  $A$  is finite, (if  $|A| = n$  then the number of all permutations is  $n!$ ). Thus  $\{\rho^j : j \geq 0\}$  is a finite set. Therefore we must have repetition, i.e.  $\exists \ell > k \geq 0$  for which  $\rho^\ell = \rho^k$ . Applying  $\rho^{-k}$  to both sides gives

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\ell-k} &= \rho^\ell \circ \rho^{-k} \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &= \rho^k \circ \rho^{-k} \quad \text{since } \rho^\ell = \rho^k \\ &= \rho^{k-k} \quad \text{again by (1)} \\ &= \rho^0 = 1_A \quad \text{by definition.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have found an  $m = \ell - k \geq 1$  for which  $\rho^m = 1_A$ . ■

Hence given a permutation on a finite set  $A$  along with  $a \in A$  then  $\rho^m(a) = a$ , so repeated application of  $\rho$  on  $a$  leads back to  $a$  thus giving a cycle. This is as required for our method of factorization.

**Example 7.5.3** Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  and

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that  $\pi^6 = 1_A$ .

**Be careful**, for a given  $a \in A$  we may return to  $a$  on repeated application of  $\rho$  with a power smaller than the  $m$  found above. For example, for  $\pi$  above we have  $\pi^6 = 1_A$  so, choosing  $4 \in A$  this means  $\pi^6(4) = 1_A(4) = 4$ . But if we apply  $\pi$  to 4 only three times we get

$$4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4.$$

So  $\pi^3(4) = 4$ .

**Definition 7.5.4** The **order** or **period** of a permutation  $\rho$  of a finite set is the **least** positive integer  $d$  such that  $\rho^d = 1_A$ .

The order *exists* because we saw earlier that there exists  $m \geq 1$  for which  $\rho^m = 1_A$ . The order of  $\rho$  and the  $m$  found earlier are related in

**Theorem 7.5.5** If the order of  $\rho$  is  $d$  then  $\rho^m = 1_A$  if, and only if,  $d|m$ .

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $\rho^m = 1_A$ . By the division Algorithm write  $m = qd + r$  for some integers  $q$  and  $0 \leq r \leq d - 1$ . Then

$$1_A = \rho^m = \rho^{qd+r} = (\rho^d)^q \rho^r = (1_A)^q \rho^r = \rho^r.$$

But  $d$  is the *least* positive integer for which  $\rho^d = 1_A$  and thus  $r = 0$ . That is,  $m = qd$  and so  $d|m$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $d|m$ . So  $m = dq$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But then

$$\rho^m = (\rho^d)^q = (1_A)^q = 1_A.$$

■

**Example 7.5.6** In  $S_4$  find the orders of

$$\pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

**Solution**

$$\pi_1^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = 1_4,$$

and so the order of  $\pi_1$  is 2. But for  $\pi_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \pi_2^3 &= \pi_2 \circ \pi_2^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = 1_4, \end{aligned}$$

and so the order is 3. ■

But what about finding the order of something a little larger? In  $S_7$  consider

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 3 & 7 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\pi^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \pi^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 5 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \dots$$

How long do we have to go on for? What if we had a permutation from  $S_{100}$ ?

Suppose that

$$\pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 \circ \dots \circ \pi_m$$

is a decomposition into a product of **disjoint** permutations. Consider the  $k$ -th power

$$\pi^k = (\pi_1 \circ \pi_2 \circ \dots \circ \pi_m)^k.$$

Since the permutations on the right hand side are disjoint the compositions commute, so they can be moved around to give

$$\pi^k = \pi_1^k \circ \pi_2^k \circ \dots \circ \pi_m^k.$$

Assume now that  $\pi^k = 1_A$ , so  $\pi^k$  moves **no** elements. Because the permutations are disjoint each of  $\pi_1^k, \pi_2^k, \dots, \pi_m^k$  move different elements and so  $\pi^k$  moves no elements if, and only if, each of  $\pi_1^k, \pi_2^k, \dots, \pi_m^k$  moves no elements, i.e.  $\pi_i^k = 1_A$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Let  $d_i$  be the order of  $\pi_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , then by the Theorem above  $\pi_i^k = 1_A$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$  iff  $d_i | k$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Finally, in searching for the order of  $\pi$  we want the *least*  $k$  divisible by all the  $d_i$ . This leads to

**Definition 7.5.7** The **lowest common multiple** of integers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t$ , denoted by  $\text{lcm}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t)$  is the positive integer  $f$  that satisfies

- 1)  $m_1|f, m_2|f, \dots, m_t|f$ ,
- 2) if  $m_1|k, m_2|k, \dots, m_t|k$  then  $f|k$ .

In words, (1) says that  $f$  is **a** common multiple of the integers, while (2) says that it is the **least** of all possible positive common multiples.

Compare the definition to that of gcd.

Thus we see that the following result is not unreasonable.

**Theorem 7.5.8** Suppose that

$$\pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 \circ \dots \circ \pi_m$$

is a decomposition into a product of disjoint permutations, then the order of  $\pi$  is the least common multiple of the orders of the permutations  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m$ .

**Proof** not given but see the appendix.

**Note** In practice, given a permutation  $\pi$  we decompose it into a product of disjoint *cycles*.

**Question** For what permutations is it easy to calculate the order?

**Answer** Cycles.

**Theorem 7.5.9** The order of a cycle is equal to its length.

**Proof** Not given, but see the appendix.

**Corollary 7.5.10** Suppose that

$$\pi = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_m$$

is a decomposition into a product of disjoint cycles, then the order of  $\pi$  is the least common multiple of the lengths of the cycles  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m$ .

**Example 7.5.11** In  $S_{12}$  consider

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 6 & 3 & 5 & 10 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 9 & 7 & 8 & 12 & 11 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (4, 10, 8, 9, 7) \circ (2, 3, 5) \circ (1, 6) \circ (11, 12). \end{aligned}$$

The order equals  $\text{lcm}(5, 3, 2, 2) = 30$ .

**Example 7.5.12** *What is the largest order of all permutations in  $S_{12}$ ?*

**Solution** Need to find positive integers  $a, b, c, \dots$  that sum to 12 but for which  $\text{lcm}(a, b, c, \dots)$  is as large as possible. Just search to find  $12 = 3 + 4 + 5$ , when  $\text{lcm}(3, 4, 5) = 60$ . So, for example

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 2, 3) \circ (4, 5, 6, 7) \circ (8, 9, 10, 11, 12) \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 4 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

has order 60. ■

**Example 7.5.13**  $S_8$ . *What is the order of*

$$(1, 2, 4, 6, 8) \circ (2, 3, 6) \circ (6, 7)?$$

**Solution** CAREFUL, the cycles are not disjoint! We have to write this as a product of disjoint cycles. In fact it equals

$$(1, 2, 3, 8) \circ (4, 6, 7),$$

now a composition of disjoint cycles. The order is  $\text{lcm}(4, 3) = 12$ . ■

## 8 Groups

### 8.1 Binary Operations

**Question**, why, earlier in the course did we call  $(S_n, \circ)$ , the set of permutations on  $n$  elements under composition, the *Symmetric Group on  $n$  elements*?

**Definition 8.1.1** A **binary operation** on a set  $S$  is a function from the ordered pairs of  $S \times S$  to  $S$ . We will denote it in general as  $*$ , so for each  $(a, b) \in S$  the function sends  $(a, b) \rightarrow a * b$ , a value in  $S$ . Thus

$$\forall a, b \in S, a * b \in S.$$

If  $C \subseteq S$  we say that  $C$  **is closed under  $*$**  iff

$$\forall a, b \in C, a * b \in C.$$

**Example 8.1.2**  $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$  is closed under  $\times_{20}$ . But  $\{[4]_{20}, [8]_{20}, [12]_{20}, [16]_{20}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{20}$  is also closed, we can draw up a table

$\times$	$[4]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$
$[4]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$	$[4]_{20}$
$[8]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$	$[4]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$
$[12]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$	$[4]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$
$[16]_{20}$	$[4]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$

**Example 8.1.3** 1.

2. The set of all permutations on a set of  $n$  elements is closed under composition  $\circ$ .
3. For each  $m \geq 1$  the set  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  (of congruence classes mod  $m$ ) is closed under multiplication modulo  $m$ .
4. For each  $m \geq 1$  the set  $\mathbb{Z}_m^* \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$  (of invertible congruence classes mod  $m$ ) is closed under multiplication modulo  $m$ .

**Example 8.1.4 (Only given if time)** Earlier we introduced bijections

$$\rho_a : \mathbb{Z}_m^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m^*, \rho_a([r]_m) = [ar]_m,$$

for each  $[a]_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*$ . In the particular case of  $m = 8$  we found four permuta-

tion, written in cycle form as

$$\rho_1 = 1_{\mathbb{Z}_8^*}, \rho_3 = (1, 3) \circ (5, 7), \rho_5 = (1, 5) \circ (3, 7) \text{ and } \rho_7 = (1, 7) \circ (3, 5).$$

These are just four of the 24 possible permutations on the four elements  $\{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ . Yet

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_b \circ \rho_a ([r]_8) &= \rho_b (\rho_a ([r]_8)) = \rho_b ([ar]_8) \\ &= [b(ar)]_8 = [(ba)r]_8 \\ &= \rho_{ba} ([r]_8). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\rho_b \circ \rho_a = \rho_{ba}$ . Thus  $\{\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4\}$  is a closed set of permutations in which case we can draw up their multiplication table:

$\circ$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_5$	$\rho_7$
$\rho_1$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_5$	$\rho_7$
$\rho_3$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_7$	$\rho_5$
$\rho_5$	$\rho_5$	$\rho_7$	$\rho_1$	$\rho_3$
$\rho_7$	$\rho_7$	$\rho_5$	$\rho_3$	$\rho_1$

A binary operation might satisfy certain properties that we have seen before (PJE p.18 for real numbers and p.71 for sets).

**Definition 8.1.5** (i) A binary operation is **commutative** if,

$$\forall a, b \in S, a * b = b * a,$$

(ii) A binary operation is **associative** if,

$$\forall a, b, c \in S, (a * b) * c = a * (b * c).$$

**Definition 8.1.6** Given a set  $S$  and binary operation  $*$  on  $S$  we say that  $e \in S$  is an **identity** if, for all  $a \in S$ ,

$$e * a = a \text{ and } a * e = a.$$

We have to check both  $e * a$  and  $a * e$  since we are not assuming that  $*$  is commutative.

**Example 8.1.7**  $\{[4]_{20}, [8]_{20}, [12]_{20}, [16]_{20}, \times\}$ . Looking back at the table above we see that the identity is  $[16]_{20}$ .

This last example is important, it shows that we get identities different to 1 and 0!

**Note** the use of the word “an” in the definition. But

**Lemma 8.1.8** *Suppose that  $*$  is a binary operation on a set  $S$  and that  $(S, *)$  has an identity. The identity is unique.*

**Proof** Suppose that  $e$  and  $f$  are two identities on  $S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e &= e * f \text{ since } f \text{ is an identity (used here on the right),} \\ &= f \text{ since } e \text{ is an identity (used here on the left).} \end{aligned}$$

■

So we can now talk about “the” identity.

If, in the multiplication table for  $(S, *)$ , we can find an element whose row (**and** whose column) is identical to the heading row (respectively heading column), then we have found the identity.

**Definition 8.1.9** *Let  $S$  be a set with a binary operation  $*$  and an identity element  $e \in S$ . We say that an element  $a \in S$  is **invertible** if there exists  $b \in S$  such that*

$$a * b = e \text{ and } b * a = e.$$

*We say that  $b$  is the **inverse** of  $a$ , and normally write  $b$  as  $a^{-1}$ .*

**Example 8.1.10** *In  $(\mathbb{Z}_6, \times)$  the element  $[2]_6$  has no inverse.*

**Solution** If  $[2]_6$  had an inverse, i.e.  $[b]_6$  then

$$[2]_6 [b]_6 = [1]_6.$$

Multiply both sides by  $[3]_6$  to get

$$[6]_6 [b]_6 = [3]_6, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad [0]_6 = [3]_6,$$

since  $[6]_6 = [0]_6$ , a contradiction. ■

The problem here is that  $6 = 2 \times 3$  is composite. We have got round this in two ways in this course. First we can look at  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, \times)$  with  $p$  prime, when every non-zero element has an inverse. The second way it to look at  $(\mathbb{Z}_m^*, \times)$  where we have simply thrown away all the elements that don't have an inverse!

If, for an  $i \in S$  we can look in its row in the multiplication table and find the identity in column  $j$ , say, **and** find in row  $j$  the identity in column  $i$  then  $i$  and  $j$  are inverse to each other. If we can do this for every  $i \in S$  then every element will have an inverse.

**Example 8.1.11**  $\{[4]_{20}, [8]_{20}, [12]_{20}, [16]_{20}, \times\}$

$\times$	$[4]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$
$[4]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$	$[4]_{20}$
$[8]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$	$[4]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$
$[12]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$	$[4]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$
$[16]_{20}$	$[4]_{20}$	$[8]_{20}$	$[12]_{20}$	$[16]_{20}$

Since the identity is  $[16]_{20}$  we note

$$\begin{aligned}
 [4]_{20} \times [4]_{20} &= [16]_{20} & \text{so } [4]_{20}^{-1} &= [4]_{20}, \\
 [8]_{20} \times [12]_{20} &= [16]_{20} & \text{so } [8]_{20}^{-1} &= [12]_{20}, \\
 [12]_{20} \times [8]_{20} &= [16]_{20} & \text{so } [12]_{20}^{-1} &= [8]_{20}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(The inverse of the identity is always itself!)

**Lemma 8.1.12** *Assume that the binary operation  $*$  on  $S$  is associative. Assume that  $(S, *)$  has an identity  $e$  and  $a \in S$  has an inverse. Then the inverse is unique.*

**Proof** If an element  $a$  has two inverses,  $b, c \in S$  say, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 a * b &= e & \text{and } b * a &= e \\
 a * c &= e & \text{and } c * a &= e.
 \end{aligned}$$

From these we keep  $b * a = e$  and  $a * c = e$ . These pieces of information are combined in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned}
 b &= b * e = b * (a * c) & \text{since } c \text{ is an inverse of } a, \\
 &= (b * a) * c & \text{by associativity,} \\
 &= e * c & \text{since } b \text{ is an inverse of } a, \\
 &= c.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $b = c$  and the inverse is unique. ■

So we can now talk about “the” inverse of an (invertible) element.

## 8.2 Groups

**Definition 8.2.1** Given a set  $G$  and binary operation  $*$  we say that  $(G, *)$  is a **group** if, and only if,

G1  $G$  is closed under  $*$ ,

G2  $*$  is associative on  $G$ ,

G3  $(G, *)$  has an identity element, i.e.

$$\exists e \in G : \forall a \in G, e * a = a * e = a,$$

G4 every element of  $(G, *)$  has an inverse, i.e.

$$\forall a \in G, \exists a' \in G : a * a' = a' * a = e.$$

We say that  $(G, *)$  is a **commutative** or **abelian** group (after Niels Abel) if, and only if, it is a group and  $*$  is commutative.

**Recall** that in the course we showed that  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  is closed under multiplication. This was done by taking  $[a]_n, [b]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  and showing that

$$([a]_n [b]_n)^{-1} = [b]_n^{-1} [a]_n^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

What is important here is *not* the value of the inverse but that the product  $[a]_n [b]_n$  has an inverse. For this implies  $[a]_n [b]_n \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  as required for closure.

But it can be shown that (2) holds in *any* group.

**Proposition 8.2.2** Assume that  $(G, *)$  is a group. If  $x, y \in G$  then

$$(x * y)^{-1} = y^{-1} * x^{-1}.$$

Notice how the order has changed.

**Proof** First note that  $(x * y)^{-1}$  is, by definition, an inverse of  $x * y$ .

Next note that

$$\begin{aligned} (x * y) * (y^{-1} * x^{-1}) &= ((x * y) * y^{-1}) * x^{-1} \\ &\quad \text{using } * \text{ is associative} \\ &= (x * (y * y^{-1})) * x^{-1} \\ &\quad \text{again using } * \text{ is associative} \\ &= (x * e) * x^{-1} \\ &= x * x^{-1} \\ &= e. \end{aligned}$$

So  $(x * y) * (y^{-1} * x^{-1}) = e$ . It is similarly shown that  $(y^{-1} * x^{-1}) * (x * y) = e$ . Together these mean that  $y^{-1} * x^{-1}$  is an inverse of  $x * y$ .

Yet the inverse in a group is unique so the two inverses we have here must be equal, i.e.  $(x * y)^{-1} = y^{-1} * x^{-1}$ . ■

**Question** But why do we call  $(S_n, \circ)$  the *symmetric* group?

Consider, as an example,  $n = 4$ . Think of a square in the plane, center at the origin, with vertices at  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(-1, 1)$ ,  $(-1, -1)$  and  $(1, -1)$ , labelled clockwise, 1,2,3 and 4. What symmetries does the square have? It has rotational symmetries about the origin. If we rotate by  $\pi/2$  in the clockwise direction we see that corners map  $1 \rightarrow 2$ ,  $2 \rightarrow 3$ ,  $3 \rightarrow 4$  and  $4 \rightarrow 1$ . So this rotation can be represented by the cycle  $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ .

In the other direction what would  $(1, 2) \circ (3, 4)$  represent? It would be a reflection in a line through the origin.

**For Student:** What are the permutations that represent the other symmetries of the square?

In this way we see that  $S_4$  contains the symmetries of the square. Hence the use of the word “symmetry” in the name of  $(S_n, \circ)$ .