# MAGIC: Ergodic Theory Lecture 9 -Thermodynamic Formalism

Charles Walkden

April 12, 2013

# Introduction

<ロ> < ()、</p>

### Introduction

Historically ergodic theory was a branch of statistical mechanics. There are many concepts in ergodic theory that reflect this heritage (entropy, pressure, Gibbs states, zero-temperature limits etc).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Introduction

Historically ergodic theory was a branch of statistical mechanics. There are many concepts in ergodic theory that reflect this heritage (entropy, pressure, Gibbs states, zero-temperature limits etc).

This is the so-called "thermodynamic formalism", which we introduce in this lecture. We will not study the connections between ergodic theory and statistical mechanics; instead we set things up in a way that allows us to study hyperbolic dynamics.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = ● のへで

Let A be an aperiodic  $k \times k \ 0 - 1$  matrix. Define the one-sided shift of finite type

$$\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^\infty \mid A_{x_j,x_{j+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } j \ge 0\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Let A be an aperiodic  $k \times k \ 0 - 1$  matrix. Define the one-sided shift of finite type

$$\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^\infty \mid A_{x_j,x_{j+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } j \geq 0\}.$$

Fix  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Define a metric on  $\Sigma$  by

$$d_{\theta}(x,y) = \theta^{n(x,y)}$$

where n(x, y) is the first place in which the sequences x, y disagree.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let A be an aperiodic  $k \times k \ 0 - 1$  matrix. Define the one-sided shift of finite type

$$\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^\infty \mid A_{x_j,x_{j+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } j \ge 0\}.$$

Fix  $\theta \in (0,1)$ . Define a metric on  $\Sigma$  by

$$d_{\theta}(x,y) = \theta^{n(x,y)}$$

where n(x, y) is the first place in which the sequences x, y disagree.

Define cylinder sets by

$$[i_0,\ldots,i_{n-1}] = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^{\infty} \mid x_j = i_j, \ 0 \le j \le n-1\}.$$

Cylinder sets are both open and closed.

Let A be an aperiodic  $k \times k \ 0 - 1$  matrix. Define the one-sided shift of finite type

$$\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^\infty \mid A_{x_j,x_{j+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } j \ge 0\}.$$

Fix  $\theta \in (0,1)$ . Define a metric on  $\Sigma$  by

$$d_{\theta}(x,y) = \theta^{n(x,y)}$$

where n(x, y) is the first place in which the sequences x, y disagree.

Define cylinder sets by

$$[i_0,\ldots,i_{n-1}] = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^{\infty} \mid x_j = i_j, \ 0 \le j \le n-1\}.$$

Cylinder sets are both open and closed.

Define the shift map

$$\sigma(x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (x_1, x_2, \ldots).$$

Functions defined on  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

We need a nice class of functions to work with.

We need a nice class of functions to work with.

Let  $f : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then f is Hölder of exponent  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  if there exists C > 0 s.t.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le Cd_{\theta}(x, y) \tag{1}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We need a nice class of functions to work with.

Let  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then f is Hölder of exponent  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  if there exists C > 0 s.t.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le Cd_{\theta}(x, y) \tag{1}$$

(This is an abuse of notation: one should say that f is Lipschitz w.r.t.  $d_{\theta}$ . But  $d_{\theta}(x, y)^{\alpha} = d_{\theta^{\alpha}}(x, y)$  so  $\alpha$ -Hölder w.r.t.  $d_{\theta}$  is the same as Lipschitz w.r.t.  $d_{\theta^{\alpha}}$ .)

We need a nice class of functions to work with.

Let  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then f is Hölder of exponent  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  if there exists C > 0 s.t.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le Cd_{\theta}(x, y) \tag{1}$$

(This is an abuse of notation: one should say that f is Lipschitz w.r.t.  $d_{\theta}$ . But  $d_{\theta}(x, y)^{\alpha} = d_{\theta^{\alpha}}(x, y)$  so  $\alpha$ -Hölder w.r.t.  $d_{\theta}$  is the same as Lipschitz w.r.t.  $d_{\theta^{\alpha}}$ .)

Let  $|f|_{\theta}$  denote the least possible constant C > 0 in (1). Then  $|f|_{\theta}$  is a semi-norm, but not a norm.

We need a nice class of functions to work with.

Let  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then f is Hölder of exponent  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  if there exists C > 0 s.t.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le Cd_{\theta}(x, y) \tag{1}$$

(This is an abuse of notation: one should say that f is Lipschitz w.r.t.  $d_{\theta}$ . But  $d_{\theta}(x, y)^{\alpha} = d_{\theta^{\alpha}}(x, y)$  so  $\alpha$ -Hölder w.r.t.  $d_{\theta}$  is the same as Lipschitz w.r.t.  $d_{\theta^{\alpha}}$ .)

Let  $|f|_{\theta}$  denote the least possible constant C > 0 in (1). Then  $|f|_{\theta}$  is a semi-norm, but not a norm.

Define  $||f||_{\theta} = |f|_{\infty} + |f|_{\theta}$ .

We need a nice class of functions to work with.

Let  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then f is Hölder of exponent  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  if there exists C > 0 s.t.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le Cd_{\theta}(x, y) \tag{1}$$

(This is an abuse of notation: one should say that f is Lipschitz w.r.t.  $d_{\theta}$ . But  $d_{\theta}(x, y)^{\alpha} = d_{\theta^{\alpha}}(x, y)$  so  $\alpha$ -Hölder w.r.t.  $d_{\theta}$  is the same as Lipschitz w.r.t.  $d_{\theta^{\alpha}}$ .)

Let  $|f|_{\theta}$  denote the least possible constant C > 0 in (1). Then  $|f|_{\theta}$  is a semi-norm, but not a norm.

Define  $||f||_{\theta} = |f|_{\infty} + |f|_{\theta}$ . Then  $|| \cdot ||_{\theta}$  is a norm on the Banach space

$$F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R} \mid \|f\|_{\theta} < \infty\}.$$

Suppose  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  depends only on the first *n* co-ordinates, i.e.

$$f(x)=f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

We say that f is locally constant.

Suppose  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  depends only on the first *n* co-ordinates, i.e.

$$f(x)=f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}).$$

We say that f is locally constant.

Equivalently, f is locally constant if it is constant on cylinders of length n (for some n).

Suppose  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  depends only on the first *n* co-ordinates, i.e.

$$f(x)=f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}).$$

We say that f is locally constant.

Equivalently, f is locally constant if it is constant on cylinders of length n (for some n).

Locally constant functions only take finitely many values.

Suppose  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  depends only on the first *n* co-ordinates, i.e.

$$f(x)=f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}).$$

We say that f is locally constant.

Equivalently, f is locally constant if it is constant on cylinders of length n (for some n).

Locally constant functions only take finitely many values.

If f is locally constant then  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ .

Suppose  $f: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  depends only on the first *n* co-ordinates, i.e.

$$f(x)=f(x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}).$$

We say that f is locally constant.

Equivalently, f is locally constant if it is constant on cylinders of length n (for some n).

Locally constant functions only take finitely many values.

If f is locally constant then  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ .

In particular, if f is locally constant then f is continuous. (The zero-dimensionality of  $\Sigma$  guarantees the existence of lots of locally constant functions.)

- ◆ □ ▶ → 個 ▶ → 注 ▶ → 注 → のへぐ

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ .

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Define the linear operator  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y:\sigma(y)=x} e^{f(y)} w(y) = \sum_{i \text{ s.t. } A_{i,x_0}=1} e^{f(ix)} w(ix).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(Here  $(ix) = (i, x_0, x_1, ...)$ .)

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Define the linear operator  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y:\sigma(y)=x} e^{f(y)} w(y) = \sum_{i \text{ s.t. } A_{i,x_0}=1} e^{f(ix)} w(ix).$$

(Here  $(ix) = (i, x_0, x_1, ...)$ .)

Idea: look at the preimages of x, evaluate w at these preimages, weight them according to the weight function f, then sum.

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Define the linear operator  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y:\sigma(y)=x} e^{f(y)} w(y) = \sum_{i \text{ s.t. } A_{i,x_0}=1} e^{f(ix)} w(ix).$$

(Here  $(ix) = (i, x_0, x_1, \ldots)$ .)

Idea: look at the preimages of x, evaluate w at these preimages, weight them according to the weight function f, then sum.

Example: Take  $\Sigma$  =full one-sided 2-shift. Take  $f(x) \equiv \log 1/2$ . Then

$$L_f w(x) = \frac{1}{2}w(0x) + \frac{1}{2}w(1x).$$

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Define the linear operator  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y:\sigma(y)=x} e^{f(y)} w(y) = \sum_{i \text{ s.t. } A_{i,x_0}=1} e^{f(ix)} w(ix).$$

(Here  $(ix) = (i, x_0, x_1, ...)$ .)

Idea: look at the preimages of x, evaluate w at these preimages, weight them according to the weight function f, then sum.

Example: Take  $\Sigma$  =full one-sided 2-shift. Take  $f(x) \equiv \log 1/2$ . Then

$$L_f w(x) = \frac{1}{2}w(0x) + \frac{1}{2}w(1x).$$

We are interested in the spectral properties of  $L_f$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Let  $\Sigma$  be the one-sided 2-shift on symbols 0, 1. Fix  $p, q \in (0, 1)$ . Let f be the weight function depending on 2 co-ordinates:

 $f(00) = \log p, \ f(01) = \log(1-p), \ f(10) = \log q, \ f(11) = \log(1-q).$ 

Let  $\Sigma$  be the one-sided 2-shift on symbols 0, 1. Fix  $p, q \in (0, 1)$ . Let f be the weight function depending on 2 co-ordinates:

$$f(00) = \log p, \ f(01) = \log(1-p), \ f(10) = \log q, \ f(11) = \log(1-q).$$

Consider the action of  $L_f$  on functions that only depend on 1 co-ordinate  $w(x) = w(x_0)$ .

$$L_f w(0) = e^{f(00)} w(0) + e^{f(10)} w(1) = pw(0) + (1-p)w(1)$$
  
$$L_f w(1) = e^{f(01)} w(0) + e^{f(11)} w(1) = qw(0) + (1-q)w(1).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let  $\Sigma$  be the one-sided 2-shift on symbols 0, 1. Fix  $p, q \in (0, 1)$ . Let f be the weight function depending on 2 co-ordinates:

$$f(00) = \log p, \ f(01) = \log(1-p), \ f(10) = \log q, \ f(11) = \log(1-q).$$

Consider the action of  $L_f$  on functions that only depend on 1 co-ordinate  $w(x) = w(x_0)$ .

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L_f w(0) &=& e^{f(00)} w(0) + e^{f(10)} w(1) = p w(0) + (1-p) w(1) \\ L_f w(1) &=& e^{f(01)} w(0) + e^{f(11)} w(1) = q w(0) + (1-q) w(1). \end{array}$$

Record this is a matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}L_fw(0)\\L_fw(1)\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}p&1-p\\q&1-q\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}w(0)\\w(1)\end{array}\right) = P\left(\begin{array}{c}w(0)\\w(1)\end{array}\right)$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be the one-sided 2-shift on symbols 0, 1. Fix  $p, q \in (0, 1)$ . Let f be the weight function depending on 2 co-ordinates:

$$f(00) = \log p, \ f(01) = \log(1-p), \ f(10) = \log q, \ f(11) = \log(1-q).$$

Consider the action of  $L_f$  on functions that only depend on 1 co-ordinate  $w(x) = w(x_0)$ .

$$L_f w(0) = e^{f(00)} w(0) + e^{f(10)} w(1) = pw(0) + (1-p)w(1)$$
  
 
$$L_f w(1) = e^{f(01)} w(0) + e^{f(11)} w(1) = qw(0) + (1-q)w(1).$$

Record this is a matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}L_f w(0)\\L_f w(1)\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}p & 1-p\\q & 1-q\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}w(0)\\w(1)\end{array}\right) = P\left(\begin{array}{c}w(0)\\w(1)\end{array}\right)$$

What are the eigenvalues of P?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Recall the Perron-Frobenius theorem: if B is an aperiodic non-negative matrix then

Recall the Perron-Frobenius theorem: if B is an aperiodic non-negative matrix then

there is a maximal eigenvalue λ > 0 and all other eigenvalues λ<sub>i</sub> ∈ C are s.t. |λ<sub>i</sub>| < λ, moreover λ is simple</p>

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <
Recall the Perron-Frobenius theorem: if B is an aperiodic non-negative matrix then

► there is a maximal eigenvalue λ > 0 and all other eigenvalues λ<sub>i</sub> ∈ C are s.t. |λ<sub>i</sub>| < λ, moreover λ is simple</p>

► there are positive left- and right-eigenvectors u, v s.t. uB = λB, Bv = λv; we can take u, v to be positive.

Recall the Perron-Frobenius theorem: if B is an aperiodic non-negative matrix then

there is a maximal eigenvalue λ > 0 and all other eigenvalues λ<sub>i</sub> ∈ C are s.t. |λ<sub>i</sub>| < λ, moreover λ is simple</p>

• there are positive left- and right-eigenvectors u, v s.t.  $uB = \lambda B$ ,  $Bv = \lambda v$ ; we can take u, v to be positive.

Note that 
$$P\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p\\q & 1-q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 so the maximal eigenvalue is 1.

Recall the Perron-Frobenius theorem: if B is an aperiodic non-negative matrix then

- there is a maximal eigenvalue λ > 0 and all other eigenvalues λ<sub>i</sub> ∈ C are s.t. |λ<sub>i</sub>| < λ, moreover λ is simple</p>
- there are positive left- and right-eigenvectors u, v s.t.  $uB = \lambda B, Bv = \lambda v$ ; we can take u, v to be positive.

Note that 
$$P\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p\\q & 1-q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 so the maximal eigenvalue is 1.

The corresponding left-eigenvector is  $(p_0, p_1) = \left(\frac{q}{q+1-p}, \frac{1-p}{q+1-p}\right)$  so that pP = p.

Recall the Perron-Frobenius theorem: if B is an aperiodic non-negative matrix then

- there is a maximal eigenvalue λ > 0 and all other eigenvalues λ<sub>i</sub> ∈ C are s.t. |λ<sub>i</sub>| < λ, moreover λ is simple</p>
- there are positive left- and right-eigenvectors u, v s.t.  $uB = \lambda B, Bv = \lambda v$ ; we can take u, v to be positive.

Note that 
$$P\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p\\q & 1-q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 so the maximal eigenvalue is 1.

The corresponding left-eigenvector is  $(p_0, p_1) = \left(\frac{q}{q+1-p}, \frac{1-p}{q+1-p}\right)$  so that pP = p.

In terms of transfer operators:  $L_f 1 = 1$  (1= the function constantly equal to 1). We say that f is normalised.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

 $L_f$  acts on functions of 1 variable as a stochastic matrix P with right-eigenvector p.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $L_f$  acts on functions of 1 variable as a stochastic matrix P with right-eigenvector p. This defines a Markov measure, defined on cylinders by

$$\mu_f[i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}] = p_{i_0} P_{i_0, i_1} P_{i_1, i_2} \cdots P_{i_{n-2}, i_{n-1}}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $L_f$  acts on functions of 1 variable as a stochastic matrix P with right-eigenvector p. This defines a Markov measure, defined on cylinders by

$$\mu_f[i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}] = p_{i_0} P_{i_0, i_1} P_{i_1, i_2} \cdots P_{i_{n-2}, i_{n-1}}$$

Suppose  $w(x) = w(x_0)$  is a function of one co-ordinate. Then

$$\int L_f w \, d\mu_f = \mu_f([0]) L_f w(0) + \mu_f([1]) L_f w(1) = \int w \, d\mu_f.$$

 $L_f$  acts on functions of 1 variable as a stochastic matrix P with right-eigenvector p. This defines a Markov measure, defined on cylinders by

$$\mu_f[i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}] = p_{i_0} P_{i_0, i_1} P_{i_1, i_2} \cdots P_{i_{n-2}, i_{n-1}}$$

Suppose  $w(x) = w(x_0)$  is a function of one co-ordinate. Then

$$\int L_f w \, d\mu_f = \mu_f([0]) L_f w(0) + \mu_f([1]) L_f w(1) = \int w \, d\mu_f.$$

Note that  $P_{i,j} = e^{f(i,j)}$ . Hence if  $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in \Sigma$  then

$$\mu_f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] = p_{x_0} e^{f(i_0, i_1)} e^{f(i_1, i_2)} \cdots e^{f(i_{n-2}, i_{n-1})} = p_{x_0} e^{f^n(x)}$$

where  $f^n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\sigma^j x)$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Hence there exists C > 0 s.t. for all  $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in \Sigma$ 

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\mu_f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)}} \leq C.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Such a measure is called a Gibbs measure.

Hence there exists C > 0 s.t. for all  $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in \Sigma$ 

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\mu_f[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)}} \leq C.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Such a measure is called a Gibbs measure.

In summary: for our choice of f

Hence there exists C > 0 s.t. for all  $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in \Sigma$ 

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\mu_f[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)}} \leq C.$$

Such a measure is called a Gibbs measure.

In summary: for our choice of f

► L<sub>f</sub>, acting on a suitable space of functions, is normalised (L<sub>f</sub>1 = 1) and has a maximal simple eigenvalue at 1 (all other eigenvalues have modulus < 1)</p>

Hence there exists C > 0 s.t. for all  $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in \Sigma$ 

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\mu_f[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)}} \leq C.$$

Such a measure is called a Gibbs measure.

In summary: for our choice of f

- L<sub>f</sub>, acting on a suitable space of functions, is normalised (L<sub>f</sub>1 = 1) and has a maximal simple eigenvalue at 1 (all other eigenvalues have modulus < 1)</li>
- ► there is a unique invariant measure  $\mu_f$  such that  $L_f^* \mu_f = \mu_f$ (i.e.  $\int L_f w \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f$ )

Hence there exists C > 0 s.t. for all  $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in \Sigma$ 

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\mu_f[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)}} \leq C.$$

Such a measure is called a Gibbs measure.

In summary: for our choice of f

- L<sub>f</sub>, acting on a suitable space of functions, is normalised (L<sub>f</sub>1 = 1) and has a maximal simple eigenvalue at 1 (all other eigenvalues have modulus < 1)</li>
- ▶ there is a unique invariant measure  $\mu_f$  such that  $L_f^*\mu_f = \mu_f$ (i.e.  $\int L_f w \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f$ )
- the invariant measure μ<sub>f</sub> is ergodic, and satisfies the Gibbs property.

Hence there exists C > 0 s.t. for all  $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots) \in \Sigma$ 

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{\mu_f[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)}} \leq C.$$

Such a measure is called a Gibbs measure.

In summary: for our choice of f

- L<sub>f</sub>, acting on a suitable space of functions, is normalised (L<sub>f</sub>1 = 1) and has a maximal simple eigenvalue at 1 (all other eigenvalues have modulus < 1)</li>
- ▶ there is a unique invariant measure  $\mu_f$  such that  $L_f^*\mu_f = \mu_f$ (i.e.  $\int L_f w \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f$ )
- ► the invariant measure µ<sub>f</sub> is ergodic, and satisfies the Gibbs property.

What if we allow non-locally constant functions f?

Let  $\Sigma$  be a shift of finite type defined by an aperiodic matrix. Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be a weight function. Define  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y).$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be a shift of finite type defined by an aperiodic matrix. Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be a weight function. Define  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y).$$

▶  $\exists$  a simple maximal positive eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  of  $L_f$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a shift of finite type defined by an aperiodic matrix. Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be a weight function. Define  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y).$$

- ▶  $\exists$  a simple maximal positive eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  of  $L_f$ .
- ∃ a strictly positive eigenfunction 0 < h ∈ F<sub>θ</sub>(ℝ) s.t. L<sub>f</sub> h = λh.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a shift of finite type defined by an aperiodic matrix. Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be a weight function. Define  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y).$$

- ▶  $\exists$  a simple maximal positive eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  of  $L_f$ .
- ∃ a strictly positive eigenfunction 0 < h ∈ F<sub>θ</sub>(ℝ) s.t. L<sub>f</sub> h = λh.
- The remainder of the spectrum of L<sub>f</sub> is contained within a disc of radius < λ.</p>

Let  $\Sigma$  be a shift of finite type defined by an aperiodic matrix. Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be a weight function. Define  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y).$$

- ▶  $\exists$  a simple maximal positive eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  of  $L_f$ .
- ∃ a strictly positive eigenfunction 0 < h ∈ F<sub>θ</sub>(ℝ) s.t. L<sub>f</sub> h = λh.
- The remainder of the spectrum of L<sub>f</sub> is contained within a disc of radius < λ.</p>

► ∃ a unique probability measure  $\nu$  s.t.  $L_f^* \nu = \lambda \nu$  (i.e.  $\int L_f w \, d\nu = \lambda \int w \, d\mu$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a shift of finite type defined by an aperiodic matrix. Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be a weight function. Define  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y).$$

- ▶  $\exists$  a simple maximal positive eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  of  $L_f$ .
- ∃ a strictly positive eigenfunction 0 < h ∈ F<sub>θ</sub>(ℝ) s.t. L<sub>f</sub>h = λh.
- The remainder of the spectrum of L<sub>f</sub> is contained within a disc of radius < λ.</p>
- ► ∃ a unique probability measure  $\nu$  s.t.  $L_f^* \nu = \lambda \nu$  (i.e.  $\int L_f w \, d\nu = \lambda \int w \, d\mu$ .
- The probability measure  $d\mu_f = \frac{1}{\int h d\nu} h d\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant measure.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a shift of finite type defined by an aperiodic matrix. Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be a weight function. Define  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y).$$

- ▶  $\exists$  a simple maximal positive eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  of  $L_f$ .
- ►  $\exists$  a strictly positive eigenfunction  $0 < h \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $L_f h = \lambda h.$
- The remainder of the spectrum of L<sub>f</sub> is contained within a disc of radius < λ.</p>
- ► ∃ a unique probability measure  $\nu$  s.t.  $L_f^* \nu = \lambda \nu$  (i.e.  $\int L_f w \, d\nu = \lambda \int w \, d\mu$ .
- The probability measure  $d\mu_f = \frac{1}{\int h d\nu} h d\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant measure.

We call  $\mu_f$  the equilibrium state of f.

We write  $\lambda = e^{P(f)}$ . P(f) is called the *pressure* of f.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

We write  $\lambda = e^{P(f)}$ . P(f) is called the *pressure* of f. If f is normalised  $(L_f 1 = 1)$  then  $1 = \lambda = e^{P(f)}$ , i.e. P(f) = 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We write  $\lambda = e^{P(f)}$ . P(f) is called the *pressure* of f. If f is normalised  $(L_f 1 = 1)$  then  $1 = \lambda = e^{P(f)}$ , i.e. P(f) = 0. The spectrum of  $L_f$  acting on  $F_{\theta}$  looks like:



A probability measure (not nec. invariant) *m* is a *Gibbs measure* if there are constants  $A, B > 0, C \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t. for all  $x \in \Sigma$ 

$$A \leq \frac{m[x_0,\ldots,n_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)-nC}} \leq B$$

where  $f^{n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\sigma^{j}(x)).$ 

A probability measure (not nec. invariant) *m* is a *Gibbs measure* if there are constants  $A, B > 0, C \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t. for all  $x \in \Sigma$ 

$$A \leq \frac{m[x_0,\ldots,n_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)-nC}} \leq B$$

where  $f^{n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\sigma^{j}(x)).$ 

#### Remarks

Thus if m is a Gibbs measure with potential f if, up to a bounded error, the measure of a cylinder is given by exp f<sup>n</sup>(x) after normalisation by C.

A probability measure (not nec. invariant) *m* is a *Gibbs measure* if there are constants  $A, B > 0, C \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t. for all  $x \in \Sigma$ 

$$A \leq \frac{m[x_0, \ldots, n_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x) - nC}} \leq B$$

where  $f^{n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\sigma^{j}(x)).$ 

Remarks

- Thus if m is a Gibbs measure with potential f if, up to a bounded error, the measure of a cylinder is given by exp f<sup>n</sup>(x) after normalisation by C.
- Not all constants are equal. (Orwellian equal, rather than numerically equal!) The values of A, B don't matter. C is the pressure of f.

A probability measure (not nec. invariant) *m* is a *Gibbs measure* if there are constants  $A, B > 0, C \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t. for all  $x \in \Sigma$ 

$$A \leq \frac{m[x_0,\ldots,n_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)-nC}} \leq B$$

where  $f^{n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\sigma^{j}(x)).$ 

#### Remarks

- Thus if m is a Gibbs measure with potential f if, up to a bounded error, the measure of a cylinder is given by exp f<sup>n</sup>(x) after normalisation by C.
- Not all constants are equal. (Orwellian equal, rather than numerically equal!) The values of A, B don't matter. C is the pressure of f.
- Given a potential f, is there an *invariant* Gibbs measure? Is it unique?

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . By Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius theorem,  $L_f$  has a maximal eigenvalue at  $e^{P(f)}$ . There is a maximal eigenfunction h s.t.  $L_f h = e^{P(f)}h$  and a maximal eigenmeasure  $\nu$  s.t.  $L_f^*\nu = e^{P(f)}\nu$ . The measure  $d\mu_f = \frac{1}{\int h d\nu} h d\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant measure.

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . By Ruelle's Perron-Frobenius theorem,  $L_f$  has a maximal eigenvalue at  $e^{P(f)}$ . There is a maximal eigenfunction h s.t.  $L_f h = e^{P(f)}h$  and a maximal eigenmeasure  $\nu$  s.t.  $L_f^*\nu = e^{P(f)}\nu$ . The measure  $d\mu_f = \frac{1}{\int h d\nu} h d\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant measure.

Then  $\mu_f$  is the unique  $\sigma$ -invariant Gibbs measure with potential f:

$$A \leq \frac{\mu_f[x_0,\ldots,n_{n-1}]}{e^{f^n(x)-nP(f)}} \leq B.$$
Two functions  $f, g: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  are *cohomologous* if  $\exists u \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.

$$f(x) = g(x) + u(\sigma(x)) - u(x)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Two functions  $f, g : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  are *cohomologous* if  $\exists u \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.

$$f(x) = g(x) + u(\sigma(x)) - u(x)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Cohomology is the natural equivalence relation between functions in ergodic theory.

Two functions  $f, g : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  are *cohomologous* if  $\exists u \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.

$$f(x) = g(x) + u(\sigma(x)) - u(x)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Cohomology is the natural equivalence relation between functions in ergodic theory.

Let 
$$f^n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\sigma^j x)$$
. Summing (2) along orbits gives:  
 $f^n(x) = g^n(x) + u(\sigma^n(x)) - u(x) = g^n(x) + O(1).$ 

Two functions  $f, g : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$  are *cohomologous* if  $\exists u \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.

$$f(x) = g(x) + u(\sigma(x)) - u(x)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Cohomology is the natural equivalence relation between functions in ergodic theory.

Let 
$$f^n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\sigma^j x)$$
. Summing (2) along orbits gives:  
 $f^n(x) = g^n(x) + u(\sigma^n(x)) - u(x) = g^n(x) + O(1).$ 

Hence, for example,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}f^n(x)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}g^n(x)$$

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

"Any function is cohomologous to a normalised function plus constant"

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

"Any function is cohomologous to a normalised function plus constant"

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  has a maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and positive eigenfunction h > 0.

"Any function is cohomologous to a normalised function plus constant"

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  has a maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and positive eigenfunction h > 0.

Let

$$g = f - \log h\sigma + \log h - \log \lambda \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

"Any function is cohomologous to a normalised function plus constant"

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  has a maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and positive eigenfunction h > 0.

Let

$$g = f - \log h\sigma + \log h - \log \lambda \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Then

 $L_{g}1 =$ 

"Any function is cohomologous to a normalised function plus constant"

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  has a maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and positive eigenfunction h > 0.

Let

$$g = f - \log h\sigma + \log h - \log \lambda \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then

$$L_g 1 = \sum_{y:\sigma y=x} e^{f(y) - \log h(\sigma y) + \log h(y) - \log \lambda}$$

"Any function is cohomologous to a normalised function plus constant"

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  has a maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and positive eigenfunction h > 0.

Let

$$g = f - \log h\sigma + \log h - \log \lambda \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then

$$L_g 1 = \sum_{y:\sigma y=x} e^{f(y) - \log h(\sigma y) + \log h(y) - \log \lambda}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{h(x)} \sum_{y:\sigma y=x} e^{f(y)} h(y)$$

"Any function is cohomologous to a normalised function plus constant"

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $L_f : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{C})$  has a maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and positive eigenfunction h > 0.

Let

$$g = f - \log h\sigma + \log h - \log \lambda \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then

$$L_g 1 = \sum_{y:\sigma y=x} e^{f(y) - \log h(\sigma y) + \log h(y) - \log \lambda}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{h(x)} \sum_{y:\sigma y=x} e^{f(y)} h(y)$   
=  $\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{h(x)} L_f h(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{h(x)} \lambda h(x) = 1.$ 

Hence g is normalised.

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ = ● のへで

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised. (So  $L_f 1 = 1$ , P(f) = 0.) Let  $\mu$  be the unique invariant measure such that  $L_f^* \mu = \mu$ .



Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised. (So  $L_f 1 = 1$ , P(f) = 0.) Let  $\mu$  be the unique invariant measure such that  $L_f^* \mu = \mu$ .



Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised. (So  $L_f 1 = 1$ , P(f) = 0.) Let  $\mu$  be the unique invariant measure such that  $L_f^* \mu = \mu$ .

 Let µ : F<sub>θ</sub>(ℝ) → F<sub>θ</sub>(ℝ), µ(w) = ∫ w dµ be projection onto the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue 1.



Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised. (So  $L_f 1 = 1$ , P(f) = 0.) Let  $\mu$  be the unique invariant measure such that  $L_f^* \mu = \mu$ .

► Let 
$$Q : F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}) \to F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$$
,  
 $Q = L_f - \mu$ . Then spec. radius  
of  $Q$  is  $< r$ , strictly less than 1.  
Then  $L_f w = \mu(w) + Q(w)$ . As eigenprojections are orthogonal:

$$L_f^n w = \mu(w) + Q^n(w) = \mu(w) + o(r^n).$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★園▶ ★園▶ - 園 - の��

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised and let  $\mu_f$  be the equilibrium state corresponding to f (so  $\int L_f w \, d\mu = \int w \, d\mu$ ).

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised and let  $\mu_f$  be the equilibrium state corresponding to f (so  $\int L_f w \, d\mu = \int w \, d\mu$ ). Recall:

▶ An invariant measure  $\mu$  is *strong-mixing* if  $\mu(\sigma^{-n}A \cap B) \rightarrow \mu(A)\mu(B) \forall A, B \in \mathcal{B}.$ 

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised and let  $\mu_f$  be the equilibrium state corresponding to f (so  $\int L_f w \, d\mu = \int w \, d\mu$ ). Recall:

An invariant measure  $\mu$  is *strong-mixing* if  $\mu(\sigma^{-n}A \cap B) \to \mu(A)\mu(B) \ \forall A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Equivalently:

$$\int v\sigma^{n} \cdot w \, d\mu \to \int v \, d\mu \int w \, d\mu \, \forall v, w \in L^{2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \quad (3)$$

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised and let  $\mu_f$  be the equilibrium state corresponding to f (so  $\int L_f w \, d\mu = \int w \, d\mu$ ). Recall:

An invariant measure  $\mu$  is *strong-mixing* if  $\mu(\sigma^{-n}A \cap B) \rightarrow \mu(A)\mu(B) \ \forall A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Equivalently:

$$\int v\sigma^{n} \cdot w \, d\mu \to \int v \, d\mu \int w \, d\mu \, \forall v, w \in L^{2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \quad (3)$$

• If  $\mu$  is strong-mixing then  $\mu$  is ergodic.

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised and let  $\mu_f$  be the equilibrium state corresponding to f (so  $\int L_f w \, d\mu = \int w \, d\mu$ ). Recall:

An invariant measure  $\mu$  is *strong-mixing* if  $\mu(\sigma^{-n}A \cap B) \to \mu(A)\mu(B) \ \forall A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Equivalently:

$$\int v\sigma^{n} \cdot w \, d\mu \to \int v \, d\mu \int w \, d\mu \, \forall v, w \in L^{2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \quad (3)$$

• If  $\mu$  is strong-mixing then  $\mu$  is ergodic.

#### Proposition

The equilibrium state  $\mu_f$  is strong-mixing (and so is ergodic).

Let  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  be normalised and let  $\mu_f$  be the equilibrium state corresponding to f (so  $\int L_f w \, d\mu = \int w \, d\mu$ ). Recall:

An invariant measure  $\mu$  is *strong-mixing* if  $\mu(\sigma^{-n}A \cap B) \rightarrow \mu(A)\mu(B) \ \forall A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Equivalently:

$$\int v\sigma^{n} \cdot w \, d\mu \to \int v \, d\mu \int w \, d\mu \, \forall v, w \in L^{2}(\Sigma, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \quad (3)$$

• If  $\mu$  is strong-mixing then  $\mu$  is ergodic.

#### Proposition

The equilibrium state  $\mu_f$  is strong-mixing (and so is ergodic). Replacing v, w by  $v - \int v \, d\mu, w - \int w \, d\mu$ , it is easy to see that (3)  $\Leftrightarrow \int v \sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu \to 0 \quad \forall v, w \in L^2 \text{ s.t. } \int v \, d\mu = \int w \, d\mu = 0.$ 

- ◆ □ ▶ → 個 ▶ → 注 ▶ → 注 → のへぐ

We want to show  $\int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \to 0$  for all  $v, w \in L^2$ ,  $\int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . It is sufficient to do this for a dense set of functions.

Easy check:  $F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^2$ .

We want to show  $\int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \to 0$  for all  $v, w \in L^2$ ,  $\int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . It is sufficient to do this for a dense set of functions.

Easy check:  $F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^2$ . Let  $v, w \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}), \int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . Then $\left| \int v \sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \right|$ 

We want to show  $\int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \to 0$  for all  $v, w \in L^2$ ,  $\int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . It is sufficient to do this for a dense set of functions.

Easy check:  $F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^2$ . Let  $v, w \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}), \int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . Then $\left| \int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int L_f^n(v\sigma^n \cdot w) \, d\mu_f \right|$ 

We want to show  $\int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \to 0$  for all  $v, w \in L^2$ ,  $\int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . It is sufficient to do this for a dense set of functions.

Easy check:  $F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^2$ . Let  $v, w \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}), \int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . Then $\left| \int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int L_f^n(v\sigma^n \cdot w) \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int v \cdot L_f^n w \, d\mu_f \right|$ 

We want to show  $\int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \to 0$  for all  $v, w \in L^2$ ,  $\int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . It is sufficient to do this for a dense set of functions.

Easy check:  $F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^2$ . Let  $v, w \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}), \int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . Then $\left| \int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int L_f^n(v\sigma^n \cdot w) \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int v \cdot L_f^n w \, d\mu_f \right|$  $\leq \|v\|_{\infty} \|L_f^n w\|_{\infty}$ 

We want to show  $\int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \to 0$  for all  $v, w \in L^2$ ,  $\int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . It is sufficient to do this for a dense set of functions.

Easy check:  $F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^2$ . Let  $v, w \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}), \int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . Then $\left| \int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int L_f^n(v\sigma^n \cdot w) \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int v \cdot L_f^n w \, d\mu_f \right|$  $\leq \|v\|_{\infty} \|L_f^n w\|_{\infty} \leq \|v\|_{\infty} \|L_f^n w\|_{\theta}.$ 

We want to show  $\int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \to 0$  for all  $v, w \in L^2$ ,  $\int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . It is sufficient to do this for a dense set of functions.

Easy check: 
$$F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$$
 is dense in  $L^2$ .  
Let  $v, w \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R}), \int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . Then
$$\left| \int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int L_f^n(v\sigma^n \cdot w) \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int v \cdot L_f^n w \, d\mu_f \right|$$
$$\leq \|v\|_{\infty} \|L_f^n w\|_{\infty} \leq \|v\|_{\infty} \|L_f^n w\|_{\theta}.$$

Now  $L_f^n w = \mu_f(w) + Q^n w = Q^n w \to 0$  in norm as  $n \to \infty$ .

We want to show  $\int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \to 0$  for all  $v, w \in L^2$ ,  $\int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . It is sufficient to do this for a dense set of functions.

Easy check: 
$$F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$$
 is dense in  $L^2$ .  
Let  $v, w \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\int v \, d\mu_f = \int w \, d\mu_f = 0$ . Then
$$\left| \int v\sigma^n \cdot w \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int L_f^n(v\sigma^n \cdot w) \, d\mu_f \right| = \left| \int v \cdot L_f^n w \, d\mu_f \right|$$
$$\leq \|v\|_{\infty} \|L_f^n w\|_{\infty} \leq \|v\|_{\infty} \|L_f^n w\|_{\theta}.$$

Now  $L_f^n w = \mu_f(w) + Q^n w = Q^n w \to 0$  in norm as  $n \to \infty$ .

#### Remark

In fact, we've shown that equilibrium states (corresponding to Hölder potentials) have a property known as *exponential decay of correlations* (on Hölder functions).

# Another example

#### Another example

Let  $\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^{\infty} \mid x_j \in \{0,1\}\}$  be the full one-sided 2-shift. Let  $f(x) \equiv 0$ .
Let  $\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^{\infty} \mid x_j \in \{0,1\}\}$  be the full one-sided 2-shift. Let  $f(x) \equiv 0$ .

Here 
$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y) = w(0x) + w(1x).$$

Let  $\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^{\infty} \mid x_j \in \{0, 1\}\}$  be the full one-sided 2-shift. Let  $f(x) \equiv 0$ .

Here 
$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y) = w(0x) + w(1x).$$

Let  $h \equiv 1$ . Then  $L_f h = 2h$ . Hence the maximal eigenvalue for  $L_f$  is  $\lambda = 2$ , i.e.  $P(f) = \log 2$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let  $\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^{\infty} \mid x_j \in \{0, 1\}\}$  be the full one-sided 2-shift. Let  $f(x) \equiv 0$ .

Here 
$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y) = w(0x) + w(1x).$$

Let  $h \equiv 1$ . Then  $L_f h = 2h$ . Hence the maximal eigenvalue for  $L_f$  is  $\lambda = 2$ , i.e.  $P(f) = \log 2$ .

Let  $\nu$  be the Bernoulli (1/2, 1/2)-measure. It is straightforward to check that  $\int L_f w \, d\nu = 2 \int w \, d\nu$ , so  $\nu$  is the eigenmeasure for  $L_f$ .

Let  $\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^{\infty} \mid x_j \in \{0, 1\}\}$  be the full one-sided 2-shift. Let  $f(x) \equiv 0$ .

Here 
$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y) = w(0x) + w(1x).$$

Let  $h \equiv 1$ . Then  $L_f h = 2h$ . Hence the maximal eigenvalue for  $L_f$  is  $\lambda = 2$ , i.e.  $P(f) = \log 2$ .

Let  $\nu$  be the Bernoulli (1/2, 1/2)-measure. It is straightforward to check that  $\int L_f w \, d\nu = 2 \int w \, d\nu$ , so  $\nu$  is the eigenmeasure for  $L_f$ . Hence we get an invariant measure  $d\mu_f = h \, d\nu$ . So  $\mu_f$  is also the Bernoulli (1/2, 1/2)-measure.

Let  $\Sigma = \{(x_j)_{j=0}^{\infty} \mid x_j \in \{0, 1\}\}$  be the full one-sided 2-shift. Let  $f(x) \equiv 0$ .

Here 
$$L_f w(x) = \sum_{y \text{ s.t. } \sigma(y) = x} e^{f(y)} w(y) = w(0x) + w(1x).$$

Let  $h \equiv 1$ . Then  $L_f h = 2h$ . Hence the maximal eigenvalue for  $L_f$  is  $\lambda = 2$ , i.e.  $P(f) = \log 2$ .

Let  $\nu$  be the Bernoulli (1/2, 1/2)-measure. It is straightforward to check that  $\int L_f w \, d\nu = 2 \int w \, d\nu$ , so  $\nu$  is the eigenmeasure for  $L_f$ . Hence we get an invariant measure  $d\mu_f = h \, d\nu$ . So  $\mu_f$  is also the Bernoulli (1/2, 1/2)-measure.

Recall that  $\mu_f$  is the measure of maximal entropy for  $\sigma$ :

$$P(f) = \log 2 = h_{\mu_f}(\sigma) = \sup\{h_{\mu}(\sigma) \mid \mu \text{ is } \sigma \text{-invariant}\}$$

(by the variational principle for entropy).

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = の�?

Let  $\Sigma$  be an aperiodic shift of finite type. If  $\mu$  is an invariant measure we write  $h(\mu) = h_{\mu}(\sigma)$  for the entropy.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let  $\Sigma$  be an aperiodic shift of finite type. If  $\mu$  is an invariant measure we write  $h(\mu) = h_{\mu}(\sigma)$  for the entropy.

Theorem (The variational principle)

$$P(f) = \sup\left\{h(\mu) + \int f \, d\mu \mid \mu \text{ is } \sigma \text{-invariant}\right\}. \tag{4}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let  $\Sigma$  be an aperiodic shift of finite type. If  $\mu$  is an invariant measure we write  $h(\mu) = h_{\mu}(\sigma)$  for the entropy.

Theorem (The variational principle)

$$P(f) = \sup\left\{h(\mu) + \int f \, d\mu \mid \mu \text{ is } \sigma \text{-invariant}\right\}. \tag{4}$$

If  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  then this supremum is achieved by a unique  $\sigma$ -invariant measure, and this measure is  $\mu_f$ , i.e.  $P(f) = h(\mu_f) + \int f d\mu_f$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be an aperiodic shift of finite type. If  $\mu$  is an invariant measure we write  $h(\mu) = h_{\mu}(\sigma)$  for the entropy.

Theorem (The variational principle)

$$P(f) = \sup\left\{h(\mu) + \int f \, d\mu \mid \mu \text{ is } \sigma \text{-invariant}\right\}. \tag{4}$$

If  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  then this supremum is achieved by a unique  $\sigma$ -invariant measure, and this measure is  $\mu_f$ , i.e.  $P(f) = h(\mu_f) + \int f d\mu_f$ .

Remarks

When f ≡ 0 this is the variational principle that we had in the last lecture: P(0) is the topological entropy and the corresponding equilibrium state is the measure of maximal entropy.

Let  $\Sigma$  be an aperiodic shift of finite type. If  $\mu$  is an invariant measure we write  $h(\mu) = h_{\mu}(\sigma)$  for the entropy.

Theorem (The variational principle)

$$P(f) = \sup\left\{h(\mu) + \int f \, d\mu \mid \mu \text{ is } \sigma \text{-invariant}\right\}. \tag{4}$$

If  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$  then this supremum is achieved by a unique  $\sigma$ -invariant measure, and this measure is  $\mu_f$ , i.e.  $P(f) = h(\mu_f) + \int f d\mu_f$ .

Remarks

- When f ≡ 0 this is the variational principle that we had in the last lecture: P(0) is the topological entropy and the corresponding equilibrium state is the measure of maximal entropy.
- We can use equation (4) to define pressure for an arbitrary continuous function f and continuous transformation T.

- ◆ □ ▶ → 個 ▶ → 注 ▶ → 注 → のへぐ

Pressure is an ubiquitous quantity in ergodic theory. There are many ways of defining it.

Pressure is an ubiquitous quantity in ergodic theory. There are many ways of defining it.

▶ as the normalisation constant for Gibbs measure

$$\mu[x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}]\sim \exp(f^n(x)-nP(f))$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Pressure is an ubiquitous quantity in ergodic theory. There are many ways of defining it.

▶ as the normalisation constant for Gibbs measure

$$\mu[x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}]\sim \exp(f^n(x)-nP(f))$$

from the variational principle

$$P(f) = \sup\{h(\mu) + \int f \ d\mu \mid \mu \text{ is } \sigma\text{-invariant}\},$$

Pressure is an ubiquitous quantity in ergodic theory. There are many ways of defining it.

▶ as the normalisation constant for Gibbs measure

$$\mu[x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}]\sim \exp(f^n(x)-nP(f))$$

from the variational principle

$$P(f) = \sup\{h(\mu) + \int f \ d\mu \mid \mu \text{ is } \sigma \text{-invariant}\},$$

• as the log of the maximal eigenvalue for  $L_f$ ,

Pressure is an ubiquitous quantity in ergodic theory. There are many ways of defining it.

▶ as the normalisation constant for Gibbs measure

$$\mu[x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}]\sim \exp(f^n(x)-nP(f))$$

from the variational principle

$$P(f) = \sup\{h(\mu) + \int f d\mu \mid \mu \text{ is } \sigma \text{-invariant}\},$$

- ▶ as the log of the maximal eigenvalue for L<sub>f</sub>,
- many other expressions: eg:

$$P(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x = \sigma^n(x)} e^{f^n(x)}.$$

Pressure is an ubiquitous quantity in ergodic theory. There are many ways of defining it.

▶ as the normalisation constant for Gibbs measure

$$\mu[x_0,\ldots,x_{n-1}]\sim \exp(f^n(x)-nP(f))$$

from the variational principle

$$P(f) = \sup\{h(\mu) + \int f d\mu \mid \mu \text{ is } \sigma \text{-invariant}\},$$

• as the log of the maximal eigenvalue for  $L_f$ ,

many other expressions: eg:

$$P(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{x = \sigma^n(x)} e^{f^n(x)}.$$

(Sp  $P(0) = h_{top}(\sigma)$  = exponential rate of growth of the number of periodic orbits of period n.)

• 
$$P(f)$$
 is monotone:  $f \leq g \Rightarrow P(f) \leq P(g)$ .

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

- P(f) is monotone:  $f \leq g \Rightarrow P(f) \leq P(g)$ .
- ► P is convex:  $P(\alpha f + (1 \alpha)g) \le \alpha P(f) + (1 \alpha)P(g)$ ,  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ .

- P(f) is monotone:  $f \leq g \Rightarrow P(f) \leq P(g)$ .
- ► P is convex:  $P(\alpha f + (1 \alpha)g) \le \alpha P(f) + (1 \alpha)P(g)$ ,  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ .
- if f is cohomologous to g + c (i.e.  $f = g + u\sigma u + c$ ) then P(f) = P(g) + c.

- P(f) is monotone:  $f \leq g \Rightarrow P(f) \leq P(g)$ .
- ► P is convex:  $P(\alpha f + (1 \alpha)g) \le \alpha P(f) + (1 \alpha)P(g),$  $0 \le \alpha \le 1.$
- ▶ if f is cohomologous to g + c (i.e.  $f = g + u\sigma u + c$ ) then P(f) = P(g) + c.
- f → P(f) is analytic. This is because P(f) is the (log of a) simple isolated eigenvalue of a bounded linear operator. Such eigenvalues perturb analytically as the operator is perturbed. The map f → L<sub>f</sub> is analytic. Hence f → P(f) is analytic.

- P(f) is monotone:  $f \leq g \Rightarrow P(f) \leq P(g)$ .
- ► P is convex:  $P(\alpha f + (1 \alpha)g) \le \alpha P(f) + (1 \alpha)P(g),$  $0 \le \alpha \le 1.$
- if f is cohomologous to g + c (i.e.  $f = g + u\sigma u + c$ ) then P(f) = P(g) + c.
- *f* → *P*(*f*) is analytic. This is because *P*(*f*) is the (log of a) simple isolated eigenvalue of a bounded linear operator. Such eigenvalues perturb analytically as the operator is perturbed. The map *f* → *L<sub>f</sub>* is analytic. Hence *f* → *P*(*f*) is analytic.

Example: fix  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Define  $s \mapsto P(-sf) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then this is analytic in s.

- P(f) is monotone:  $f \leq g \Rightarrow P(f) \leq P(g)$ .
- ► P is convex:  $P(\alpha f + (1 \alpha)g) \le \alpha P(f) + (1 \alpha)P(g)$ ,  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ .
- if f is cohomologous to g + c (i.e.  $f = g + u\sigma u + c$ ) then P(f) = P(g) + c.
- *f* → *P*(*f*) is analytic. This is because *P*(*f*) is the (log of a) simple isolated eigenvalue of a bounded linear operator. Such eigenvalues perturb analytically as the operator is perturbed. The map *f* → *L<sub>f</sub>* is analytic. Hence *f* → *P*(*f*) is analytic.

Example: fix  $f \in F_{\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ . Define  $s \mapsto P(-sf) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then this is analytic in s.

(Suppose f > 0. Note that when s = 0,  $P(-sf) = h_{top}(\sigma) > 0$ . Also  $P(-sf) \searrow -\infty$  as  $s \to \infty$ . Hence there is a unique  $s_0$  such that  $P(-s_0f) = 0$ . This value of  $s_0$ , for particular f, is often of great importance in applications.)

# Next lecture

#### Next lecture

#### In this lecture we have only been interested in shifts of finite type.

In this lecture we have only been interested in shifts of finite type.

In the next lecture we discuss the hyperbolic dynamical systems, and see how one can study the ergodic theory of such systems using symbolic dynamics and thermodynamic formalism.