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## Introduction

Let $T$ be an m.p.t. of a prob. space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. Last time we defined the entropy $h_{\mu}(T)$.

In this lecture we recap some basic facts about entropy.

In the context of a continuous transformation of a compact metric space we study how $h_{\mu}(T)$ depends on $\mu$.

We also relate entropy to another important quantity: topological entropy.

Throughout: metric entropy $=$ measure-theoretic entropy $=$ $h_{\mu}(T)$.
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$$
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$$
h_{\mu}(T, \zeta)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_{\mu}\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j} \alpha\right) .
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The entropy of $T$ is
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Theorem (Sinai)
Suppose $T$ is an invertible m.p.t. and $\zeta$ is a generator. Then

$$
h_{\mu}(T)=h_{\mu}(T, \zeta)
$$

Let $\sigma$ be the full $k$-shift with the Bernoulli $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$-measure $\mu$. Then $\zeta=\{[1], \ldots,[k]\}$ is a generator.

$$
h_{\mu}(\sigma)=h_{\mu}(\sigma, \zeta)=-\sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{j} \log p_{j}
$$
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Let $(X, \mathcal{B})$ be a compact metric space with the Borel $\sigma$-algebra.
Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be continuous.
Let $M(X)=\{$ all Borel probability measures $\}$. Let $M(X, T)=\{$ all $T$-invariant Borel probability measures $\}$.

A sequence $\mu_{n} \in M(X)$ weak $^{*}$-converges to $\mu\left(\mu_{n} \rightharpoonup \mu\right)$ if

$$
\int f d \mu_{n} \rightarrow \int f d \mu \forall f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})
$$

Q: How does the entropy $h_{\mu}(T)$ vary as a function of $\mu$ ?
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Let

$$
\mu_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{x=\sigma^{n} x} \delta_{x} \in M(X, T)
$$

Then $h_{\mu_{n}}(\sigma)=0$ (as $\mu_{n}$ is supported on a finite set).
However, $\mu_{n} \rightharpoonup \mu$, where $\mu=$ the Bernoulli (1/2,1/2)-measure. Note $h_{\mu}(\sigma)=\log 2$.
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Proof (sketch):
Let $f=\chi_{[0]}$. Note that $\int f d \mu_{2}$
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\begin{aligned}
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Is the entropy map upper semi-continuous? i.e. does $\mu_{n} \rightharpoonup \mu \Rightarrow \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\mu_{n}}(T) \leq h_{\mu}(T) ?$

Answer: no in general, yes in many important cases.
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A homeomorphism $T$ is expansive if: $\exists \delta>0$ s.t. if $d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right) \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $x=y$.

## Example

A shift of finite type is expansive.
Recall $d(x, y)=1 / 2^{n}, n=$ first disagreement. Let $\delta<1$. If
$x_{n} \neq y_{n}$ then $d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right)=1 \geq \delta$.
Example
Let $T: \mathbb{R}^{k} / \mathbb{Z}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k} / \mathbb{Z}^{k}, T x=A x \bmod 1$ be a toral automorphism given by $A \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})$. Then $T$ is expansive iff $A$ is hyperbolic (no eigenvalues of modulus 1 ).
Other examples: all Anosov diffeomorphisms, Smale horseshoe, solenoid,...
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## Theorem

Let $T$ be an expansive homeomorphism of a compact metric space.
Then the entropy map is upper semi-continuous: if
$\mu_{n}, \mu \in M(X, T), \mu_{n} \rightharpoonup \mu$ then limsup $h_{\mu_{n}}(T) \leq h_{\mu}(T)$.
Proof (sketch):
Fact: Suppose $\mu_{n} \rightharpoonup \mu$. If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is s.t. $\mu(\partial B)=0$ then $\mu_{n}(B) \rightarrow \mu(B)$.

If $\zeta$ is a partition such that $\mu(\partial A)=0 \forall A \in \zeta$ then
$H_{\mu_{j}}(\zeta) \rightarrow H_{\mu}(\zeta)$. Hence

$$
h_{\mu_{n}}(T, \zeta) \rightarrow h_{\mu}(T, \zeta)
$$

Let $\delta$ be an expansive constant. If diam $\zeta<\delta$ then $\zeta$ is a generator. So $h_{\mu}(T)=h_{\mu}(T, \zeta)$ by Sinai. Alter $\zeta$ slightly to ensure $\mu(\partial A)=0 \forall A \in \zeta$.
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Let $X$ be compact metric, let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be continuous. Recall $X$ compact $\Rightarrow$ every open cover of $X$ has a finite subcover.

## Definition

Let $\alpha$ be an open cover of $X$. Let $N(\alpha)<\infty$ be the cardinality of the smallest finite subcover of $X$. Define the entropy of $\alpha$ to be

$$
H_{\mathrm{top}}(\alpha)=\log N(\alpha)
$$

## Definition

Let $\alpha=\left\{A_{i}\right\}, \beta=\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ be open covers. The $j$ oin is the open cover $\alpha \vee \beta=\left\{A_{i} \cap B_{j} \mid A_{i} \in \alpha, B_{j} \in \beta\right\}$.

## Definition

We say $\alpha \leq \beta$ if every element of $\beta$ is a subset of an element of $\alpha$.
(Example: $\alpha \leq \alpha \vee \beta$.) Easy check: $\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow H_{\text {top }}(\alpha) \leq H_{\text {top }}(\beta)$.
Definition
$T^{-1} \alpha$ is the open cover $\left\{T^{-1} A \mid A \in \alpha\right\}$.

## Topological entropy

## Topological entropy

## Definition

The topological entropy of $T$ relative to the open cover $\alpha$ is
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The limit exists as $H_{n}=H_{\text {top }}\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j} \alpha\right)$ is subadditive:
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## Topological entropy

## Definition

The topological entropy of $T$ relative to the open cover $\alpha$ is

$$
h_{\text {top }}(T, \alpha)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_{\text {top }}\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j} \alpha\right)
$$

Remark
The limit exists as $H_{n}=H_{\text {top }}\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j} \alpha\right)$ is subadditive:
$H_{n+m} \leq H_{n}+H_{m}$.
Definition
The topological entropy of $T$ is

$$
h_{\text {top }}(T)=\sup \left\{h_{\text {top }}(T, \alpha) \mid \alpha \text { is an open cover of } X\right\}
$$
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B_{n}(x, \varepsilon)=\left\{y \mid d\left(T^{j} x, T^{j} y\right)<\varepsilon, 0 \leq j \leq n-1\right\}
$$

So $x, y$ are $d_{n}$-close if the first $n$ points in the orbits of $x, y$ are close.

Idea: suppose we can't distinguish two orbits if they are close for the first $n$ iterates. How many such orbits are there?
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We want to make spanning sets as small as possible. Let $p_{n}(\varepsilon)$ be the cardinality of the smallest $(n, \varepsilon)$-spanning set.

Let $p(\varepsilon)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log p_{n}(\varepsilon)$.
Let $h_{\text {spanning }}(T)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} p(\varepsilon)$.
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Suppose $F$ is $(n, \varepsilon / 2)$-spanning of cardinality $p_{n}(\varepsilon / 2)$.
For every $x \in E$ there exists a $y \in F$ such that $x \in B_{n}(y, \varepsilon / 2)$.
This map $E \rightarrow F: x \mapsto y$ is injective. (If not, then $x, x^{\prime} \in E$ could map to the same $y \in F$. Then $d_{n}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{n}(x, y)+d_{n}(y, x)<\varepsilon$. Then $x=x^{\prime}$ as $E$ is ( $n, \varepsilon$ )-separated.)

Hence $q_{n}(\varepsilon) \leq p_{n}(\varepsilon / 2)$.
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Hence
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Hence
$h_{\text {spanning }}(T)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log p_{n}(\varepsilon)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log q_{n}(\varepsilon)$.

Theorem (Bowen)
The definition of topological entropy using open sets agrees with the definition of topological entropy using spanning/separated sets.

## Spanning and separated sets

Hence

$$
h_{\text {spanning }}(T)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log p_{n}(\varepsilon)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log q_{n}(\varepsilon) .
$$

Theorem (Bowen)
The definition of topological entropy using open sets agrees with the definition of topological entropy using spanning/separated sets.

Proof (sketch):
Careful analysis using Lebesgue numbers of open covers...
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Let $\alpha=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right\}$ be a finite open cover. For each $x$ look at the sequence of elements of $\alpha$ the orbit of $x$ visits. This codes the orbit of $x$ by a bi-infinite sequence of symbols from $\{1, \ldots, k\}$.

This coding may not be 'nice': different points may have the same coding, the coding may not be unique, the set of all sequences may be complicated (eg: not of finite type).
$\alpha$ is a (topological) generator if each sequence codes at most one point. Precisely, $\alpha$ is a generator if for each sequence $\left(i_{j}\right)_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$

$$
\operatorname{card} \bigcap_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} T^{-j} \overline{A_{i_{j}}}=0 \text { or } 1
$$
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Suppose $T$ is expansive with expansive constant $\delta$. Consider the open cover by balls of radius $\delta / 2$. Let $\alpha$ be a finite subcover. Then $\alpha$ is a (topological) generator.
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## Proposition

$T$ has a (topological) generator iff $T$ is expansive.
Proof (sketch):
Suppose $T$ is expansive with expansive constant $\delta$. Consider the open cover by balls of radius $\delta / 2$. Let $\alpha$ be a finite subcover. Then $\alpha$ is a (topological) generator.
The converse is slightly more involved.
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## Proposition

Let $T$ be an expansive homeomorphism \& let $\alpha$ be a generator.
Then $h_{\text {top }}(T)=h_{\text {top }}(T, \alpha)$.
Proof (sketch):
Step 1: Clearly $h_{\text {top }}(T, \alpha) \leq h_{\text {top }}(T)$.
Step 2: $\operatorname{diam} \bigvee_{j=-n}^{n} T^{-j} \alpha \rightarrow 0$.
(If diam $\bigvee_{j=-n}^{n} T^{-j} \alpha \rightarrow \varepsilon_{0}>0$ then two points could have the same coding - contradicting $\alpha$ being a generator.)

Step 3: Let $\beta$ be any open cover. Let $r>0$ be a Lebesgue number for $\beta$. Choose $n$ s.t. diam $\bigvee_{j=-n}^{n} T^{-j} \alpha \leq r$. Then $\beta \leq \bigvee_{j=-n}^{n} T^{-j} \alpha$. Then
$h_{\text {top }}(T, \beta) \leq h_{\text {top }}\left(T, \bigvee_{j=-n}^{n} T^{-j} \alpha\right)=h_{\text {top }}(T, \alpha)$. Take the supremum over all $\beta$.
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Let $\sigma: \Sigma_{k} \rightarrow \Sigma_{k}$ be the full two-sided $k$-shift.
Let $\alpha=\{[1], \ldots,[k]\}$. Note $\alpha$ is an open cover of $\Sigma_{k}$. It's clear that $\alpha$ is a (top.) generator.

Note $\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} \sigma^{-j} \alpha$ is the open cover of $\Sigma_{k}$ into all cylinders of length $n$. There are $k^{n}$ of these and all of them are needed to cover $\Sigma_{k}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mathrm{top}}(\sigma) & =h_{\mathrm{top}}(\sigma, \alpha)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_{\mathrm{top}}\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} \sigma^{-j} \alpha\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log k^{n}=\log k
\end{aligned}
$$
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where $\lambda>0$ is the largest eigenvalue of $A$, by the spectral radius formula.
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## Remark

Hence expansive homeomorphisms always have at least one measure of maximal entropy.
In many cases, there is a unique measure of maximal entropy.
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It's an easy check that the Parry measure $\mu$ has $h_{\mu}(\sigma)=\log \lambda$.
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## Proposition

Let $A$ be an irreducible $0-1$ matrix with corresponding shift of finite type $\Sigma_{A}$. Then the Parry measure is the unique measure of maximal entropy.
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## Towards thermodynamic formalism

Many other dynamical systems have measures of maximal entropy. Lebesgue measure is the unique measure of maximal entropy for a linear hyperbolic toral automorphism.

If the dynamical system $T$ is 'hyperbolic' (in an appropriate sense, but this includes: Anosov diffeomorphisms, Axiom A diffeos on basic sets such as the Smale horseshoe, (in continuous time) geodesic flows on compact negatively curved Riemannian manifolds) then there is a unique measure of maximal entropy.

These measures of maximal entropy can often be related to the spectral properties (=maximal eigenvalue) of an associated operator. We will discuss this further in the next lecture.

