# MAGIC: Ergodic Theory Lecture 2 - Uniform distribution mod 1

Charles Walkden

January 31st 2013

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = のへで

Ergodic theory concerns the distribution of typical orbits of a dynamical system.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = のへで

Ergodic theory concerns the distribution of typical orbits of a dynamical system.

In this lecture we consider the distribution of fractional parts of a sequence of reals.

Ergodic theory concerns the distribution of typical orbits of a dynamical system.

In this lecture we consider the distribution of fractional parts of a sequence of reals.

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

We also give some applications to number theory.

<ロト (個) (目) (目) (目) (0) (0)</p>

Let  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ → 圖 - 釣�?





How are the fractional parts of  $x_n$  distributed in [0, 1)?

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:















An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:


An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is not udm 1:



An example of a sequence which is udm 1:



## Definition $x_n$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 (udm1) if:

 $x_n$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 (udm1) if:  $\forall [a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  we have

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $x_n$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 (udm1) if:  $\forall [a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  we have

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$\frac{1}{n} card \{ j \mid 0 \le j \le n - 1, \{ x_j \} \in [a, b] \}$$

 $x_n$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 (udm1) if:  $\forall [a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \textit{card} \{ j \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1, \{ x_j \} \in [a, b] \} \longrightarrow b - a \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $x_n$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 (udm1) if:  $\forall [a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  we have

$$\frac{1}{n} card \{ j \mid 0 \le j \le n-1, \{ x_j \} \in [a, b] \} \longrightarrow b - a \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

i.e. the frequency with which the fractional parts of  $x_n$  lie in [a, b] is equal to the length of [a, b].

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $x_n$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 (udm1) if:  $\forall [a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  we have

$$\frac{1}{n} card \{ j \mid 0 \le j \le n-1, \{ x_j \} \in [a, b] \} \longrightarrow b - a \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

i.e. the frequency with which the fractional parts of  $x_n$  lie in [a, b] is equal to the length of [a, b].

### Exercise

Show  $x_n$  udm1  $\implies \{x_n\}$  dense in [0,1]

 $x_n$  is uniformly distributed mod 1 (udm1) if:  $\forall [a, b] \subset [0, 1]$  we have

$$\frac{1}{n} card \{ j \mid 0 \le j \le n-1, \{ x_j \} \in [a, b] \} \longrightarrow b - a \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

i.e. the frequency with which the fractional parts of  $x_n$  lie in [a, b] is equal to the length of [a, b].

### Exercise

Show  $x_n$  udm1  $\implies \{x_n\}$  dense in [0,1]

We need a usable criterion to check whether a sequence is udm1.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Theorem (Weyl's Criterion) The following are equivalent:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Theorem (Weyl's Criterion) The following are equivalent: 1. x<sub>n</sub> is udm1,

Theorem (Weyl's Criterion) The following are equivalent:

1.  $x_n$  is udm1,

2.  $\forall$  continuous  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with f(0) = f(1),

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}f(\{x_j\})\longrightarrow \int_0^1f(x)dx \text{ as } n\to\infty,$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Theorem (Weyl's Criterion) The following are equivalent:

1.  $x_n$  is udm1,

2.  $\forall$  continuous  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with f(0) = f(1),

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}f(\{x_j\})\longrightarrow \int_0^1f(x)dx \text{ as } n\to\infty,$$

**3**.  $\forall \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ 

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\exp 2\pi i\ell x_j \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで

## Proof. (Sketch)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Then



Then

 $x_n \text{ udm1} \iff$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

#### Then

$$x_n \text{ udm1} \iff \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{[a,b]}(\{x_j\}) \longrightarrow b-a$$



#### Then

$$x_n \text{ udm1} \iff \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{[a,b]}(\{x_j\}) \longrightarrow b - a = \int \chi_{[a,b]}(x) dx.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)



#### Then

$$x_n \text{ udm1} \iff \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{[a,b]}(\{x_j\}) \longrightarrow b - a = \int \chi_{[a,b]}(x) dx.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Hence Weyl's criterion holds for a characteristic function.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = のへで







Hence (1)  $\implies$  (2). (2)  $\implies$  (3) is trivial (put  $f(x) = e^{2\pi i \ell x}$ ).



Hence (1)  $\implies$  (2). (2)  $\implies$  (3) is trivial (put  $f(x) = e^{2\pi i \ell x}$ ). (3)  $\implies$  (1): Approximate  $\chi_{[a,b]}$  by finite linear combinations of exponential functions.

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ .



Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Case 2:  $\alpha$  irrational. We use Weyl's Criterion.
Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Case 2:  $\alpha$  irrational. We use Weyl's Criterion. Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Case 2:  $\alpha$  irrational. We use Weyl's Criterion. Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Note:  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \implies \ell \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} \neq 1$ .

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Case 2:  $\alpha$  irrational. We use Weyl's Criterion. Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Note:  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \implies \ell \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} \neq 1$ . Now

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}e^{2\pi i\ell x_j}\right|$$

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Case 2:  $\alpha$  irrational. We use Weyl's Criterion. Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Note:  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \implies \ell \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} \neq 1$ . Now

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}e^{2\pi i\ell x_j}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}e^{2\pi i\ell\alpha j}\right|$$

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Case 2:  $\alpha$  irrational. We use Weyl's Criterion. Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Note:  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \implies \ell \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} \neq 1$ . Now

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha j} \right| = \frac{1}{n} \frac{|e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha n} - 1|}{|e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} - 1|}$$

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Case 2:  $\alpha$  irrational. We use Weyl's Criterion. Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Note:  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \implies \ell \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} \neq 1$ . Now

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha j} \right| = \frac{1}{n} \frac{|e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha n} - 1|}{|e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} - 1|} \\ \leq \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{|e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} - 1|}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 悪 = のへで

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Case 2:  $\alpha$  irrational. We use Weyl's Criterion. Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Note:  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \implies \ell \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} \neq 1$ . Now

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha j} \right| = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\left| e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha n} - 1 \right|}{\left| e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} - 1 \right|}$$
$$\leq \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{\left| e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} - 1 \right|} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - わへで

Fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $x_n = \alpha n$ . Case 1:  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational,  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  takes only finitely many values

$$0, \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}, \ldots, \left\{\frac{(p-1)q}{q}\right\}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is not dense, therefore not udm1.

Case 2:  $\alpha$  irrational. We use Weyl's Criterion. Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Note:  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \implies \ell \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} \neq 1$ . Now

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha j} \right| = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\left| e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha n} - 1 \right|}{\left| e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} - 1 \right|}$$
$$\leq \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{\left| e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha} - 1 \right|} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

Hence  $x_n$  is udm1.

(ロ)、

We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way

We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example For k = 2,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,




We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,





We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,



We can define what it means for a sequence  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  to be udm1 in an analogous way Example

For k = 2,



 $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is udm1 if the frequency with which  $x_n \mod 1$  hits any *k*-dimensional cube equals the *k*-dimensional volume of that cube.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} card\{j \mid 0 \le j \le n-1, \{x_j^{(i)}\} \in [a_i, b_i] \ \forall 1 \le i \le k\}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} card\{j \mid 0 \le j \le n-1, \{x_j^{(i)}\} \in [a_i, b_i] \ \forall 1 \le i \le k\}$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} card\{j \mid 0 \le j \le n-1, \{x_j^{(i)}\} \in [a_i, b_i] \ \forall 1 \le i \le k\}$$
$$\longrightarrow (b_1 - a_1) \times \cdots \times (b_k - a_k)$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{card}\{j \mid 0 \le j \le n-1, \{x_j^{(i)}\} \in [a_i, b_i] \ \forall 1 \le i \le k\}$$
$$\longrightarrow (b_1 - a_1) \times \cdots \times (b_k - a_k)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

There is a higher dimensional version of Weyl's critertion.

Theorem The following are equivalent:



Theorem The following are equivalent: 1.  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is udm1

Theorem The following are equivalent: 1.  $x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is udm1 2.  $\forall \operatorname{cts} f : \mathbb{R}^k / \mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Theorem  
The following are equivalent:  
1. 
$$x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$$
 is  $udm1$   
2.  $\forall \operatorname{cts} f : \mathbb{R}^k / \mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}$   
 $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\{x_j^{(1)}\}, \dots, \{x_j^{(k)}\}) \longrightarrow \int f(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k$ 

Theorem  
The following are equivalent:  
1. 
$$x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$$
 is  $udm1$   
2.  $\forall \operatorname{cts} f : \mathbb{R}^k / \mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}$   
 $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\{x_j^{(1)}\}, \dots, \{x_j^{(k)}\}) \longrightarrow \int f(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k$ 

3.  $\forall (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0)\},\$ 

Theorem  
The following are equivalent:  
1. 
$$x_n = (x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$$
 is  $udm1$   
2.  $\forall \operatorname{cts} f : \mathbb{R}^k / \mathbb{Z}^k \to \mathbb{R}$   
 $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(\{x_j^{(1)}\}, \dots, \{x_j^{(k)}\}) \longrightarrow \int f(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k$   
3.  $\forall (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\},$   
 $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \exp(2\pi i (\ell_1 x_j^{(1)} + \dots + \ell_k x_j^{(k)})) \longrightarrow 0$ 

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ = ● ● ●

as  $n \to \infty$ .

Fix  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \ldots, \alpha_k n) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Fix  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \ldots, \alpha_k n) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

#### Definition

 $v_1, \ldots, v_s \in \mathbb{R}$  are rationally independent if

 $r_1v_1 + \cdots + r_sv_s = 0$  for  $r_1, \ldots, r_s \in \mathbb{Q} \Longrightarrow r_1 = \ldots r_s = 0$ 

Fix  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \ldots, \alpha_k n) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

#### Definition

 $v_1, \ldots, v_s \in \mathbb{R}$  are rationally independent if

$$r_1v_1 + \cdots + r_sv_s = 0$$
 for  $r_1, \ldots, r_s \in \mathbb{Q} \Longrightarrow r_1 = \ldots r_s = 0$ 

### Proposition

 $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \dots, \alpha_k n)$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 1$  are rationally independent.

Fix  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \ldots, \alpha_k n) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

#### Definition

 $v_1,\ldots,v_s\in\mathbb{R}$  are rationally independent if

$$r_1v_1 + \cdots + r_sv_s = 0$$
 for  $r_1, \ldots, r_s \in \mathbb{Q} \Longrightarrow r_1 = \ldots r_s = 0$ 

### Proposition

 $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \dots, \alpha_k n)$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 1$  are rationally independent.

## Proof. Exercise (very similar to $x_n = \alpha n$ )

Fix  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \ldots, \alpha_k n) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

#### Definition

 $v_1, \ldots, v_s \in \mathbb{R}$  are rationally independent if

$$r_1v_1 + \cdots + r_sv_s = 0$$
 for  $r_1, \ldots, r_s \in \mathbb{Q} \Longrightarrow r_1 = \ldots r_s = 0$ 

#### Proposition

 $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \dots, \alpha_k n)$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 1$  are rationally independent.

#### Proof.

Exercise (very similar to  $x_n = \alpha n$ )

#### Remark

When k = 1, we have the same result as above:

Fix  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \ldots, \alpha_k n) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

#### Definition

 $v_1,\ldots,v_s\in\mathbb{R}$  are rationally independent if

$$r_1v_1 + \cdots + r_sv_s = 0$$
 for  $r_1, \ldots, r_s \in \mathbb{Q} \Longrightarrow r_1 = \ldots r_s = 0$ 

### Proposition

 $x_n = (\alpha_1 n, \dots, \alpha_k n)$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 1$  are rationally independent.

#### Proof.

Exercise (very similar to  $x_n = \alpha n$ )

#### Remark

When k = 1, we have the same result as above:  $\alpha, 1$  rationally indep.  $\Leftrightarrow$  no rational solutions  $r_1, r_2$  to  $r_1\alpha + r_2 = 0$  $\Leftrightarrow \alpha$  irrational

## Weyl's Theorem on Polynomials

We know  $\alpha n$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .



## Weyl's Theorem on Polynomials

We know  $\alpha n$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . It is easy to extend this to  $\alpha n + \beta$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Weyl's Theorem on Polynomials

We know  $\alpha n$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . It is easy to extend this to  $\alpha n + \beta$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . We can generalise this to higher degree polynomials.

We know  $\alpha n$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . It is easy to extend this to  $\alpha n + \beta$  is udm1 iff  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . We can generalise this to higher degree polynomials.

Theorem (Weyl)

Let  $p(n) = \alpha_k n^k + \alpha_{k-1} n^{k-1} + \dots + \alpha_1 n + \alpha_0$ . If at least one of  $\alpha_k, \dots, \alpha_1$  is irrational then p(n) is udm1.

## Lemma (Van der Corput's inequality) Let $z_0, \ldots, z_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ , $1 \le m \le n$ . Then
Lemma (Van der Corput's inequality) Let  $z_0, \ldots, z_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $1 \le m \le n$ . Then

$$m^{2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} z_{j} \right|^{2} \leq m(n+m) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |z_{j}|^{2} + 2(n+m) \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1-j} z_{i+j} \overline{z}_{i} \right)$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Lemma (Van der Corput's inequality) Let  $z_0, \ldots, z_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $1 \le m \le n$ . Then

$$m^{2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} z_{j} \right|^{2} \leq m(n+m) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |z_{j}|^{2} + 2(n+m) \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1-j} z_{i+j} \overline{z}_{i} \right)$$

### Proof.

(In notes.) Idea:

$$\begin{aligned} |z_0 + z_1|^2 &= (z_0 + z_1)(\bar{z}_0 + \bar{z}_1) \\ &= |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + z_0 \bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_0 z_1 \\ &= |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{z}_0 z_1\right) \end{aligned}$$

Fix m.

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

#### Lemma

Suppose  $\forall m \ge 1$ ,  $x_n^{(m)}$  is udm1. Then  $x_n$  is udm1.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Lemma

Suppose  $\forall m \geq 1$ ,  $x_n^{(m)}$  is udm1. Then  $x_n$  is udm1.

Lemma  $\implies$  Weyl Let  $p(n) = \alpha_k n^k + \dots + \alpha_1 n + \alpha_0$ .

### Lemma

Suppose  $\forall m \geq 1$ ,  $x_n^{(m)}$  is udm1. Then  $x_n$  is udm1.

Lemma  $\implies$  Weyl Let  $p(n) = \alpha_k n^k + \cdots + \alpha_1 n + \alpha_0$ . We prove the special case when the leading coefficient  $\alpha_k$  is irrational (the general case follows easily).

### Lemma

Suppose  $\forall m \geq 1$ ,  $x_n^{(m)}$  is udm1. Then  $x_n$  is udm1.

Lemma  $\implies$  Weyl Let  $p(n) = \alpha_k n^k + \dots + \alpha_1 n + \alpha_0$ . We prove the special case when the leading coefficient  $\alpha_k$  is irrational (the general case follows easily).

We induct on the degree of p. Let

 $\Delta(k) = "every polynomial q of degree \le k \\ with irrational leading coefficient is \\ such that q(n) is udm1."$ 

### Lemma

Suppose  $\forall m \ge 1$ ,  $x_n^{(m)}$  is udm1. Then  $x_n$  is udm1.

Lemma  $\implies$  Weyl Let  $p(n) = \alpha_k n^k + \dots + \alpha_1 n + \alpha_0$ . We prove the special case when the leading coefficient  $\alpha_k$  is irrational (the general case follows easily).

We induct on the degree of p. Let

$$\Delta(k) = \text{"every polynomial } q \text{ of degree} \le k$$
  
with irrational leading coefficient is  
such that  $q(n)$  is udm1."

 $\Delta(1)$  is true as  $\alpha n + \beta$  is udm1 if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

### Lemma

Suppose  $\forall m \ge 1$ ,  $x_n^{(m)}$  is udm1. Then  $x_n$  is udm1.

Lemma  $\implies$  Weyl Let  $p(n) = \alpha_k n^k + \dots + \alpha_1 n + \alpha_0$ . We prove the special case when the leading coefficient  $\alpha_k$  is irrational (the general case follows easily).

We induct on the degree of p. Let

$$\Delta(k) = \text{"every polynomial } q \text{ of degree} \le k$$
  
with irrational leading coefficient is  
such that  $q(n)$  is udm1."

 $\Delta(1)$  is true as  $\alpha n + \beta$  is udm1 if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose  $\Delta(k-1)$  is true. We prove  $\Delta(k)$  is true.

### Lemma

Suppose  $\forall m \geq 1$ ,  $x_n^{(m)}$  is udm1. Then  $x_n$  is udm1.

Lemma  $\implies$  Weyl Let  $p(n) = \alpha_k n^k + \dots + \alpha_1 n + \alpha_0$ . We prove the special case when the leading coefficient  $\alpha_k$  is irrational (the general case follows easily).

We induct on the degree of p. Let

 $\Delta(k) = \text{"every polynomial } q \text{ of degree} \le k$ with irrational leading coefficient is such that q(n) is udm1."

 $\Delta(1)$  is true as  $\alpha n + \beta$  is udm1 if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Suppose  $\Delta(k-1)$  is true. We prove  $\Delta(k)$  is true. Let  $p(n) = \alpha_k n^k + \cdots + \alpha_1 n + \alpha_0$  be a degree k polynomial with irrational leading coefficient  $\alpha_k$ . Fix  $m \ge 1$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ = ● ● ●

Fix  $m \ge 1$ . The sequence of differences is

$$p^{(m)}(n) = p(n+m) - p(n) = \alpha_k (n+m)^k + \alpha_{k-1} (n+m)^{k-1} + \dots \\ -\alpha_k n^k - \alpha_{k-1} n^{k-1} - \dots \\ = \alpha_k km n^{k-1} + \dots$$

i.e. a polynomial of degree k - 1 with irrational leading coefficient.

Fix  $m \ge 1$ . The sequence of differences is

$$p^{(m)}(n) = p(n+m) - p(n) = \alpha_k (n+m)^k + \alpha_{k-1} (n+m)^{k-1} + \dots \\ -\alpha_k n^k - \alpha_{k-1} n^{k-1} - \dots \\ = \alpha_k km n^{k-1} + \dots$$

i.e. a polynomial of degree k - 1 with irrational leading coefficient. Induction hypothesis  $\implies p^{(m)}(n)$  is udm1  $\forall m \ge 1$ .

Fix  $m \ge 1$ . The sequence of differences is

$$p^{(m)}(n) = p(n+m) - p(n) = \alpha_k (n+m)^k + \alpha_{k-1} (n+m)^{k-1} + \dots \\ -\alpha_k n^k - \alpha_{k-1} n^{k-1} - \dots \\ = \alpha_k km n^{k-1} + \dots$$

i.e. a polynomial of degree k - 1 with irrational leading coefficient. Induction hypothesis  $\implies p^{(m)}(n)$  is udm1  $\forall m \ge 1$ . Lemma  $\implies p(n)$  is udm1.

Van der Corput  $\Rightarrow$  Lemma Put  $z_j = e^{2\pi i \ell x_j}$ ,  $|z_j| = 1$ . Then  $\forall 1 \le m \le n$ ,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Van der Corput  $\Rightarrow$  Lemma Put  $z_j = e^{2\pi i \ell x_j}$ ,  $|z_j| = 1$ . Then  $\forall 1 \le m \le n$ ,  $\frac{m^2}{n^2} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right|^2 \le \frac{m}{n^2} (n+m)n + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{(m-j)}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell (x_{i+j}-x_i)}$ 

Van der Corput 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Lemma  
Put  $z_j = e^{2\pi i \ell x_j}$ ,  $|z_j| = 1$ . Then  $\forall 1 \le m \le n$ ,  
 $\frac{m^2}{n^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right|^2 \le \frac{m}{n^2} (n+m)n + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(m-j)}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell (x_{i+j}-x_i)}$   
 $= \frac{m}{n} (n+m) + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)A_{n,j}$ 

$$A_{n,j} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell x_i^{(j)}}$$

Van der Corput 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Lemma  
Put  $z_j = e^{2\pi i \ell x_j}$ ,  $|z_j| = 1$ . Then  $\forall 1 \le m \le n$ ,  
 $\frac{m^2}{n^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right|^2 \le \frac{m}{n^2} (n+m)n + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(m-j)}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell (x_{i+j}-x_i)}$   
 $= \frac{m}{n} (n+m) + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)A_{n,j}$ 

$$A_{n,j} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell x_i^{(j)}}$$

Now  $A_{n,j} \longrightarrow 0$  by Weyl's criterion, as the sequence of  $j^{th}$  differences is udm1.

Van der Corput 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Lemma  
Put  $z_j = e^{2\pi i \ell x_j}$ ,  $|z_j| = 1$ . Then  $\forall 1 \le m \le n$ ,  
 $\frac{m^2}{n^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right|^2 \le \frac{m}{n^2} (n+m)n + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(m-j)}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell (x_{i+j}-x_i)}$   
 $= \frac{m}{n} (n+m) + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)A_{n,j}$ 

$$A_{n,j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell x_i^{(j)}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Now  $A_{n,j} \longrightarrow 0$  by Weyl's criterion, as the sequence of  $j^{th}$  differences is udm1. Hence for every m > 1,

Van der Corput 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Lemma  
Put  $z_j = e^{2\pi i \ell x_j}$ ,  $|z_j| = 1$ . Then  $\forall 1 \le m \le n$ ,  
 $\frac{m^2}{n^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right|^2 \le \frac{m}{n^2} (n+m)n + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(m-j)}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell (x_{i+j}-x_i)}$   
 $= \frac{m}{n} (n+m) + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)A_{n,j}$ 

$$A_{n,j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell x_i^{(j)}}$$

Now  $A_{n,j} \longrightarrow 0$  by Weyl's criterion, as the sequence of  $j^{th}$  differences is udm1. Hence for every m > 1,

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \frac{1}{n^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right|^2 \le \frac{1}{m}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Van der Corput 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Lemma  
Put  $z_j = e^{2\pi i \ell x_j}$ ,  $|z_j| = 1$ . Then  $\forall 1 \le m \le n$ ,  
 $\frac{m^2}{n^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right|^2 \le \frac{m}{n^2} (n+m)n + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{(m-j)}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell (x_{i+j}-x_i)}$   
 $= \frac{m}{n} (n+m) + 2\left(\frac{n+m}{n}\right) \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (m-j)A_{n,j}$ 

$$A_{n,j} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-i-j} e^{2\pi i \ell x_i^{(j)}}$$

Now  $A_{n,j} \longrightarrow 0$  by Weyl's criterion, as the sequence of  $j^{th}$  differences is udm1. Hence for event m > 1

Hence for every m > 1,

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \frac{1}{n^2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_j} \right|^2 \le \frac{1}{m}$$

As m is arbitrary, the result follows.

We now consider sequences of the form  $x_n = \alpha^n, \alpha > 1$ . This is a much harder and more delicate problem!

We now consider sequences of the form  $x_n = \alpha^n, \alpha > 1$ . This is a much harder and more delicate problem!

Theorem

Fix  $\alpha > 1$ . Then for "typical" points  $x \in [0, 1]$  the sequence  $x_n = \alpha^n x$  is udm1.

We now consider sequences of the form  $x_n = \alpha^n, \alpha > 1$ . This is a much harder and more delicate problem!

Theorem

Fix  $\alpha > 1$ . Then for "typical" points  $x \in [0, 1]$  the sequence  $x_n = \alpha^n x$  is udm1.

## Remark

By "typical" we mean: for Lebesgue almost every x,  $\alpha^n x$  is udm1.

We now consider sequences of the form  $x_n = \alpha^n, \alpha > 1$ . This is a much harder and more delicate problem!

Theorem

Fix  $\alpha > 1$ . Then for "typical" points  $x \in [0, 1]$  the sequence  $x_n = \alpha^n x$  is udm1.

### Remark

By "typical" we mean: for Lebesgue almost every x,  $\alpha^n x$  is udm1.

### Proof.

(Sketch) By Weyl's criterion, we want to show, for  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$A_{n}(x) = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell x_{j}} \right|^{2} = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha^{j} x} \right|^{2} \longrightarrow 0$$

for a.e. x.

$$\sum_n A_n(x) < \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(convergent series  $\implies$  summands  $\rightarrow$  0).

$$\sum_n A_n(x) < \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

(convergent series  $\implies$  summands  $\rightarrow$  0). Recall: if  $f \ge 0$  satisfies  $\int f(x)dx < \infty$  then  $f(x) < \infty$  a.e..

$$\sum_n A_n(x) < \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

(convergent series  $\implies$  summands  $\rightarrow$  0). Recall: if  $f \ge 0$  satisfies  $\int f(x)dx < \infty$  then  $f(x) < \infty$  a.e.. Hence it is sufficient to prove

$$I_n = \int \left(\sum_n A_n(x)\right) dx < \infty$$

- an easy, if lengthy, estimate!
- The actual details are more involved but this is the basic idea.  $\Box$

$$\sum_n A_n(x) < \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

(convergent series  $\implies$  summands  $\rightarrow$  0). Recall: if  $f \ge 0$  satisfies  $\int f(x)dx < \infty$  then  $f(x) < \infty$  a.e.. Hence it is sufficient to prove

$$I_n = \int \left(\sum_n A_n(x)\right) dx < \infty$$

- an easy, if lengthy, estimate!

The actual details are more involved - but this is the basic idea.  $\Box$ 

#### Remark

We will give an easier proof that  $\alpha^n x$  is udm1 for a.e. x when  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha > 1$  in a future lecture.

### Remark

One can also show:  $x_n = \alpha^n$  is udm1 for a.e.  $\alpha > 1$ .

### Remark

One can also show:  $x_n = \alpha^n$  is udm1 for a.e.  $\alpha > 1$ . No example of such an  $\alpha$  for which  $\alpha^n$  is udm1 is known.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

### Remark

One can also show:  $x_n = \alpha^n$  is udm1 for a.e.  $\alpha > 1$ . No example of such an  $\alpha$  for which  $\alpha^n$  is udm1 is known. Indeed, it is not even known if  $(3/2)^n \mod 1$  is dense!

## Next lecture

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

In the next lecture we re-introduce dynamical systems.

In the next lecture we re-introduce dynamical systems. We will define what is meant by an invariant measure, and study some invariant measures for the examples of dynamical systems that we saw in the first lecture.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ