×

Hyperbolic Milbins txs  
Let r be hyperbolic, ie r han 2 fixed phan OM  
none in H.  
Example 
$$r(z) = kz$$
  $kz \neq 1$ ,  $kz > 0$ . - a dilation.  
This has fixed phat  $0, \infty$ .  
Exercise Let  $r(z) = k_1 z$ ,  $r_2(z) = k_2 z$ . Then  $r_1, r_2$   
are conjugate  $r = k_1 = k_1$  or  $k_1 = \frac{1}{k_2}$ .  
Proposition Let re Milb(H). The following are equivalent is  
(1) r is hyperbolic, (1) r(s) > 4, (11) r is conjugate  
(11)  $r = 0$  Dilations are hyperbolic. If r is conjugate  
hyperbolic tx then r is hyperbolic.  
(11)  $r = 0$  Dilations are hyperbolic with fixed pts at  
(12)  $r = r_1, r_2 \in OH$ . (hook ge Mild(H) st.  
 $g(r_1), g(r_2) = 0, \infty$ . Consider  $grg = 1$ . Then  
 $grg = 1$  conjugate to r (on  $r_2 = 9^{-1}(grg = 1)g)$ .  
Note:  $grg = (z_1) = r_1, r_2 \iff z_0 = g(r_1), g(r_2)$   
 $r = r_2 = 0, \infty$ .  
Chaim  $grg = r_3$  is a dilation.  
Let  $grg = (z_1) = \frac{ar_1 + b}{cr_1 + a}$ .  
On  $\infty$  rised, we have  $r = 0$ .  
 $r = r_1 = r_1 r_2 = r_1 a dilation$ .  
 $r = r_2 = r_1 r_2 = r_1 a dilation$ .  
Let  $grg = (z_1) = (r_1) r_2 = r_1 a dilation.$ 

Ethiptic Millipins txs  
We work in D. Recall that Möbius txs of D  
have the firm 
$$\Im[z] = \alpha z + \beta = \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$$
  
 $\overline{\beta z + \overline{\alpha}} = |\alpha|^2 - 1\beta|^2 > O$   
(A) in Mill (1H) we can assume what  $\Im[z] = 1$ .  
When  $\Im[z] = [\alpha|^2 - 1\beta|^2 = 1$ .  
When  $\Im[z] = normalized, we define  $\exists[x] = (\alpha + \overline{\beta})^2$   
 $\underline{Rmk} = \Im[x] + normalized, we define  $\exists[x] = (\alpha + \overline{\beta})^2$   
 $\underline{Rmk} = \Im[x] + normalized, we define  $\exists[x] = (\alpha + \overline{\beta})^2$   
 $\underline{Rmk} = \Im[x] + normalized, we define  $\exists[x] = (\alpha + \overline{\beta})^2$   
 $\underline{Rmk} = \Im[x] + \frac{e^{i\theta/2}}{e^{2}} = 2$ .  
 $\underline{Rmk} = \Im[x] + \frac{e^{i\theta/2}}{2} = 2$ .  
 $\underline{Rmk} = = 2$ .  
 $\underline{R$$$$$ 

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

$$\frac{(1) = \mathcal{F}(3)}{(1)} \quad \text{Suppose } \mathcal{F} \text{ han a unique fixed pt at } \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

(c) The University of Manchester 2019

Olso 
$$g_{\overline{0}g'}(z) = \overline{z} \iff \overline{z}(\overline{g'}|z_1) = \overline{g'}|\overline{z})$$
  
 $(\equiv) \overline{g'}|\overline{z_1} = \overline{g} \iff \overline{z_2} = g(\overline{g}) = 0$   
 $(\underline{Claim} \quad g_{\overline{0}g'}(\overline{z}) = \underline{\alpha} + \mu \quad \Omega \quad g_{\overline{0}g'}(0) = 0, \text{ we}$   
 $f_{\overline{0}z + \overline{\alpha}}$   
 $\lambda_{et} \quad g_{\overline{0}g'}(\overline{z}) = \underline{\alpha} + \mu \quad \Omega \quad g_{\overline{0}g'}(0) = 0, \text{ we}$   
 $f_{\overline{0}z + \overline{\alpha}}$   
 $\lambda_{ove} \quad \underline{\mu} = 0, \text{ is } \mu = 0. \quad \xi_{et} \quad \alpha = re^{\overline{10}} \quad \text{Then}$   
 $g_{\overline{0}g'}(\overline{z}) = \frac{re^{\overline{10}}z}{re^{\overline{10}}} = e^{2\overline{10}}z, \quad \alpha \quad rotahan$   
 $\overline{1}$   
 $\underline{Rm}r \quad The \quad Möbius \quad trs \quad of \quad H \quad given \quad by$   
 $\chi(z) = (cos \theta_z) = + \sin \theta_z$ 

What did we do last time Classified parabdic Milbins txs (they lask lite translations) hyperbolic Milbins txs (they lask lite dilations) elliptic Milbins txs (they lask lite rotations). What will we do today? Introduce Fuchsian groups - discrete subgroups of Milbs(H1). <u>Causework test</u> Fri 14 Nov (next week) (Univ. approved) calculators are permitted.

Upto & including Sect 11 in the roles (yesterdays techure).

12. Fuchesian groups  
Defn (1 Fuchesian group (1) a diverte subgroup of  
Mibb(H) or Mibb(D).  
Discretevent Recall (X,d) vi a metric space if:  
(1) d(12,3) > 0, d(12,3) = 0 (=> x=y.  
(2) d(12,3) > 0, d(12,3) = 0 (=> x=y.  
(3) d(12,3) > 0, d(12,3) = (x-y)  
(5) d(12,3) > 0, d(12,3) = (x-y)  
(5) d(12,3) > 0, d(12,3) = (x-y)  
(5) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) = 
$$\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$$
  
(5) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(5) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(5) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(5) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(5) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(5) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(5) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(6) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(7) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(6) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(7) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(7) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(8) X=H d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(9) X=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(9) X=R y=R d((x,1,-,x), (y,1,-yy)) =  $\sqrt{(x_1-y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n-y_n)^2}$   
(1) S duverte if every point in Y I I udated.  
Examples (1) X=R, Y=R  
(1) (1) 2  
(1) C (1) 2  
(2) Cher y=L, toke  $\delta = y_2$ . Then there  
(3) Cher y=L, toke  $\delta = y_2$ . Then there  
(4) Cher y=L, toke  $\delta = y_2$ . Then there

Ì

Take 
$$y = \frac{1}{2}$$
  
Take  $y = \frac{1}{2}$   
Take  $y = \frac{1}{2}$   
 $\frac{1}{2}$   
 $\frac{1}$ 

Define 
$$\|(a, b, c, d)\| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}$$
.  
Let  $\Im(z) = \frac{a \neq b}{C_1 \neq d_1}$   $a_1, b_2, c_1, d_1 = a_1, d_1 = b_2, c_1 = 4, 2$ .  
Define  
 $d_{M2b}(H)(\Im(\chi, \Im_2) = \min \begin{cases} \|(a, b, \varsigma_1, d_1) - (a_1, b_2, \varsigma_2, d_2)\| \\ \|(a, b, \varsigma_1, d_1) - (-a_1, -b_2, -\varsigma_2, -d_2)\| \\ \|(a, b, \varsigma_1, d_1) - (-a_1, -b_2, -\varsigma_2, -d_2)\| \end{cases}$   
Recall (A Fuchsian group is a diverse subgroup of M2b(H)  
ar M6b(D).  
Examples (D) Integer translations  
 $\Gamma = \{\Im(z) = 2 + n, n \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a fuchsion group.  
(2) Dilahaws by a powers of 2  
 $\Gamma = \Im(\pi|z) = 2^2 z, n \in \mathcal{F}\}$  or a fuchsion group.  
(3) (May Rinke subgroup of M8bins the to a fuchsion group.  
 $\Im(\pi + \pi) = \Im(z) = 2^2 z, n \in \mathcal{F}\}$  or a fuchsion group.  
(3) (May Rinke subgroup of M8bins the to a fuchsion group.  
 $\Im(z) = \Im(z) = 2 + n = \Im(z) = 2\pi i k$   
 $\Im(z) = 2\pi i k$ ,  $r(z) = e^{2\pi i k}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, -2^{-1}$   
 $\subset Mib(D)$  or a fuchsion group.  
(4) If  $\Im$  or a fuchsion group.  
(5)  $\Pi = PSL(2, \mathcal{F}) = modular group.
(6)  $\Pi = PSL(2, \mathcal{F}) = modular group.$   
(7)  $= \{\chi(z) = \frac{a \neq b}{c \neq r d}, a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{F}\}$  ad-bc = 1  
(2) the toway structure definition group.$ 

Oxbets left 
$$\Gamma$$
 be a subgroup of Millo(H).  
Let zelf. The orbit of z is the net  
 $\Gamma(z) = \{ \forall | z \} | \forall \in \Gamma \}$   
Example  $\Gamma$  = integer translations =  $\{ \forall n | z \} = z + n, n \in \mathcal{Z} \}$   
 $\therefore x \times x \times x \times x \times x \times x - - -$   
H  
 $\Gamma(z) = \{ i + n, n \in \mathcal{Z} \}$   
Prop Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of Millo(H) or Millo(D).  
The following are equivalent:  
(1)  $\Gamma$  to a function group  
(2)  $\forall z \in H$  (or D) the obsit  $\Gamma(z) \subset H$  (or D)  
is durate.  
Example Let  $\Gamma = \{ \forall n | z \} = 2^n z, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$   
 $\cdot z_{z}$   
 $\Gamma(z) = \{ 2^n z, z \in \mathbb{Z} \}$   
 $\cdot z_{z}$   
 $\Gamma(z) = \{ 2^n z, z \in \mathbb{Z} \}$   
 $\cdot z_{z}$   
 $\cdot z_{z}$   



## Answer **<u>TWO</u>** of the three questions

B5. Consider the following statements. In each case, state whether the statement is true or false and justify your answer by giving either a proof or a counterexample. You will not be awarded any marks for guessing true or false without attempting to justify your answer.

(i) Let γ<sub>1</sub>, γ<sub>2</sub> ∈ Möb(𝔄) be two Möbius transformations of 𝔄. Then γ<sub>1</sub>γ<sub>2</sub> is a Möbius transformation.

(ii) Let  $\tau(\gamma)$  denote the trace of the Möbius transformation  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then, for every  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \text{Möb}(\mathbb{H})$ , we have  $\tau(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = \tau(\gamma_1)\tau(\gamma_2)$ .

[2 marks]

[4 marks]

(iii) Conjugacy between Möbius transformations of ℍ is an equivalence relation.

[6 marks]

(iv) Let  $\gamma_1(z) = z + 1$ ,  $\gamma_2(z) = z - 1$ . Then  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are conjugate Möbius transformations of  $\mathbb{H}$ .

[4 marks]

(v) There exist parabolic Möbius transformations  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in M\"ob(\mathbb{H})$  such that  $\gamma_1\gamma_2$  is hyperbolic.

[4 marks]

(vi) Let L denote the geodesic in  $\mathbb{H}$  with endpoints at -2 and 2. There exists a Möbius transformation  $\gamma \in Möb(\mathbb{H})$  that maps L to itself but interchanges the endpoints.

[6 marks]

(vii) There exists a Möbius transformation  $\gamma \in M\"b(\mathbb{H})$  that maps the hyperbolic triangle  $\Delta_1$  with vertices at  $\infty, i, 1$  to the hyperbolic triangle  $\Delta_2$  with vertices at  $\infty, i, (-1 + i\sqrt{3})/2$ .

[4 marks]

P.T.O.

**B5** (i) This is true. The composition of two Möbius transformations is a Möbius transformation. See Exercise 3.4. (And remember that you need to check that  $\gamma_1\gamma_2$  is a Möbius transformation by checking that (ad - bc = 1').)

Some people queried whether  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$  meant the composition  $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2$  or the product  $\gamma_1(z)\gamma_2(z)$ . As I said many times in the course, we only ever compose Möbius transformations together (and you should recoil in horror at the thought of multiplying them). However if, in your answer, you wrote or made clear that you were interpreting  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$  as the product and gave a reasoned answer as to why it wasn't always a Möbius transformation, then I gave you full credit.

(ii) This is false. Some of you constructed highly elaborate counter-examples. The simplest is to take γ<sub>1</sub>(z) = γ<sub>2</sub>(z) = z, the identity transformation. Then τ(γ<sub>1</sub>) = τ(γ<sub>2</sub>) = τ(γ<sub>1</sub>γ<sub>2</sub>) = 4 ≠ τ(γ<sub>1</sub>)τ(γ<sub>2</sub>).

A very large number of you took arbitrary transformations  $\gamma_1(z) = (a_1z + b_1)/(c_1z+d_1)$ ,  $\gamma_2(z) = (a_2z+b_2)/(c_2z+d_2)$  (in normalised form, I hope), worked out the composition  $\gamma_1\gamma_2$ , calculated the traces of  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_1\gamma_2$  and then boldly stated that it was clear that these were different in general. This isn't a proof! If you are asked to find a counterexample then you actually need to find one (and finding just one will do); you aren't asked for a method which, in principle and with a bit of work, will produce a large number of counterexamples.

- (iii) This is true. I think everybody who attempted this got this right.
- (iv) This is false. Stating that a parabolic Möbius transformation is conjugate either to  $z \mapsto z+1$  or to  $z \mapsto z-1$  isn't sufficient: this doesn't say that  $z \mapsto z+1, z \mapsto z-1$  aren't conjugate.

You can't say that the conjugacy must be of the form  $\gamma(z) = kz$  and then deduce a contradiction—you have to show that  $g\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2 g$  for any  $g \in \text{M\"ob}(\mathbb{H})$ . See the solution to Exercise 10.3 for how to do this.

(v) This is true. Take  $\gamma_1(z) = z + 1$ . This has matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . There's no point taking  $\gamma_2$  to also be a translation, as the composition of two translations is also a translation and so parabolic. Instead, think what's the next simplest example of a parabolic transformation. It has to have trace 4, so the Möbius transformation with matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  might be worth looking at. Take  $\gamma_2(z) = z/(z+1)$ . Then  $\gamma_1\gamma_2(z) = (2z+1)/(z+1)$ , which is normalised and has trace 9, and so is hyperbolic.

You can't say that  $\gamma_1$  is parabolic and so conjugate to a translation,  $\gamma_2$  is parabolic and so conjugate to a translation, hence we can assume both  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are translations, hence their composition is a translation and so parabolic. It is correct to say that a parabolic transformation  $\gamma_1$  is conjugate to a translation; however, this conjugacy depends on the parabolic transformation (it's a change of coordinates that maps the fixed point of  $\gamma_1$  to  $\infty$ ). There's no reason why the same conjugacy is going to work simultaneously for both  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  if they have different fixed points (indeed, this is what makes the example above work).

(vi) This is true. There are two slog-it-out methods and a quick method to see this. One slog-it-out method is to suppose that  $\gamma(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d)$  maps -2 to 2 and 2 to -2, use this to deduce two relationships between a, b, c, d, and then find (by trial and error) suitable values of a, b, c, d which satisfy these relationships and the fact that ad - bc = 1.

Another slog-it-out method is to take find a Möbius transformation  $\gamma$  that maps the geodesic between -2 and 2 to the imaginary axis, compose this with the map  $z \mapsto -1/z$  to interchange the endpoints 0 and  $\infty$ , and then map the imaginary axis back to the geodesic from -2 to 2. Many of those who tried this got confused as to whether one of your maps was mapping to or from the geodesic between -2 and 2 and the imaginary axis.

The quick method is just to note that  $\gamma(z) = -4/z$  is a Möbius transformation with the required properties.

(vii) This is false. Either you can note that the two triangles have different areas (by the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem) and so—as Möbius transformations preserve area—there cannot be a Möbius transformation that maps  $\Delta_1$  to  $\Delta_2$ . Alternatively, just note that if such a Möbius transformation existed then it would have to map vertices to vertices, but  $\Delta_1$  has two vertices on the boundary whereas  $\Delta_2$  has one vertex on the boundary. As Möbius transformations map the boundary to itself, this is impossible.