November 7, 2016

## 1 Sheet 5

**Problem 1.** As  $|Z_n| \leq E[|X||\mathcal{F}_n]$ , we have

$$E[|Z_n|] \le E[E[|X||\mathcal{F}_n]] = E[|X|]$$

Hence,  $\sup_n E[|Z_n|] < +\infty$ . Applying the martingale convergence theorem, it follows that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n$  exists.

**Problem 2.** Put  $M_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)$  for  $n \ge 1$ . we can verify (exercise) that  $\{M_n, n \ge 1\}$  is a martingale with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, ..., X_n), n \ge 1$ . As  $E[M_n] = 0$ , we have

$$E[M_n^2] = var(M_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sigma_i^2 < \infty$$

Note that  $|x| \leq x^2 + 1$ . It follows that

$$\sup_{n} E[|M_n|] \le 1 + \sup_{n} E[M_n^2] < \infty$$

By the Martingale Convergence Theorem, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\right)$$

exists. But  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i$  exists. Thus we see that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i + \lim_{n \to \infty} M_n$$

exists too. Hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i(\omega)$  converges almost surely.

## Problem 3. Proof.

(1) From the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , we see that  $B_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -determined. Also we have  $[|B_t|] < \infty$ . Now for s < t,

$$E[B_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[B_t - B_s + B_s|\mathcal{F}_s]$$
$$= E[B_s|\mathcal{F}_s] + E[B_t - B_s|\mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= B_s + E[B_t - B_s] = B_s$$

Thus the three conditions in the definition of martingales are met. Hence,  $B_t, t \ge 0$  is a martingale w.r.t.  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\ge 0}$ .

(2). Since  $Z_t$  is a function of  $B_t$ ,  $Z_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -determined. We also have

$$E[|Z_t|] \le E[B_t^2] + t = 2t < \infty$$

Let s < t. We have

$$E[Z_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[B_t^2 - t | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[(B_t - B_s + B_s)^2 - t | \mathcal{F}_s]$$
  
=  $E[(B_t - B_s)^2 + 2(B_t - B_s)B_s + B_s^2 - s - (t - s)|\mathcal{F}_s]$   
=  $E[(B_t - B_s)^2 - (t - s)|\mathcal{F}_s] + 2E[(B_t - B_s)B_s|\mathcal{F}_s] + E[B_s^2 - s|\mathcal{F}_s]$   
=  $E[(B_t - B_s)^2] - (t - s) + 2B_s E[(B_t - B_s)] + B_s^2 - s$   
=  $(t - s) - (t - s) + 2 \times 0 + Z_s = Z_s$ 

We conclude that  $Z_t = B_t^2 - t, t \ge 0$ , is a martingale w.r.t.  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\ge 0}$ . (3). We only check

$$E[Z_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = Z_s$$

for s < t, and leave other details to you as exercise. Recall if  $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ , then 2

$$E[e^X] = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}$$

We proceed as follows:

$$\begin{split} E[Z_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= E[exp(B_t - B_s - \frac{1}{2}(t-s))exp(B_s - \frac{1}{2}s) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= exp(B_s - \frac{1}{2}s)E[exp(B_t - B_s - \frac{1}{2}(t-s)) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= Z_s E[exp(B_t - B_s) | \mathcal{F}_s]exp(-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)) = Z_s E[exp(B_t - B_s)]exp(-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)) \\ &= Z_s exp(\frac{1}{2}(t-s))exp(-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)) = Z_s \end{split}$$

(4). From (1) we know that  $B_t, t \ge 0$  is a martingale. As  $|B_{t \wedge T}| \le a + b$ , by Doob's Optimal Stopping Theorem we have  $E[B_T] = E[B_0] = 0$ . Noticing that

$$E[B_T] = (-a)P(B_T = -a) + bP(B_T = b)$$

it follows that

$$(-a)P(B_T = -a) + bP(B_T = b) = 0$$

On the other hand,

$$P(B_T = -a) + P(B_T = b) = 1$$

Solve the above two equations to obtain

$$P(B_T = -a) = \frac{b}{a+b}, \quad P(B_T = b) = \frac{a}{a+b}$$

(5). It is known from (2) that  $Z_t = B_t^2 - t, t \ge 0$ , is a martingale. Consequently, the stopped process  $Z_{t\wedge T} = B_{t\wedge T}^2 - (t\wedge T), t \ge 0$  is also a martingale. Thus

$$E[Z_{t\wedge T}] = E[B_{t\wedge T}^2 - (t\wedge T)] = 0$$

i.e.,

$$E[B_{t\wedge T}^2] = E[(t\wedge T)]$$

By Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} E[(t \wedge T)] = E[T]$$

Note that  $B_{t\wedge T}^2 \leq (a+b)^2$ . The dominated Convergence Theorem yields

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} E[B_{t \wedge T}^2] = E[B_T^2]$$

Combining the above two convergence we get

$$E[T] = E[B_T^2]$$

$$= (-a)^{2} P(B_{T} = -a) + b^{2} P(B_{T} = b) = a^{2} \frac{b}{a+b} + b^{2} \frac{a}{a+b} = ab$$

**Problem 4.** (a). Show  $U(t) = N(t) - \lambda t$  is a martingale.

(1). U(t) is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable by the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .

(2). U(t) is integrable because N(t) has a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda t$ .

(3). For s < t, we have

$$E[U(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[U(t) - U(s)|\mathcal{F}_s] + E[U(s)|\mathcal{F}_s]$$
$$= U(s) + E[N(t) - N(s)|\mathcal{F}_s] - \lambda(t - s)$$
$$= U(s) + E[N(t) - N(s)] - \lambda(t - s) = U(s)$$

(b). Show  $V(t) = U^2(t) - \lambda t$  is a martingale.

(1). V(t) is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable by the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .

(2). V(t) is integrable because N(t) has a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda t$ .

(3). For s < t, we have

$$E[V(t)|\mathcal{F}_{s}] = E[U^{2}(t) - \lambda t|\mathcal{F}_{s}]$$
  
=  $E[(U(t) - U(s) + U(s))^{2}|\mathcal{F}_{s}] - \lambda t$   
=  $E[(U(t) - U(s))^{2}|\mathcal{F}_{s}] + 2E[(U(t) - U(s))U(s)|\mathcal{F}_{s}] + E[U^{2}(s)|\mathcal{F}_{s}] - \lambda t$ 

$$= E[(N(t) - N(s) - \lambda(t - s))^{2}] + 2U(s)E[(U(t) - U(s))|\mathcal{F}_{s}] + U^{2}(s) - \lambda t$$
  
$$= \lambda(t - s) + 2U(s) \times 0 + U^{2}(s) - \lambda t = U^{2}(s) - \lambda s = V(s),$$

where the fact  $N(t) - N(s) \sim Poisson(\lambda(t-s))$  has been used. (c). Show  $W(t) = exp[-\theta N(t) + \lambda t(1 - e^{-\theta})]$  is a martingale.

(1). W(t) is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable by the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ .

(2). W(t) is integrable because N(t) has a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda t$ .

(3). For s < t, we have

$$E[W(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[W(s)W^{-1}(s)W(t)|\mathcal{F}_s]$$
  
=  $E[W(s)exp[-\theta(N(t) - N(s)) + \lambda(t - s)(1 - e^{-\theta})]|\mathcal{F}_s]$   
=  $W(s)exp[\lambda(t - s)(1 - e^{-\theta})]E[exp[-\theta(N(t) - N(s))]|\mathcal{F}_s]$   
=  $W(s)exp[\lambda(t - s)(1 - e^{-\theta})]E[exp[-\theta(N(t) - N(s))]]$ 

But

$$E[exp[-\theta(N(t) - N(s))]] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} exp(-\theta n)exp(-\lambda(t-s))\frac{(\lambda(t-s))^n}{n!}$$
$$= exp(-\lambda(t-s))\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(exp(-\theta)\lambda(t-s))^n}{n!} = exp[-\lambda(t-s)(1-e^{-\theta})]$$

Combine the above equations to get

$$E[W(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = W(s)$$