Solutions to extra problems for Math67201-47201

September 21, 2017

Problem 1.

Proof. Let $\tau^n = \{t_0^n = 0 < t_1^n < t_2^n < \cdots < t_{k_n}^n = T\}$ be any partition of the interval [0, T]. We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} |f(t_{i+1}^n) - f(t_i^n)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} |(f_1(t_{i+1}^n) - f_1(t_i^n))| + \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} |(f_2(t_{i+1}^n) - f_2(t_i^n))|$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} (f_1(t_{i+1}^n) - f_1(t_i^n)) + \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} (f_2(t_{i+1}^n) - f_2(t_i^n))$$

$$= f_1(T) - f_1(0) + f_2(T) - f_2(0). \qquad (0.1)$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{\tau^n} \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} |f(t_{i+1}^n) - f(t_i^n)| \le f_1(T) - f_1(0) + f_2(T) - f_2(0) < \infty$$

This proves the statement.

Problem 2.

Proof. Let $\{0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_{n_k-1} < t_{n_k} = t\}$ be a sequence of partitions of the interval [0, t] such that $\Delta_k = max_{1 \le n_k}(t_i - t_{i-1}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. It suffices to prove

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2 - t\right)^2\right] = 0$$

Noting that $t = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (t_i - t_{i-1})$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2 - t = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \{ (B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2 - (t_i - t_{i-1}) \},\$$

Hence,

$$E[(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}} (B_{t_{i}} - B_{t_{i-1}})^{2} - t)^{2}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1,j=1}^{n_{k}} E[\{(B_{t_{i}} - B_{t_{i-1}})^{2} - (t_{i} - t_{i-1})\}\{(B_{t_{j}} - B_{t_{j-1}})^{2} - (t_{j} - t_{j-1})\}]$$

$$= \sum_{i\neq j}^{n_{k}} E[\{(B_{t_{i}} - B_{t_{i-1}})^{2} - (t_{i} - t_{i-1})\}\{(B_{t_{j}} - B_{t_{j-1}})^{2} - (t_{j} - t_{j-1})\}]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}} E[\{(B_{t_{i}} - B_{t_{i-1}})^{2} - (t_{i} - t_{i-1})\}^{2}] \qquad (0.2)$$

If $i \neq j$, by the independence we have

$$E[\{(B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2 - (t_i - t_{i-1})\}\{(B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}})^2 - (t_j - t_{j-1})\}]$$

= $E[\{(B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2 - (t_i - t_{i-1})\}]E[\{(B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}})^2 - (t_j - t_{j-1})\}]$
= 0 (0.3)

On the other hand,

$$E[\{(B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2 - (t_i - t_{i-1})\}^2]$$

$$= E[(B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^4] - 2E[(B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2](t_i - t_{i-1}) + (t_i - t_{i-1})^2$$

$$= E[(B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^4] - (t_i - t_{i-1})^2$$

$$\leq C(t_i - t_{i-1})^2 + (t_i - t_{i-1})^2.$$
(0.4)

Combining the above calculations together, we obtain

$$E[(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2 - t)^2] \le \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (C+1)(t_i - t_{i-1})^2 \le (C+1)t \max_i (t_i - t_{i-1}) \to 0$$
(0.5)

as $k \to \infty$.

Problem 3.

Proof. Let $\{0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_{n_k-1} < t_{n_k} = t\}$ be a sequence of partitions of the interval [0, t] such that $\Delta_k = max_{1 \le n_k}(t_i - t_{i-1}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. We need to show that

$$[B, A]_t = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}) (A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}}) = 0.$$

By Holder inequality, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}) (A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}})\right)^2 \le \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2\right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}})^2\right]$$
(0.6)

We already know that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}})^2 = t$$

To complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}})^2 = 0.$$

In deed, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}})^2 \le \sup_i |A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}}| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} |A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}}|$$

$$\le C_t \sup_i |A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}}|, \qquad (0.7)$$

where C_t is some constant because A is of bounded variation. Since A_t is continuous in t and since $\Delta_k = \max_{1 \le n_k} (t_i - t_{i-1}) \to 0$, it follows that $\sup_i |A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}}| \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Hence we deduce that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} (A_{t_i} - A_{t_{i-1}})^2 = 0$$

which finishes the proof.

Problem 4.

Proof. (a). Since Z_t is a functional of $B_u, 0 \le u \le t, Z_t$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

(b). As $B_t \sim N(0, t)$, we have

$$E[|Z_t|] \le E[|B_t|^3] + 3\int_0^t E[|B_u|]du < \infty.$$

(c). For s < t,

$$E[Z_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[B_t^3 - 3\int_0^t B_u du|\mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= E[(B_t - B_s + B_s)^3 - 3\int_0^s B_u du - 3\int_s^t B_u du|\mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= E[(B_t - B_s)^3 + 3(B_t - B_s)^2 B_s + 3(B_t - B_s)B_s^2 + B_s^3|\mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$-3\int_0^s B_u du - 3E[\int_s^t B_u du|\mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= E[(B_t - B_s)^3] + 3E[(B_t - B_s)^2]B_s + 3E[(B_t - B_s)]B_s^2 + B_s^3$$

$$-3\int_0^s B_u du - 3(t - s)B_s - 3E[\int_s^t (B_u - B_s)du|\mathcal{F}_s]$$

$$= Z_s - 3E[\int_s^t (B_u - B_s)du] = Z_s, \qquad (0.8)$$

where the property of independent increments of the Brownian motion was used. The proof is complete.