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The graph inverse semigroups are the most natural generalisation of the polycyclic monoids. They are also a special case of semigroups constructed from suitable left cancellative categories. We will generalise some of the theory from the polycyclic monoids and take them further. Introduce a new result inspired by certain graph algebras.
Throughout this talk categories are ‘arrows-only’. The elements of a category $C$ are called *arrows* and the set of identities of $C$ is denoted by $C_0$. An arrow $a$ is an *isomorphism* if there is a necessarily unique arrow $a^{-1}$ such that $a^{-1}a$ and $aa^{-1}$ are identities.

$$d(a) \bullet \xrightarrow{a} \bullet r(a)$$

A category in which every arrow is invertible is called a *groupoid*. We denote the subset of invertible elements of $C$ by $G(C)$. This forms a groupoid. If $G(C) = C_0$ then we shall say that the groupoid of invertible elements is *trivial*.
Graph inverse semigroups are constructed as a special case of a general procedure for constructing inverse semigroups from left cancellative categories which has its origins in the work of Leech. The left cancellative categories required the additional condition that any pair of arrows with a common range that can be completed to a commutative square have a pullback. We call these Leech categories.
With each Leech category $C$, we may associate an inverse semigroup $S(C)$ as follows. Put

$$U = \{(a, b) \in C \times C : d(a) = d(b)\}.$$ 

Define a relation $\sim$ on $U$ as follows

$$(a, b) \sim (a', b') \iff (a, b) = (a', b')u$$

for some isomorphism $u \in C$. This is an equivalence relation on $U$ and we denote the equivalence class containing $(a, b)$ by $[a, b]$. 
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The product \([a, b][c, d]\) is defined as follows: if there are no elements \(x\) and \(y\) such that \(bx = cy\) then the product is defined to be zero; if such elements exist choose such a pair that is a pullback. The product is then defined to be \([ax, dy]\).
Define \( S(C) \) to be the set of equivalence classes together with an additional element that plays the role of zero.

**Theorem**

*Let \( C \) be a Leech category. Then \( S(C) \) is an inverse semigroup with zero.*
Lemma

Let $C$ be a Leech category. Then in the inverse semigroup $S(C)$, we have the following:

1. The semigroup $S(C)$ is $E^*$-unitary if and only if the Leech category $C$ is right cancellative.
2. The inverse semigroup $S(C)$ is 0-bisimple if and only if $C$ is equivalent to a monoid.
A directed graph can have loops and there can be multiple edges between any two vertices. The free category $G^*$ generated by the directed graph $G$ is the set of all paths equipped with concatenation as the partial binary operation. A graph with one vertex and $n$ loops is called the $n$-rose or bouquet of $n$ circles. If the graph is an $n$-rose then its free category is simply the free monoid on $n$ generators. This is why the polycyclic monoids are a special case of the graph inverse semigroups.
Graph inverse semigroups

When a Leech category has a trivial groupoid of invertible elements the equivalence class \([a, b]\) is just the singleton set \(\{(a, b)\}\). It is convenient in this case to denote \([a, b]\) by \(ab^{-1}\), which is to be understood to be just a notation.

The free category of a graph \(G\) is such a category. The multiplication takes the following form:

\[
xy^{-1} \cdot uv^{-1} = \begin{cases} 
xzv^{-1} & \text{if } u = yz \text{ for some string } z \\
x(vz)^{-1} & \text{if } y = uz \text{ for some string } z \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

The graph inverse semigroup is the special case of \(S(C)\) when \(C\) is the free category associated with a graph. For a graph \(G\) we denote the associated graph inverse semigroup by \(P_G\).
Basic Properties

- Finite if and only if the graph is a finite tree.
- \((xy^{-1})^{-1} = yx^{-1}\).
- \(P_G\) is always combinatorial.
- All idempotent take the form \(xx^{-1}\).
- The natural partial order: \(xy^{-1} \leq uv^{-1} \iff x = up\) and \(y = vp\).
- Only 0-bisimple in the special case of the polycyclic monoids.
The strongly connected components of a graph are the maximal strongly connected subgraphs. In the worldwide bestseller \textit{Inverse Semigroups} Lawson proved that the ploycyclic monoids are congruence free. This result generalises in the following way:

\textbf{Theorem (1)}

The $P_G$ is congruence free if and only if the graph is strongly connected and the in-degree of each vertex is strictly greater than one.
We say $u \in G_0$ is a bridging vertex if the in-degree of $u$ is strictly greater than one and exactly one in-edge is from the same strongly connected component as $u$. A vertex $u$ is degenerate if it has no cycles on it. This is equivalent to saying that the strongly connected component containing $u$ is just a vertex with no edges.

**Theorem (2)**

Let $G$ be a graph with no degenerate vertices and where the in-degree of each vertex is strictly greater than one. All congruences on $P_G$ are Rees congruences if and only if there are no bridging vertices.
A relation $\sim$ on a category $C$ is called a *right congruence* if $x \sim y$ implies that $d(x) = d(y)$ and whenever $xz, yz$ are defined we have that $xz \sim yz$.

A subsemigroup is called *wide* if it contains all the idempotents of the original semigroup.

**Theorem (3)**

*Let $G$ be a graph. Then the wide inverse subsemigroups of $P_G$ are in bijective correspondence with the right congruences on $G^*$.*

This is a generalisation of a result by Meakin and Sapir of the polycyclic monoids.
We define a relation $\sim$ on $G^*$ by $a \sim b$ if $d(a) = d(b)$ and $|a| = |b|$. It is clear that $\sim$ is a right congruence and therefore there is an associated wide inverse subsemigroup of $P_G$ which we will denote by $Q_G$. Explicitly:

$$Q_G = \{ xy^{-1} \in P_G : |x| = |y| \}.$$ 

We call this the *gauge inverse semigroup*. The analogous structure plays an important role in certain algebras associated with graphs. The result on the next slide was inspired by such work.
A subset $I$ of $S$ is said to be \textit{co-finite} if it has finite complement.

The \textit{adjacency matrix} $A$ of a finite graph $G$ of $n$ vertices is the $n \times n$ matrix where the $a_{ij}$ entry is the number of edges from vertex $i$ to vertex $j$. A matrix is said to be \textit{aperiodic} if for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ all the entries of $A^m$ are non-zero.

\textbf{Theorem (4)}

\textit{Let $G$ be strongly connected. The adjacency matrix is aperiodic if and only if all the ideals of $Q_G$ are co-finite.}
Finally

- Generalise more results about the polycyclic monoids.
- $P_G$ is an unambiguous semigroup and we look to generalise the work further.
- Directed graphs give rise to Cuntz-Krieger $C^*$-algebras. There is a connection between these algebras and a certain completion of $P_G$.
- Translate the work on the associated algebras into results on $P_G$ and the completion.
Finally

- Generalise more results about the polycyclic monoids.
- $P_G$ is an unambiguous semigroup and we look to generalise the work further.
- Directed graphs give rise to Cuntz-Krieger $C^*$-algebras. There is a connection between these algebras and a certain completion of $P_G$.
- Translate the work on the associated algebras into results on $P_G$ and the completion.

Thank you.
Lemma

Let $C$ be a Leech category. Then in the inverse semigroup $S = S(C)$, we have the following:

1. The semigroup $S(C)$ is $E^*$-unitary if and only if the Leech category $C$ is right cancellative.
2. The semigroup $S(C)$ is combinatorial if and only if the invertible elements in each local monoid of $C$ are identities.
3. Each $D$-class of $S(C)$ contains a unique maximal idempotent if and only if the only invertible elements are in the local monoids of $C$. 

4. The groupoid of invertible elements in $C$ is trivial if and only if $S(C)$ is combinatorial and each $D$-contains exactly one maximal idempotent.

5. The semigroup $S(C)$ is unambiguous if and only if the category $C$ is right rigid.

6. The inverse semigroup $S(C)$ is completely semisimple if and only if for all identities $e$ and $f$ whenever $eCf$ contains an isomorphism then every element of $eCf$ is an isomorphism.

7. The inverse semigroup $S(C)$ is 0-bisimple if and only if $C$ is equivalent to a monoid.