

## 25. Entropy as an Isomorphism Invariant. Generators and Sinai's theorem.

### §25.1 Entropy as an isomorphism invariant

Recall the definition of what it means to say that two measure-preserving transformations are metrically isomorphic.

**Definition.** We say that two measure-preserving transformations  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{C}, m, S)$  are (*measure theoretically*) *isomorphic* if there exist  $M \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $N \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

- (i)  $TM \subset M, SN \subset N,$
- (ii)  $\mu(M) = 1, m(N) = 1,$

and there exists a bijection  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  such that

- (i)  $\phi, \phi^{-1}$  are measurable and measure-preserving (i.e.  $\mu(\phi^{-1}A) = m(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ ),
- (ii)  $\phi \circ T = S \circ \phi.$

We prove that two metrically isomorphic measure-preserving transformations have the same entropy.

#### Theorem 25.1

Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a measure-preserving of  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and let  $S : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a measure-preserving transformation of  $(Y, \mathcal{C}, m)$ . If  $T$  and  $S$  are isomorphic then  $h(T) = h(S)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $M \subset X, N \subset Y$  and  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  be as above. If  $\alpha$  is a partition of  $Y$  then (changing it on a set of measure zero if necessary) it is also a partition of  $N$ . The inverse image  $\phi^{-1}\alpha = \{\phi^{-1}A \mid A \in \alpha\}$  is a partition of  $M$  and hence of  $X$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\mu(\phi^{-1}\alpha) &= - \sum_{A \in \alpha} \mu(\phi^{-1}A) \log \mu(\phi^{-1}A) \\ &= - \sum_{A \in \alpha} m(A) \log m(A) \\ &= H_m(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

More generally,

$$\begin{aligned} H_\mu \left( \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}(\phi^{-1}\alpha) \right) &= H_\mu \left( \phi^{-1} \left( \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} S^{-j}\alpha \right) \right) \\ &= H_m \left( \bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} S^{-j}\alpha \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, dividing by  $n$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$h(S, \alpha) = h(T, \phi^{-1}\alpha).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} h(S) &= \sup\{h(S, \alpha) \mid \alpha \text{ partition of } Y, H_m(\alpha) < \infty\} \\ &= \sup\{h(T, \phi^{-1}\alpha) \mid \alpha \text{ partition of } Y, H_m(\alpha) < \infty\} \\ &\leq \sup\{h(T, \beta) \mid \beta \text{ partition of } X, H_\mu(\beta) < \infty\} \\ &= h(T). \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we also have  $h(T) \leq h(S)$ . Therefore  $h(T) = h(S)$ . □

Note that the converse to Theorem 25.1 is false in general: if two measure-preserving transformations have the same entropy then they are not necessarily metrically isomorphic.

### §25.2 Calculating entropy

At first sight, the entropy of a measure-preserving transformation seems hard to calculate as it involves taking a supremum over all possible (finite entropy) partitions. However, some short cuts are possible.

### §25.3 Generators and Sinai's theorem

A major complication in the definition of entropy is the need to take the supremum over all finite entropy partitions. Sinai's theorem guarantees that  $h(T) = h(T, \alpha)$  for a partition  $\alpha$  whose refinements generates the full  $\sigma$ -algebra.

We begin by proving the following result.

#### **Theorem 25.2 (Abramov's theorem)**

Suppose that  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \uparrow \mathcal{B}$  are countable partitions such that  $H(\alpha_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then

$$h(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(T, \alpha_n).$$

**Proof.** Choose any countable partition  $\beta$  such that  $H(\beta) < \infty$ . Fix  $n > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\beta\right) &\leq H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\beta \vee \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right) \\ &\leq H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right) + H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\beta \mid \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right), \end{aligned}$$

by the basic identity.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\beta \mid \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right) \\ &= H\left(\beta \mid \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right) + H\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{k-1} T^{-j}\beta \mid \beta \vee \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right) \\ &\leq H(\beta|\alpha_n) + H\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{k-1} T^{-j}\beta \mid \bigvee_{j=1}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right) \\ &= H(\beta|\alpha_n) + H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{-j}\beta \mid \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right). \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this inductively we see that

$$H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\beta \mid \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right) \leq kH(\beta|\alpha_n).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h(T, \beta) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\beta\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha_n\right) + H(\alpha \mid \alpha_n) \\ &= h(T, \alpha_n) + H(\beta \mid \alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that  $H(\beta \mid \alpha_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . To do this, it is sufficient to prove that  $I(\beta \mid \alpha_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Recall that

$$I(\beta \mid \alpha_n)(x) = - \sum_{B \in \beta} \chi_B(x) \log \mu(B \mid \alpha_n)(x) = - \log \mu(B \mid \alpha_n)(x)$$

if  $x \in B$ ,  $B \in \beta$ . By the Increasing Martingale Theorem, we know that

$$\mu(B \mid \alpha_n)(x) \rightarrow \chi_B \text{ a.e.}$$

Hence for  $x \in B$

$$I(\beta \mid \alpha_n)(x) \rightarrow -\log \chi_B = 0.$$

Hence for any countable partition  $\beta$  with  $H(\beta) < \infty$  we have that  $h(T, \beta) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(T, \alpha_n)$ . The result follows by taking the supremum over all such  $\beta$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** We say that a countable partition  $\alpha$  is a *generator* if  $T$  is invertible and

$$\bigvee_{j=-(n-1)}^{n-1} T^{-j}\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We say that a countable partition  $\alpha$  is a *strong generator* if

$$\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark.** To check whether a partition  $\alpha$  is a generator (respectively, a strong generator) it is sufficient to check that it separates almost every pair of points. That is, for almost every  $x, y \in X$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $x, y$  are in different elements of the partition  $\bigvee_{j=-(n-1)}^{n-1} T^{-j}\alpha$  ( $\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}\alpha$ , respectively).

The following important theorem will be the main tool in calculating entropy.

**Theorem 25.3 (Sinai's theorem)**

Suppose  $\alpha$  is a strong generator or that  $T$  is invertible and  $\alpha$  is a generator. If  $H(\alpha) < \infty$  then

$$h(T) = h(T, \alpha).$$

**Proof.** The proofs of the two cases are similar, we prove the case when  $T$  is invertible and  $\alpha$  is a generator of finite entropy.

Let  $n \geq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(T, \bigvee_{j=-n}^n T^{-j}\alpha) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} H(T^n \alpha \vee \dots \vee T^{-n} \alpha \vee T^{-(n-1)} \alpha \vee \dots \vee T^{-(n+k-1)} \alpha) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} H(\alpha \vee \dots \vee T^{-(2n+k-1)} \alpha) \\ &= h(T, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n$ . As  $\alpha$  is a generator, we have that

$$\bigvee_{j=-n}^n T^{-j}\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}.$$

By Abramov's theorem,  $h(T, \alpha) = h(T)$ . □

### §25.4 Entropy of a power

Observe that if  $T$  preserves the measure  $\mu$  then so does  $T^k$ . The following result relates the entropy of  $T$  and  $T^k$ .

#### Theorem 25.4

(i) For  $k \geq 0$  we have that  $h(T^k) = kh(T)$ .

(ii) If  $T$  is invertible then  $h(T) = h(T^{-1})$ .

**Proof.** We prove (i), leaving the case  $k = 0$  as an exercise. Choose a countable partition  $\alpha$  with  $H(\alpha) < \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(T^k, \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha\right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{nk-1} T^{-j}\alpha\right) \\ &= k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nk} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{nk-1} T^{-j}\alpha\right) = kh(T, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} kh(T) &= \sup_{H(\alpha) < \infty} kh(T, \alpha) \\ &= \sup_{H(\alpha) < \infty} h\left(T^k, \bigvee_{j=0}^{k-1} T^{-j}\alpha\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{H(\alpha) < \infty} h(T^k, \alpha) = h(T^k). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} h(T^k, \alpha) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-jk}\alpha\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{nk-1} T^{-j}\alpha\right) \quad \text{by Corollary 25.3} \\ &= k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nk} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{nk-1} T^{-j}\alpha\right) = kh(T, \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $h(T^k) \leq kh(T)$ , completing the proof.

We prove (ii). We have

$$\begin{aligned} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}\alpha\right) &= H\left(T^{n-1}\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}\alpha\right) \\ &= H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j\alpha\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h(T, \alpha) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^{-j}\alpha\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{n-1} T^j\alpha\right) = h(T^{-1}, \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over  $\alpha$  gives  $h(T) = h(T^{-1})$ . □

### Exercise 25.1

*Prove that the entropy of the identity map is zero.*