# 10. Probability measures on compact metric spaces

# §10.1 The space M(X)

In all of the examples that we shall consider, X will be a compact metric space and  $\mathcal{B}$  will be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

We will also be interested in the space of continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions

 $C(X, \mathbb{R}) = \{ f : X \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous} \}.$ 

This space is also a metric space. We can define a metric on  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  by first defining

$$||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$$

and then defining

$$\rho(f,g) = \|f - g\|_{\infty}$$

This metric turns  $C(X,\mathbb{R})$  into a complete<sup>1</sup> metric spaces. Note also that  $C(X,\mathbb{R})$  is a vector space.

An important property of  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  that will prove to be useful later on is that it is separable, that is, it contains countable dense subsets.

Rather than fixing one measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , it is interesting to consider the totality of possible (probability) measures. To formalise this, let M(X)denote the set of all probability measures on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ . The following simple fact will be useful later on.

## Proposition 10.1

The space M(X) is convex: if  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M(X)$  and  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$  then  $\alpha \mu_1 + (1 - \alpha)\mu_2 \in M(X)$ .

#### Exercise 10.1

Prove the above proposition.

# §10.2 The weak\* topology on M(X)

It will be very important to have a sensible notion of convergence in M(X); this is called weak<sup>\*</sup> convergence. We say that a sequence of probability measures  $\mu_n$  weak<sup>\*</sup> converges to  $\mu$ , as  $n \to \infty$  if, for every  $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\int f d\mu_n \to \int f d\mu$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Recall}$  that a metric space is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

If  $\mu_n$  weak<sup>\*</sup> converges to  $\mu$  then we write  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ . (Note that with this definition it is not necessarily true that  $\mu_n(B) \rightarrow \mu(B)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .) We can make M(X) into a metric space compatible with this definition of convergence by choosing a countable dense subset  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset C(X)$  and, for  $\mu, m \in M(X)$ , and setting

$$d(\mu, m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n \|f_n\|_{\infty}} \left| \int f_n \, d\mu - \int f_n \, dm \right|.$$

However, we will not need to work with a particular metric: what is important is the definition of convergence.

Notice that there is a continuous embedding of X in M(X) given by the map  $X \to M(X) : x \mapsto \delta_x$ , where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac measure at x:

$$\delta_x(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A, \end{cases}$$

(so that  $\int f d\delta_x = f(x)$ ).

### Exercise 10.2

Show that the map  $\delta : X \to M(X)$  is continuous. (Hint: This is really just unravelling the underlying definitions.)

#### Exercise 10.3

Let X be a compact metric space. For  $\mu \in M(X)$  define

$$\|\mu\| = \sup_{f \in C(X), \|f\|_{\infty} \le 1} \left| \int f \, d\mu \right|.$$

We say that  $\mu_n$  converges strongly to  $\mu$  if  $\|\mu_n - \mu\| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . The topology this determines is called the strong topology (or the operator topology).

- (i) Show that if  $\mu_n \to \mu$  strongly then  $\mu_n \to \mu$  in the weak<sup>\*</sup> topology.
- (ii) Show that  $X \hookrightarrow M(X) : x \mapsto \delta_x$  is not continuous in the strong topology.
- (iii) Prove that  $\|\delta_x \delta_y\| = 2$  if  $x \neq y$ . (You may use Urysohn's Lemma: Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of a metric space X. Then there is a continuous function  $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  on X while  $f \equiv 0$  on A and  $f \equiv 1$  on B.)

Hence prove that M(X) is not compact in the strong topology when X is infinite.

## Exercise 10.4

Give an example of a sequence of measures  $\mu_n$  and a set B such that  $\mu_n \rightharpoonup \mu$  but  $\mu_n(B) \not\rightarrow \mu(B)$ .

### §10.3 M(X) is weak<sup>\*</sup> compact

We can use the Riesz Representation Theorem to establish another important property of M(X): that it is compact.

# Theorem 10.2

Let X be a compact metric space. Then M(X) is weak<sup>\*</sup> compact.

**Proof.** In fact, we shall show that M(X) is sequentially compact, i.e., that any sequence  $\mu_n \in M(X)$  has a convergent subsequence. For convenience, we shall write  $\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$ .

Since  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$  is separable, we can choose a countable dense subset of functions  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset C(X)$ . Given a sequence  $\mu_n \in M(X)$ , we shall first consider the sequence of real numbers  $\mu_n(f_1) \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have that  $|\mu_n(f_1)| \leq$  $||f_1||_{\infty}$  for all n, so  $\mu_n(f_1)$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers. As such, it has a convergent subsequence,  $\mu_n^{(1)}(f_1)$  say.

Next we apply the sequence of measures  $\mu_n^{(1)}$  to  $f_2$  and consider the sequence  $\mu_n^{(1)}(f_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Again, this is a bounded sequence of real numbers and so it has a convergent subsequence  $\mu_n^{(2)}(f_2)$ .

In this way we obtain, for each  $i \geq 1$ , nested subsequences  $\{\mu_n^{(i)}\} \subset \{\mu_n^{(i-1)}\}$  such that  $\mu_n^{(i)}(f_j)$  converges for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ . Now consider the diagonal sequence  $\mu_n^{(n)}$ . Since, for  $n \geq i$ ,  $\mu_n^{(n)}$  is a subsequence of  $\mu_n^{(i)}$ ,  $\mu_n^{(n)}(f_i)$  converges for every  $i \geq 1$ .

We can now use the fact that  $\{f_i\}$  is dense to show that  $\mu_n^{(n)}(f)$  converges for all  $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ , as follows. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can choose  $f_i$  such that  $\|f - f_i\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $\mu_n^{(n)}(f_i)$  converges, there exists N such that if  $n, m \geq N$ then

$$|\mu_n^{(n)}(f_i) - \mu_m^{(m)}(f_i)| \le \varepsilon.$$

Thus if  $n, m \ge N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_n^{(n)}(f) - \mu_m^{(m)}(f)| &\leq |\mu_n^{(n)}(f) - \mu_n^{(n)}(f_i)| + |\mu_n^{(n)}(f_i) - \mu_m^{(m)}(f_i)| \\ &+ |\mu_m^{(m)}(f_i) - \mu_m^{(m)}(f)| \\ &\leq 3\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\mu_n^{(n)}(f)$  converges, as required.

To complete the proof, write  $w(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n^{(n)}(f)$ . We claim that w satisfies the hypotheses of the Riesz Representation Theorem and so corresponds to integration with respect to a probability measure.

- (i) By construction, w is a linear mapping:  $w(\lambda f + \mu g) = \lambda w(f) + \mu w(g)$ .
- (ii) As  $|w(f)| \leq ||f||_{\infty}$ , we see that w is bounded.
- (iii) If  $f \ge 0$  then it is easy to check that  $w(f) \ge 0$ . Hence w is positive.

(iv) It is easy to check that w is normalised: w(1) = 1.

Therefore, by the Riesz Representation Theorem, there exists  $\mu \in M(X)$  such that  $w(f) = \int f d\mu$ . We then have that  $\int f d\mu_n^{(n)} \to \int f d\mu$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , for all  $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ , i.e., that  $\mu_n^{(n)}$  converges weak<sup>\*</sup> to  $\mu$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .  $\Box$