# Smooth conjugacy of difference equations derived from elliptic curves 

Paul Glendinning \& Sasha Glendinning

To cite this article: Paul Glendinning \& Sasha Glendinning (2021): Smooth conjugacy of difference equations derived from elliptic curves, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications, DOI: 10.1080/10236198.2021.1984441

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/10236198.2021.1984441

Published online: 13 Oct 2021.


Submit your article to this journal


Article views: 3


View related articles

View Crossmark data $\because$

# Smooth conjugacy of difference equations derived from elliptic curves 

Paul Glendinning ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and Sasha Glendinning ${ }^{\text {b,* }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Greenhead College, Huddersfield, UK


#### Abstract

We describe the dynamics associated with a construction of tangent lines to elliptic curves which depend on two parameters. With the exception of a half-curve in the parameter plane, the natural compactifications of these maps are shown to be smooth (i.e. $C^{\infty}$ ) conjugate to each other and to the classic chaotic map (Chebyshev polynomial) $Q(x)=1-2 x^{2}$. This provides a new non-trivial example of a class of maps which are smooth conjugate to each other.
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## 1. Introduction

Elliptic curves arise in number theory where they are typically parametrized by two real numbers [14]. A classic construction defines a group operation on these curves, and the repeated process of 'adding' a point to itself is described by a family of difference equations [14], see Equation (7) of Section 2. We investigate the dynamics induced by these equations and show that there is a strong equivalence of the systems independent of the parameters. Since this paper brings together two different areas of mathematics, equivalence of dynamical systems and operations on elliptic curves, we begin with a brief overview of the relevant definitions and results that are needed to formulate the problem.

Given a map $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]$ and $x_{0} \in[-1,1]$, the difference equation $x_{n+1}=$ $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ generates an orbit $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and we write $f^{n}(x)=f\left(f^{n-1}(x)\right)$ for $n \geq 2$ (the $n$th iterate of $x$ ), so $x_{n}=f^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$. A point is periodic if $x_{p}=x_{0}$ for some $p>0$ (the period of the orbit) and the stability of a periodic orbit is determined by the eigenvalue $E(x)=\left(f^{p}\right)^{\prime}(x)$ evaluated at any point on the periodic orbit: if $|E(x)|<1$, then the orbit is stable while if $|E(x)|>1$, it is unstable. The term eigenvalue is used in Refs. [1,8] while in Ref. [11], it is referred to as a multiplier. Two maps $f$ and $g$ may generate dynamics which is similar, and mathematically this is expressed via the idea of topological conjugation. The maps $f: I \rightarrow I$ and $g: J \rightarrow J$ are topologically conjugate if there exists a homeomorphism $h: J \rightarrow I$ such that $f=h \circ g \circ h^{-1}$. The conjugating function $h$ can be thought of as a

[^0]change of coordinates, and if $h$ is a diffeomorphism, then we say that the maps are differentiably conjugate. Differentiable conjugation is a much stronger condition than topological conjugation since it implies (for example) that the eigenvalues of corresponding periodic orbits are equal (the corresponding orbit of a point $x \in J$ under $g$ is the orbit of the point $h(x)$ under $f$ ). The conjugacy is smooth if both the conjugating function and its inverse are $C^{\infty}$.

A canonical example of a chaotic map is the quadratic Chebyshev map, $x_{n+1}=4 x_{n}(1-$ $x_{n}$ ) on $[0,1]$ or equivalently, after scaling,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=Q\left(x_{n}\right)=1-2 x_{n}^{2}, \quad x_{n} \in[-1,1] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quadratic map $Q$ has many nice properties. It has periodic orbits of all periods, periodic orbits are dense in $[-1,1]$, there is a dense orbit, and it has an invariant probability density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{Q}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

More detail can be added: for example, the modulus of the eigenvalue of every periodic orbit of period $n$ except the fixed point at $x=-1$ is $2^{n}$.

Jiang $[7,8]$ investigates this issue of differentiable conjugacy for maps like $Q$ (see Section 5), but there seem to be no explicit examples of families of differentiably conjugate maps except those that can be reverse engineered by defining the family via the conjugating functions. Differentiable conjugacies do arise naturally, for example, in the study of perioddoubling, and this property leads to rigidity results in which topological properties imply metric properties, see Refs. [9,13] for a more detailed discussion and history. Li and Shen [11] show that two topologically conjugate $C^{r}$ unimodal maps ( $r \geq 3$ ) are $C^{r}$ conjugate if they have negative Schwarzian derivative, attractors which are neither periodic orbits nor Cantor sets, and the eigenvalues of corresponding periodic orbits are equal. Removing the negative Schwarzian derivative condition has been the focus of much attention both for conjugacies and more general properties of maps [5,6,12]. More recently, Alves et al. [1] have shown that if attractors of two $C^{r}$ maps are conjugate and the conjugating function is locally differentiable, then it is $C^{r}$ on the attractor, see Section 5 . This generalizes earlier results $[8,10]$, and there is a similar development of local theories for expanding circle maps stemming from Ref. [15].

In this paper, we show that the addition formula on elliptic curves generates maps which are smoothly conjugate to each other and to the quadratic map. On the face of it, this is a surprise. We know of no natural classes of examples with this property. Indeed, Jiang [7,8] cites only two examples of differentiably conjugate maps, and both of these are abstract classes which are essentially alternative formulations of the conditions of the theorems. Examples are shown in Figure 3, from which it is also clear that some of the maps do not have negative Schwarzian derivatives.

Any equation defined by equating a quadratic polynomial in $y$ to a cubic polynomial in $x$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

after rescaling and shifting the coordinates. Solutions lie on elliptic curves and for given $A$ and $B$, these may take one of three forms as shown in Figure 1. Let $\Delta$ denote the cubic


Figure 1. Schematic view of the elliptic curves in the $(A, B)$-plane. $\Delta_{+}$is the part of the curve $\Delta=0$ in $B \geq 0$, see (8).
discriminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=4 A^{3}+27 B^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Delta>0$, then solutions to (3) lie on a single curve, if $\Delta<0$, then solutions lie on the union of a closed bounded curve and an unbounded curve, while if $\Delta=0$, then there is a single curve of solutions with a point of self-intersection if $B>0$ or the union of a curve and a single point if $B<0$. In the special case of $(A, B)=(0,0)$, there is a single curve with a cubic cusp singularity. This reflects the number of distinct real zeroes of the right-hand side of (3): one if $\Delta \geq 0$, two if $\Delta=0$ and three if $\Delta<0$.

Elliptic curves have many beautiful properties, and a principle area of investigation is the existence of rational points on these curves, which are related to problems in number theory centred around Fermat's last theorem. A starting point in these studies is the definition of a natural operation which defines a group induced on the points on the curve [14]. This operation (' $\oplus$ ') is geometric. Any line which intersects the curve does so in three points, with multiplicity and including the point at infinity. If the coordinates of a point $P$ are denoted by $(x(P), y(P))$ and 'minus $P$ ' is defined by $\ominus P=(x(P),-y(P))$, then if these three points are $P, Q$ and $\ominus R$, in any order, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \oplus Q=R \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The line between $P$ and $\ominus P$ is vertical, so in this case, the third point of intersection is the point at infinity, denoted $\mathcal{O}$ since it acts as an additive zero: $P \oplus(\ominus P)=\mathcal{O}$.

If $P=Q=(x, y)$, then the line is tangential to the elliptic curve and we write $P \oplus P=$ $2 P$. An elementary calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(2 P)=\frac{x^{4}-2 A x^{2}-8 B x+A^{2}}{4\left(x^{3}+A x+B\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. The graph of the right-hand side of (6) for (a) $(A, B)=(-3,1)$, scale $[-8,10] \times[-6,12]$; (b) $(A, B)=(-1.88,1)$, scale $[-6,8] \times[-6,8]$ and $(c)(A, B)=(1,1)$, scale $[-6,8] \times[-6,10]$. Note that (b) is just to the right of the curve $\Delta_{+}$of Figure 1 as $(27 / 4)^{1 / 3} \approx 1.88988$.

It is now natural to think of this as defining a dynamical system by iteration, obtaining $x\left(2^{n} P\right)$ by $n$ iterations of the equation. This may not be as natural from a number theory point of view, particularly as it does not answer questions about rational points, but the iterated construction of drawing a tangent to a point, finding the next point of intersection, reflecting in the $x$-axis, drawing a tangent at the new point and so on has many similarities to dual billiard problems [17] in dynamical systems. As we shall see, the dynamics induced by this process appears to have many nice properties. In particular, we show that for most values of $(A, B)\left((A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}\right.$; see Figure 1 and (8)), the compactifications of these maps via real Möbius transformations are smoothly conjugate to each other and to the quadratic map $Q$ of (1).

Figure 2 shows the graph of the right-hand side of (6) for three choices of $(A, B)$. Note that in (b), the graph develops a 'nose' close to double roots of the denominator of (6). Each of the right-hand boxes indicates a non-compact invariant region for the iterated map.

The dynamics of elliptic curves has been discussed in the dynamical systems literature, but almost always respecting the interest in rational points [2]. Here, we make no claims to relevance in the usual context of the study of elliptic curves. Umeno [19,20] has used elliptic functions to describe maps with simple invariant density functions ( 'exactly solvable chaos'), and these are related to the multiplication formula (6) for elliptic curves. Our results prove that the maps have absolutely continuous invariant measures (see Corollary 5.6), but do not provide explicit formulas. It seems likely that Umeno's approach coupled with the results of Dobbs [4], which relate the existence of absolutely continuous invariant measures of $C^{r}$ maps to $C^{r}$ conjugacies to continuous piecewise linear maps away from the critical orbit, could be used to provide an alternative approach to this problem. We will not pursue this approach below.

## 2. Definitions and statement of results

Some basic definitions of the smoothness of functions will be important in what follows.
A function $f: M \rightarrow N$ is $C^{k}$ if the first $k$ derivatives exist and are continuous at all $x \in M$. A diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a $C^{k}$ diffeomorphism if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are $C^{k}$ functions. A function $f: M \rightarrow N$ is $\alpha$-Hölder continuous, $\alpha \in(0,1)$, if there exists a constant $K>0$
such that $|f(x)-f(y)|<K|x-y|^{\alpha}$ for all $x, y \in M$. A diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ is $C^{1+\alpha}$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are $C^{1}$ functions and their derivatives are both $\alpha$-Hölder continuous. A homeomorphism which is $C^{1}$ except on a finite set $\mathcal{C}$ is in $C_{\mathcal{C}}^{1}$.

A unimodal map is a continuous map of an interval $M, f: M \rightarrow M$ if there exists $c \in$ $\operatorname{int}(M)$ such that $f$ is monotonically increasing on one side of $c$ and monotonically decreasing on the other side of $c$. A double cover unimodal map is a unimodal map $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow$ $[-1,1]$ with $c=0, f(0)=1, f(1)=f(-1)=-1$ and $f(x)>x$ if $x \in(-1,0)$. Thus, the image of the interval $[-1,1]$ covers itself twice. A standard example of a double cover unimodal map is the quadratic map $Q$ of (1). The transformation $h:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]$

$$
h(x)=\sin \frac{\pi x}{2}
$$

is a $C_{\mathcal{C}}^{1}$ conjugacy between $Q$ and the tent map $T(x)=1-2|x|$ with $\mathcal{C}=\{-1,0,1\}$, the orbit of the critical point.

A rather more boring class of unimodal map is the class of superstable unimodal maps for which $f$ is $C^{1}, f(0)=0, f(1)=f(-1)=-1$ and $f(x)>x$ if $x \in(-1,0)$. The dynamics of such maps is very simple: all orbits with $x \in(-1,1)$ tend to zero.

If $f$ has a periodic orbit of period $n$ with points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$, then the eigenvalue of the orbit is $\prod f^{\prime}\left(p_{k}\right)$ and if $f$ and $g$ are $C^{1}$ topologically conjugate, or $C_{\mathcal{C}}^{1}$ topologically conjugate and the periodic points are disjoint from $\mathcal{C}$, then the eigenvalues of the corresponding periodic orbits of $f$ and $g$ are equal. Thus, the modulus of the eigenvalues of all the periodic points of $Q$ with period $n$ except the fixed point $x=-1$ equal $2^{n}$, the eigenvalue of -1 for $Q$ is 4 .

For clarity, we recall that we are considering (6) as defining a dynamical system by iteration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{x_{n}^{4}-2 A x_{n}^{2}-8 B x_{n}+A^{2}}{4\left(x_{n}^{3}+A x_{n}+B\right)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that strictly speaking, we should refer to $F_{(A, B)}$ to emphasize the dependence on parameters, but we will avoid unnecessary subscripts and simply refer to the maps $F$. Since the maps $F$ of (7) are defined on semi-infinite intervals $[r, \infty)$, see Section 3, it is natural to use a (real) Möbius transformation to provide a topologically conjugate map $f$ on the interval $[-1,1]$. All our results will be stated for the compactified maps $f$ which are defined precisely in Section 4.

Only the upper part of $\Delta$ defined in (4) plays a role in the statement of the theorems, so let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{+}=\left\{(A, B) \mid 27 B^{2}=-4 A^{3}, B \geq 0\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this notation, our main results can be stated.

Theorem 2.1: If $(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}$, then every compactified map $f$ is smoothly conjugate to every other map $f$ and to the quadratic map $Q$.

Theorem 2.2: If $(A, B) \in \Delta_{+} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, then every compactified map $f$ is a superstable unimodal map. If $(A, B)=(0,0)$, then the uncompactified map is $F(x)=x^{3}, r=0$ and initial conditions in $0<x<1$ tend to zero and those with $x>1$ tend to infinity.

While the parameterisation in terms of $A$ and $B$ is natural in the context of elliptic curves, from a dynamical systems point of view the behaviour can be reduced to three one-parameter families of maps by an orientation preserving scaling of the variable $x$. If $B=0$, then ( 7 ) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{\left(x_{n}-A\right)^{2}}{4 x_{n}\left(x_{n}+A\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $B \neq 0$, then the linear transformation $x \rightarrow|B|^{1 / 3} x$ shows that without loss of generality, we may consider the two one-parameter families in terms of $C=A|B|^{-(1 / 3)}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{\left(x_{n}^{2}-C\right)^{2} \mp x_{n}}{4\left(x_{n}^{3}+C x_{n} \pm 1\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the upper signs chosen if $B>0$ and the lower signs if $B<0$. While this formulation simplifies some calculations (for example, the condition $\Delta=0$ becomes $C^{3}=-(27 / 4)$ ), we will retain the standard parameterisation of $A$ and $B$.

## 3. The non-compact map $F$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=x^{3}+A x+B \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $H$ is the denominator of the rational map $F$ of (7). Almost all the proofs for this paper stem from a remarkable factorization lemma relating the derivative of $F$ to $F$ and $H$. We are sure that this must have been noted elsewhere, but have been unable to find any explicit reference.

Lemma 3.1: Suppose that F is defined by (7) and H by (11), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(F(x))=\frac{1}{4} H(x)\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} \quad \text { if } H(x) \neq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The proof is a brute force calculation which we have verified using Mathematica. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{x^{6}+5 A x^{4}+20 B x^{3}-5 A^{2} x^{2}-4 A B x-\left(A^{3}+8 B^{2}\right)}{4\left(x^{3}+A x+B\right)^{2}}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{G(x)}{4[H(x)]^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=x^{6}+5 A x^{4}+20 B x^{3}-5 A^{2} x^{2}-4 A B x-\left(A^{3}+8 B^{2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F^{\prime}(x)=0$ iff $G(x)=0$.

Thus, (12) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(F(x))=\frac{1}{4} H(x)\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}=\frac{[G(x)]^{2}}{64[H(x)]^{3}} \quad \text { if } H(x) \neq 0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
F(x)=\frac{J(x)}{4 H(x)}, \quad \text { where } \quad J(x)=x^{4}-2 A x^{2}-8 B x+A^{2},
$$

so if

$$
\begin{equation*}
[J(x)]^{3}+16 A J(x)[H(x)]^{2}+64 B[H(x)]^{3} \equiv[G(x)]^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (12) follows immediately from (16) by dividing through by $64[H(x)]^{3}$.
Equation (16) is an identity relating between two polynomials of degree 12, and each side when multiplied out gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{12}+10 A x^{10}+40 B x^{9}+15 A^{2} x^{8}+192 A B x^{7} \\
& -\left(52 A^{3}-384 B^{2}\right) x^{6}-240 A^{2} B x^{5} \\
& +\left(15 A^{4}-240 A B^{2}\right) x^{4}-320 B^{3} x^{3}+\left(10 A^{5}+96 A^{2} B^{2}\right) x^{2} \\
& +\left(8 A^{4} B+64 A B^{3}\right) x+A^{6}+16 A^{3} B^{2}+64 B^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first step towards understanding the dynamics of (7) is to determine the domain of definition for recurrent dynamics. The following lemma simply confirms the remark in the caption of Figure 2.

Lemma 3.2: Let $r$ denote the largest real solution of $H(x)=0$ if $(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}$, and the smallest (most negative) real solution if $(A, B) \in \Delta_{+} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$. Let $I=[r, \infty)$. Then, $F$ maps I onto itself and $F$ has a unique minimum, $c \in(r, \infty)$, with

$$
F(c)= \begin{cases}r & \text { if }(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+} \\ c & \text { if }(A, B) \in \Delta_{+} \backslash\{(0,0)\} .\end{cases}
$$

Proof: If $\Delta<0$, then the denominator of $F$ has one zero and if $\Delta>0$, then there are three zeroes. Graphs of $F$ are shown in Figure 2 showing how $F$ develops a 'nose' as $\Delta$ approaches zero from below to transition between the two cases. Clearly,

$$
\lim _{x \downarrow r} F(x) \rightarrow \infty,
$$

and for sufficiently large $x, F(x)<x$ since $F(x)=(1 / 4) x+O(1)$.
Thus, $F$ has a minimum $c \in(r, \infty)$, and $F(c)=r$ by (12). (To show that it cannot equal a different zero of $H$ takes some additional manipulation but also follows immediately from the group property of the geometric addition operation: if $F(c)<r$, then the image of some parts of the curve would be in a region in which the graph of the curve has no image, and hence the geometric addition formula would not be defined.) The turning point $c$ must be the only minimum in $(r, \infty)$ since if there were two minima, they would have
to be a maximum between them, i.e. a point $c_{1}$ such that $G\left(c_{1}\right)=0$ and $F\left(c_{1}\right)>r$, which contradicts (12).

Lemma 3.2 has a nice interpretation in terms of the geometry. The continuous components of the graphs of $F$ which tend to minus infinity at the points of discontinuity are not relevant to the geometry; the elliptic curve has no points with those values of $x$. In the case $\Delta>0$ when the elliptic curve has two connected components, the image of the closed curve lies on the unbounded connected curve (including the point at infinity) and the unbounded connected component is invariant. The point $(r, 0)$ is the intersection of the unbounded component with the $x$-axis, at which point the tangent is vertical and so the image of this point is the point at infinity, $\mathcal{O}$.

Let

$$
\mathbb{R}_{r}=[r, \infty) \cup \mathcal{O}
$$

By Lemma 3.2, if $(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}$, then $F((c, \infty))=(r, \infty), F((r, c))=(r, \infty)$ and $F$ is continuous and monotonic on each of the intervals $(r, c)$ and $(c, \infty)$. This means that $F$ restricted to $\mathbb{R}_{r}$ is essentially a unimodal map whose turning point is mapped to the unstable fixed point $\mathcal{O}$. This structure is exploited in the next section to define families of maps on the compact interval $[-1,1]$ which are obtained by real Möbius transformations from the maps $F$.

## 4. Compactification and eigenvalues

The map $F$ of (7) is defined on a non-compact domain, and so to avoid issues of convergence at infinity, we will work with a compactified version. It is natural to choose a Möbius transformation that takes the three points $(r, c, \infty)$ to $(1,0,-1)$, respectively, i.e. $h: \mathbb{R}_{r} \rightarrow[-1,1]$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\frac{-x+c}{x+(c-2 r)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with inverse $h^{-1}:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{r}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{-1}(x)=\frac{c-(c-2 r) x}{1+x} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that since $c>r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\prime}(x) & =-\frac{2(c-r)}{(x+c-2 r)^{2}}<0 \quad \text { if } x \in \mathbb{R}_{r} \quad \text { and } \\
\left(h^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(x) & =-\frac{2(c-r)}{(1+x)^{2}}<0 \quad \text { if } x \in[-1,1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The conjugate function $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=h \circ F \circ h^{-1}(x) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1 (Elementary properties of $\boldsymbol{f}$ ): Let $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]$ be defined by (19) and suppose that $(A, B) \neq(0,0)$.
(i) $f(0)=1 ; f(1)=f(-1)=-1$;
(ii) $f$ is $C^{\infty}$ on $[-1,1]$ and $f^{\prime}(-1)=4$
(iii) $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ if $x \in[-1,0)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)<0$ if $x \in(0,1]$ and
(iv) $f^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0)<0$.

Proof: (i) $F(r)=\mathcal{O}, F(c)=r$ and $F(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}$ imply that $f(0)=1$ and $f(1)=$ $f(-1)=-1$.
(ii) If $x \in(-1,1)$, then $f$ is a composition of rational functions with non-vanishing denominators and so it is $C^{\infty}$. In a neighbourhood of $x=-1$, set $x=-1+v$. Then a routine calculation shows that if $v \neq 0, f$ is the ratio of two quartics in $v$ and the denominator is non-zero in a neighbourhood of $v=0$. Thus, this ratio is $C^{\infty}$ at $v=0$ and $f$ is $C^{\infty}$ at $x=-1$. In fact, if $L(v)=1-((c-2 r) /(2(c-r))) v$, then

$$
h^{-1}(x)=\frac{2(c-r) L(v)}{v}
$$

from which

$$
F \circ h^{-1}(x)=\left(\frac{c-r}{2 v}\right) \frac{L^{4}-2 A v^{2} L^{2}-8 B v^{3} L+A v^{4}}{L^{3}+A v^{2} L+B v^{3}}
$$

and so
$f(-1+v)=-\frac{L^{4}-2 A v^{2} L^{2}-8 B v^{3} L+A v^{4}-(2 c /(c-r))\left(L^{3}+A v^{2} L+B v^{3}\right)}{L^{4}-2 A v^{2} L^{2}-8 B v^{3} L+A v^{4}+((2(c-2 r)) /(c-r))\left(L^{3}+A v^{2} L+B v^{3}\right)}$.
This is the ratio of two quartics in $v$ and the denominator equals one at $v=0$, so it is $C^{\infty}$ in a neighbourhood of $v=0$, i.e. $x=-1$. Moreover, simplifying a little more,

$$
f^{\prime}(-1)=\left.\frac{d}{d v}\left(\frac{-(c-r)+2 c v+O\left(v^{2}\right)}{c-r+2(c-2 r) v+O\left(v^{2}\right)}\right)\right|_{v=0}=4 .
$$

A similar argument holds in a neighbourhood of $x=1$.
(iii) Since the derivatives of both $h$ and $h^{-1}$ are negative, the sign of the derivative of $f$ at $x$ is the sign of the derivative of $F$ evaluated at $h^{-1}(x)$ establishing (iii) by remarks of the previous section.
(iv) Differentiating (12)

$$
H^{\prime}(F(x)) F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{4}\left(H^{\prime}(x)\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}+2 H(x) F^{\prime}(x) F^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)
$$

and evaluating at $x=c$ being careful about the limits:

$$
F^{\prime \prime}(c)=2 \frac{H^{\prime}(r)}{H(c)}
$$

But if $(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}$, then $r<c, H(c)>0$ and $H^{\prime}(r)>0$ so $F^{\prime \prime}(c)>0$. For finite $x$ and $h^{-1}(x)$, the chain rule gives

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(c)=h^{\prime}(F(c)) F^{\prime \prime}(c)\left[\left(h^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(c)\right]^{2}
$$

and since both $h$ and $h^{-1}$ are decreasing the sign of $f^{\prime \prime}(c)$ is negative.


Figure 3. Compactified maps $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]:(\mathrm{a})(A, B)=(-2,1) ;(\mathrm{b})(A, B)=(-1.88,1)$ and $(\mathrm{c})$ $(A, B)=(1,1)$.

In fact, the argument for part (ii) above effectively shows that $f$ is analytic on $[-1,1]$; the simple zero in the denominator of $F$ at $r$ cancels with a simple zero of the numerator. Examples of the return maps obtained from the compactification onto $[-1,1]$ are given in Figure 3. Note that the maps are clearly not always 'nice' in the sense of convex, negative Schwarzian derivative or any other simple criterion. On the other hand, Figure 3 suggests that the maps $f$ are symmetric about $x=0$, although we have not checked this by hand. The sharp peak in Figure 3(b) is explained by the limiting behaviour on $\Delta_{+}$described in Section 6.

We now turn to the eigenvalues of the map at periodic orbits.
Lemma 4.2: If $(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}$, then in $(r, \infty), F$ has one and only one fixed point $x^{*}$ and $\left|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|=2$.

Proof: Evaluating (12) at $x^{*}$ using $F\left(x^{*}\right)=x^{*}$ gives

$$
1=\frac{1}{4}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right]^{2},
$$

so $\left|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right|=2$. Clearly, $F$ has a fixed point in $(r, c)$. Suppose that it has a fixed point $y^{*}$ in $x>c$. Since $F(c)<c$ and the slope of the map at $y^{*}$ is $2, F(x)>x$ for $x>y^{*}$ (as if there were another fixed point at larger values of $x$ it would have to intersect the diagonal with slope less than or equal to one). But $F(x)<x$ at large $x$ since $F(x) \sim(1 / 4) x+O(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction.

The same technique generalizes to every periodic orbit except $x=-1(\mathcal{O}$ on the infinite domain).

Lemma 4.3: Let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}\right)$ be points on a periodic orbit of $F:[r, \infty) \rightarrow[r, \infty)$ with $x_{k} \in$ $(r, \infty), F\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{k+1}, k=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $f^{n}\left(x_{n}\right)=1$. Then,

$$
\prod_{1}^{n}\left|F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|=2^{n}
$$

Proof: Note that $H(x)>0$ for $x \in(r, \infty)$. Evaluating (12) at $x_{k}$ gives

$$
H\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{\left[G\left(x_{n}\right)\right]^{2}}{64\left[H\left(x_{n}\right)\right]^{3}}, \quad H\left(x_{k+1}\right)=\frac{\left[G\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{2}}{64\left[H\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{3}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{H\left(x_{1}\right)}{H\left(x_{n}\right)}=\frac{1}{4}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]^{2}, \quad \frac{H\left(x_{k+1}\right)}{H\left(x_{k}\right)}=\frac{1}{4}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{2}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n-1,
$$

and multiplying all $n$ right-hand sides together and similarly for the left-hand sides

$$
1=\frac{1}{2^{2 n}} \prod_{1}^{n}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

from which the result follows by taking the square root. Since $h$ is continuously differentiable on $(r, \infty)$, the derivatives of $f^{n}$ and $F^{n}$ at corresponding periodic points of period $n$ in the open sets $(r, \infty)$ and $(-1,1)$ are equal.

This result shows that the eigenvalues of corresponding periodic orbits are independent of the parameters of the map, a necessary condition for the topological conjugacy to be differentiable.

## 5. Differentiable conjugacy and invariant measures

In this section, we use results from Jiang and van Strien to establish that the dynamics on each elliptic curve is differentiably conjugate to each other and to the classic quadratic map $Q(x)=1-2 x^{2}$.

Let $J_{n, k}$ denote the set of maximal intervals on which $f^{n}$ is monotonic, i.e. the maximal intervals such that $f^{n} \mid J_{n, k}$ is a homeomorphism. Also, for given $\gamma \geq 1$, define the power function

$$
r_{f}(x)=\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{|x|^{\gamma-1}}
$$

Jiang [8] works with maps he calls Ulam-von Neumann maps, a term derived from a short and somewhat gnomic abstract [18]. From Lemma 5 of Ref. [8], these are $C^{1+\alpha}$ double cover unimodal maps for some $0<\alpha \leq 1$ which satisfy
(C1) there exists $\gamma \geq 1$ and real number $A<0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \uparrow 0} r_{f}(x)=\lim _{x \downarrow 0} r_{f}(x)=A \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\gamma$ is called the power law of $f$ );
(C2) the power function $r_{f}(x)$ is $\beta$-Hölder continuous when restricted to $[-1,0)$ and to $(0,1]$ and
(C3) there exists $\mu<1$ and real $C>0$ such that $\left|J_{n, k}\right| \leq C \mu^{n}$ for all $(n, k)$.

In fact, Jiang works in a slightly more general setting in which the two limits in (20) can take different values, but this will be unnecessary for the application below. Conditions $(\mathrm{C} 1)$ and (C2) are automatically satisfied for the smooth maps we consider.

Theorem 5.1 ([8]): Two $C^{1+\alpha}$ double cover unimodal maps which satisfy (C1) -(C3) are $C^{1+\epsilon}$-topologically conjugate to each other for some $0<\epsilon \leq 1$ provided the eigenvalues at corresponding periodic orbits are equal.

To prove Theorem 2.1, we start with differentiable conjugacy.
Theorem 5.2: If $(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}$, then every map $f$ is $C^{1+(1 / 2)}$ conjugate to every other map $f$, and to the quadratic map $Q$.

Here we need only establish that the conditions for Jiang's theorem hold. The only difficult part is checking condition (C3). To do this, we need a further definition and a result from Ref. [16].

Definition 5.3: A critical point $c$ of a unimodal map is non-flat if there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $c$ such that
(NF1) $f$ is $C^{\max (3,2 n)}$ on $\mathcal{N}$;
(NF2) $f^{(2 n)}(c) \neq 0$ and
(NF3) $f^{(k)}(c)=0$ if $k=1, \ldots, 2 n-1$.
Theorem $5.4([16])$ : Iff $:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]$ is a $C^{2}$ unimodal map with non-flat critical point and all periodic orbits are expanding, then $f$ has no homtervals and there exists $\lambda>1$ and a constant $C$ such that for any maximal interval $I_{n}$ such that $f^{n} \mid I_{n}$ is a diffeomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{n}\left(I_{n}\right)\right| \geq K \lambda^{n}\left|I_{n}\right| . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5.2: The eigenvalue of $f$ at the fixed point $x=-1$ is 4 (Lemma 4.1(ii)) and for all other periodic points of period $p$, the eigenvalue is $\pm 2^{p}$ (Lemma 4.3 together with the fact that the compactification is $C^{\infty}$ ), with the sign determined by the parity of the number of points in $x>0$, which is the same for corresponding orbits. The same is true for the quadratic map $Q$ (see the second paragraph of Section 2). Hence, the eigenvalue condition of Jiang's theorem (Theorem 5.1) holds.

Differentiating (12)

$$
H^{\prime}(F(x)) F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{4}\left(H^{\prime}(x)\left[F^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}+2 H(x) F^{\prime}(x) F^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)
$$

and evaluating at $x=c$ being careful about the limits:

$$
F^{\prime \prime}(c)=2 \frac{H^{\prime}(r)}{H(c)}
$$

But if $(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}$, then $r<c$ and $H^{\prime}(r) \neq 0$ so $F^{\prime \prime}(c) \neq 0$. Since $F$ is $C^{\infty}$ on a neighbourhood of $c$ (again, provided $(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}$), $F$ and hence $f$ are non-flat critical points and so van Strien's theorem (Theorem 5.4) holds.

Since $f^{n}\left(I_{n}\right) \subseteq[-1,1],\left|f^{n}\left(I_{n}\right)\right| \leq 2$ and hence (21) implies that

$$
\left|I_{n}\right| \leq 2 K^{-1} \lambda^{-n}
$$

But the intervals $I_{n}$ are precisely the intervals $J_{n, k}$ of Jiang and so (C3) holds with $C=2 K^{-1}$ and $\mu=\lambda^{-1}$.

The smoothness conditions are clearly satisfied, (C1) and (C2) are clearly satisfied with power law $\gamma=2$ since $f^{\prime \prime}(c) \neq 0$ and $\alpha=1$ since $f$ is $C^{\infty}$. The exponent $\epsilon=1 / 2$ follows from the end of the proof of Lemma 8 in Ref. [8] which shows that $\epsilon=\alpha / \gamma$.

The equivalent results for the quadratic map are well known [9].
To complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, we use a result from Ref. [1]. To avoid the introduction of more notation, we will state their result in a very restricted form of their Corollary 2.6 appropriate for our proof.

Theorem 5.5 ([1]): Let $f: I \rightarrow I$ and $g: I \rightarrow I$ be $C^{r}$ double cover unimodal maps which satisfy (C1) -(C3). Suppose that the eigenvalues of every periodic point off and of $g$ has modulus greater than one and the power laws of $f$ and $g$ are equal. If the conjugating function $h$ between fand $g$ is $C^{1}$ at some periodic point $p \in I$, then h is a $C^{r}$ diffeomorphism on the whole of $I$.

We emphasize that this statement does not begin to do justice to the actual statement in Ref. [1], but the restriction is good enough for our purposes.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: By Theorem 5.2, the any two maps of the theorem are differentiably conjugate and hence the conjugating function is $C^{1}$ on the whole interval. The maps $f$ of the theorem are $C^{\infty}$, so by Theorem 5.5, the conjugating function is also $C^{\infty}$ (it is $C^{r}$ for all $r \geq 1$ ).

Corollary 5.6: Let $\rho_{Q}(x)$ denote the invariant density function (2) of the quadratic map. For all $(A, B) \notin \Delta_{+}$, there exists a smooth diffeomorphism $q:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]$ such that $f=q \circ Q \circ q^{-1}$ and the density of the invariant measure off is $\rho_{f}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{f}(x)=\frac{1}{q^{\prime}\left(q^{-1}(x)\right)} \rho_{Q}\left(q^{-1}(x)\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: By Theorem 2.1, $f$ and $Q$ are smoothly conjugate. Let $q$ denote the conjugating function, then (22) follows by equating probabilities at corresponding intervals in $[-1,1]$.

Figure 4 shows numerically computed probability distributions of iterates of $f$ for different values of $A$ and $B$ (the same values as used in the description of maps earlier). The peaks near the turning point for values of parameters close to $\Delta_{+}$are to some extent explained by Theorem 2.2.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 2.2

On $\Delta_{+}, A$ and $B$ are related as $\Delta=0$ and we may calculate directly that

$$
r=-2 \sqrt{\frac{-A}{3}}, \quad c=\sqrt{\frac{-A}{3}},
$$

and $c$ is a fixed point of the map. Indeed, $c$ is the double zero of $H(x)=0$ and this gives the impression that $F$ is singular at $c$, but there is again a cancellation in the calculation and $F$


Figure 4. Histograms ( 100 bins) of 500,000 iterations of an initial condition for the compactified maps shown in Figure 3 at the same parameter values: (a) $(A, B)=(-2,1) ;(b)(A, B)=(-1.88,1)$ and (c) $(A, B)=(1,1)$. Normalization is chosen so that the integral of the density is one. Note that in case (b), numerical instability meant that the map used for iteration was 0.999999999f, and that the profile shown is accurate for 250,000 iterations of the map $f$ using Scilab 6.0.2.
may be calculated explicitly:

$$
F(x)=\frac{-A+\sqrt{(-A / 3)}(x-\sqrt{(-A / 3)})+(1 / 4)(x-\sqrt{(-A / 3)})^{2}}{3 \sqrt{(-A / 3)}+(x-\sqrt{(-A / 3)})}
$$

with

$$
F^{\prime}(\sqrt{(-A / 3)})=\frac{\sqrt{(-A / 3)}(3 \sqrt{(-A / 3)})+A}{(3 \sqrt{(-A / 3)})^{2}}=0
$$

Thus, $c$ is both the fixed point and the turning point of the map: it is superstable.

## 7. Conclusion

We have shown that the maps in a natural family of dynamical systems derived from a simple geometric construction on elliptic curves are smoothly conjugate to each other. This is a restricted form of rigidity for which we have no intuitive explanation. The proof relies on a factorization result (Lemma 3.1) which should have interesting generalizations and which may lead to a better understanding of the conditions for smooth conjugacy. It would be natural to consider other classes of curves (e.g. some of those used in cryptography [3]) to determine whether this smooth conjugacy is special to elliptic curves or a more general phenomenon.

The shorthand notation for iteration on the elliptic curves, $2^{n} P$, actually has a more direct significance. Double cover unimodal maps can be conjugated to a double cover of the circle which is in turn conjugate to $z \rightarrow z^{2}$ on the unit circle. On the unit circle, the dynamics of the argument of $z$ under $z \rightarrow z^{2}$ is literally $\theta \rightarrow 2 \theta$, and so the $n$th iterate of $\theta$ is $2^{n} \theta$. Moreover, as noted after the proof of Lemma 4.1, the maps $f$ are analytic and we conjectured that they are also symmetric. The conjugacy to the circle map then begs the question as to whether the $C^{\infty}$ conjugacy is actually analytic (cf. [15]). We conjecture that this is the case, and that this would follow from the analytic analogue of Theorem 5.5 which is reported in Ref. [1] and attributed to Sullivan in unpublished lecture notes.
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