## Hypothesis Testing

#### Mark Lunt

Centre for Epidemiology Versus Arthritis University of Manchester



21/11/2023



### Introduction

- We saw last week that we can never know the population parameters without measuring the entire population.
- We can, however, make inferences about the population parameters from random samples.
- Last week, we saw how we can create a confidence interval, within which we are reasonably certain the population parameter lies.
- This week, we will see a different type of inference: is there evidence that the parameter **does not** take a particular value ?



## Hypothesis Testing

- Form the Null Hypothesis
- Calculate probability of observing data if null hypothesis is true (*p*-value)
- Low p-value taken as evidence that null hypothesis is unlikely
- Originally, only intended as informal guide to strength of evidence against null hypothesis



# Significance Testing

- Fisher's *p*-value was very informal way to assess evidence against null hypothesis
- Neyman and Pearson developed more formal approach: significance testing
- Based on decision making: rule for deciding whether or not to reject the null hypothesis
- Clinically, need to make decisions. Scientifically, may be more appropriate to retain uncertainty.
- Introduces concepts of power, significance



Interpreting a hypothesis test Common types of hypothesis test Power calculations Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

## The Null Hypothesis

- Simplest acceptable model.
- If the null hypothesis is true, the world is uninteresting.
- Must be possible to express numerically ("test statistic").
- Sampling distribution of test statistic must be known.



Interpreting a hypothesis test Common types of hypothesis test Power calculations Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals Components of Hypothesis test Test statistics Examples

#### The Alternative Hypothesis

- "Null Hypothesis is untrue"
- Covers any other possibility.
- May be one-sided, if effect in opposite direction is as uninteresting as the null hypothesis



Interpreting a hypothesis test Common types of hypothesis test Power calculations Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals Components of Hypothesis test Test statistics Examples

#### One and Two-sided tests

- Good example:  $\chi^2$  test.
  - $\chi^2$  test measures difference between expected and observed frequencies
  - Only unusually large differences are evidence against null hypothesis.
- Bad example: clinical trial
  - A drug company may only be interested in how much better its drug is than the competition.
  - Easier to get a significant difference with a one-sided test.
  - The rest of the world is interested in differences in either direction, want to see a two-sided test.
- One-sided tests are rarely justified



**Test Statistic** 

- Null hypothesis distribution must be known.
  - Expected value if null hypothesis is true.
  - Variation due to sampling error (standard error) if null hypothesis is true.
- From this distribution, probability of any given value can be calculated.
- Can be a mean, proportion, correlation coefficient, regression coefficient etc.



Components of Hypothesis test Test statistics Examples

### Normally Distributed Statistics

- Many test statistics can be considered normally distributed, if sample is large enough.
- If the test statistic *T* has mean  $\mu$  and standard error  $\sigma$ , then  $\frac{T \mu}{\sigma}$  has a normal distribution with mean 0 and standard error 1.
- We do not know  $\sigma$ , we only have estimate *s*.
- If our sample is of size n,  $\frac{T \mu}{s}$  has a t-distribution with n 1 d.f.
- Hence the term "t-test".
- If  $n \ge 100$ , a normal distribution is indistinguishable from the t-distribution.
- Extreme values less unlikely with a *t*-distribution than a normal distribution.



Interpreting a hypothesis test Common types of hypothesis test Power calculations Components of Hypothesis test Test statistics Examples

#### Test statistic: Normal distribution





Interpreting a hypothesis test Common types of hypothesis test Power calculations besis tests and confidence intervals Components of Hypothesis test Test statistics Examples

#### T-distribution and Normal Distribution





Components of Hypothesis test Test statistics Examples

#### Non-Normally Distributed Statistics

- Statistics may follow a distribution other than the normal distribution.
  - $\chi^2$
  - Mann-Whitney U
- Many will be normally distributed in large enough samples
- Tables can be used for small samples.
- Can be compared to quantiles of their own distribution



Interpreting a hypothesis test Common types of hypothesis test Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

Test Statistic:  $\chi_4^2$ 





Components of Hypothesis test Test statistics Examples

#### Example 1: Height and Gender

Null hypothesis On average, men and women are the same height

Alternative Hypothesis One gender tends to be taller than the other.

Test Statistic Difference in mean height between men and women.

**One-Sided Hypotheses** 

- Men are taller than women
- Women are taller than men



Components of Hypothesis test Test statistics Examples

#### Example 2: Drinks preferences

# Null hypothesis Equal numbers of people prefer Coke and Pepsi

# Alternative Hypothesis Most people prefer one drink to the other

Test Statistic Several possibilities:

- Difference in proportions preferring each drink
- Ratio of proportions preferring each drink

**One-Sided Hypotheses** 

- More people prefer Coke
- More people prefer Pepsi





- Probability of obtaining a value of the test statistic at least as extreme as that observed, *if the null hypothesis is true*.
- Small value ⇒ data obtained was unlikely to have occurred under null hypothesis
- Data did occur, so null hypothesis is probably not true.
- Originally intended as informal way to measure strength of evidence against null hypothesis
- It it **not** the probability that the null hypothesis is true.



*p*-values Errors

#### Interpreting the *p*-value

• 0  $\leq p \leq 1$ 

- Large  $p (\geq 0.2, say) \Rightarrow$  no evidence against null hypothesis
- *p* ≤ 0.05 ⇒ there is some evidence against null hypothesis
- Effect is "statistically significant at the 5% level"
- 0.05 is an arbitrary value: 0.045 is very little different from 0.055.
- Smaller  $p \Rightarrow$  stronger evidence
- Large *p*-value not evidence that null hypothesis is true.





- Effect Size: a big difference is easier to find than a small difference.
- **Sample Size**: The more subjects, the easier to find a difference
- Always report actual *p*-values, not *p* < 0.05 or *p* > 0.05
- NS is unforgivable
- "No significant difference" can mean "no difference in population" or "Sample size was too small to be certain"
- Statistically significant difference may not be clinically significant.



*p*-values Errors

#### Interpreting a significance test

- Significance test asks "Is the null hypothesis true"
- Answers either "Probably not" or "No comment"
- Answers are interpreted as "No" or "Yes"
- Misinterpretation leads to incorrect conclusions



*p*-values Errors

#### Meta-Analysis Example

- Ten studies
- 50 unexposed and 50 exposed in each
- Prevalence 10% in unexposed, 15% in exposed
- True RR = 1.5



*p*-values Errors

#### Meta-Analysis Results

Study	RR	<i>p</i> -value
1	1.0	1.00
2	3.0	0.16
3	2.0	0.23
4	0.7	0.40
5	1.8	0.26
6	1.3	0.59
7	1.6	0.38
8	1.8	0.34
9	1.4	0.45
10	1.4	0.54



*p*-values Errors

#### Meta-Analysis Results

Study	RR	<i>p</i> -value
1	1.0	1.00
2	3.0	0.16
3	2.0	0.23
4	0.7	0.40
5	1.8	0.26
6	1.3	0.59
7	1.6	0.38
8	1.8	0.34
9	1.4	0.45
10	1.4	0.54
Pooled Data	1.4	0.04



*p*-values Errors

#### Inappropriate Hypothesis Testing

• Table 1 in a double-blind randomised controlled trial

Identifying confounders in observational studies



*p*-values Errors

#### Inappropriate Hypothesis Testing

- Table 1 in a double-blind randomised controlled trial
  - Null hypothesis: both arms are random samples from the same population
  - Null hypothesis is true by design
  - Small *p*-value: this is a rare event, but not really evidence of scientific malpractice
- Identifying confounders in observational studies



*p*-values Errors

#### Inappropriate Hypothesis Testing

- Table 1 in a double-blind randomised controlled trial
  - Null hypothesis: both arms are random samples from the same population
  - Null hypothesis is true by design
  - Small *p*-value: this is a rare event, but not really evidence of scientific malpractice
- Identifying confounders in observational studies
  - Confounding effect depends on size of difference
  - P-value also depends on size of study
  - Can have same confounding and different *p*-values and vice versa



Getting it Wrong

*p*-values Errors

- There are two ways to get it wrong:
  - The null hypothesis is true, we conclude that it isn't (Type I error).
  - The null hypothesis is not true, we conclude that it is (Type II error).



*p*-values Errors

Type I Error ( $\alpha$ )

- Null hypothesis is true, but there is evidence against it.
- 1 time in 20 that the null hypothesis is true, a statistically significant result at the 5% level will be obtained.
- The smaller the *p*-value, the less likely we are making a type I error.
- Testing several hypotheses at once increases the probability that at least one of them will be incorrectly found to be statistically significant.
- Several corrections are available for "Multiple Testing", *Bonferroni's* is the most commonly used, easiest and least accurate.
- Some debate about whether correction for multiple testing VERSUS is necessary, but state how many tests were done.



- Null hypothesis is not true, but no evidence against it in our sample.
- Depends on study size: small studies less likely to detect an effect than large ones
- Depends on effect size: large effects are easier to detect than small ones
- Power of a study = 1 β = Probability of detecting a given effect, if it exists.





One-sample t-test Two-sample t-test Comparing proportions

- Can compare  $\bar{x}$  to a hypothetical value (e.g. 0).
- Sometimes called "One-sample t-test".
- Test statistic  $T = \frac{\bar{x} \mu}{S.E.(x)}$ .
- Compare T to a t-distribution on n-1 d.f.



Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

# Testing $\bar{x}$ : Example

One-sample t-test Two-sample t-test Comparing proportions

- The following data are uterine weights (in mg) for a sample of 20 rats. Previous work suggests that the mean uterine weight for the stock from which the sample was drawn was 24mg. Does this sample confirm that suggestion ?
- 9, 14, 15, 15, 16, 18, 18, 19, 19, 20, 21, 22, 22, 24, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32
- $\bar{x} = 21.0$
- S.D.(*x*) = 5.912



Power calculations Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals One-sample t-test Two-sample t-test Comparing proportions

### Testing $\bar{x}$ : Solution

$$S.E.(x) = \frac{5.912}{\sqrt{20}} \qquad T = \frac{\bar{x} - 24.0}{S.E.(x)}$$
$$= 1.322 \qquad = \frac{21.0 - 24.0}{1.322}$$
$$= -2.27$$

- Comparing -2.27 to a t-distribution on 19 degrees of freedom gives a *p*-value of 0.035
- I.e if the stock had a mean uterine weight of 24mg, and we took repeated random samples, less than 4 times in 100 would a sample have such a low mean weight.



Formulating a hypothesis test Interpreting a hypothesis test

Common types of hypothesis test

Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

One-sample t-test Two-sample t-test Comparing proportions

#### One-Sample t-test in Stata

. ttest x =	24					
One-sample t	test					
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
x	20	21	1.321881	5.91163	18.23327	23.76673
Degrees of f	reedom: 19					
		Но:	mean(x) =	24		
Ha: mea t = P < t =	n < 24 -2.2695 0.0175	Ha P >	t = $-2.2$	4 695 351	Ha: mean t = -2 P > t = 0	> 24 .2695 .9825



One-sample t-test Two-sample t-test Comparing proportions

The Unpaired (two-sample) T-Test

- For comparing two means
- If we are comparing x in a group of size n<sub>x</sub> and y in a group of size n<sub>y</sub>,
  - Null hypothesis is  $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$
  - Alternative hypothesis is  $\bar{x} \neq \bar{y}$
  - Test statistic

$$T = rac{ar{y} - ar{x}}{\mathsf{S}.\mathsf{E}. ext{ of } (ar{y} - ar{x})}$$

- T is compared to a t distribution on  $n_x + n_y 2$  degrees of freedom
- You may need to test (sdtest) whether the standard deviation is the same in the two groups.
- If not, use the option unequal.



Formulating a hypothesis test Interpreting a hypothesis test

Common types of hypothesis test

Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

One-sample t-test Two-sample t-test Comparing proportions

#### Two-Sample t-test in Stata

. ttest nurseht, by(sex)

Two-sample t test with equal variances

Group	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf	. Interval]
female male	227	159.774 172.9571	.4247034 .5224808	6.398803 6.911771	158.9371 171.9259	160.6109 173.9884
combined	402	165.5129	.4642267	9.307717	164.6003	166.4256
diff	+ 	-13.18313	.6666327		-14.49368	-11.87259

Degrees of freedom: 400

Ho: mean(female) - mean(male) = diff = 0

Ha: diff < 0	Ha: diff != 0	Ha: diff > 0
t = -19.7757	t = -19.7757	t = -19.7757
P < t = 0.0000	P >  t  = 0.0000	P > t = 1.0000



Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

One-sample t-test Two-sample t-test Comparing proportions

#### **Comparing Proportions**

- We wish to compare  $p_1 = \frac{a}{n_1}$ ,  $p_2 = \frac{b}{n_2}$
- Null hypothesis:  $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \pi$
- Standard error of  $p_1 p_2 = \sqrt{\pi(1 \pi)(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}$

• Estimate 
$$\pi$$
 by  $p = \frac{a+b}{n_1+n_2}$ 

•  $\frac{p_1-p_2}{\sqrt{p(1-p)(\frac{1}{n_1}+\frac{1}{n_2})}}$  can be compared to a standard normal distribution



Common types of hypothesis test

Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

One-sample t-test Two-sample t-test Comparing proportions

> 4 4 6

#### **Comparing Proportions in Stata**

. cs back\_p sex

	   Total	Unexposed	sex Exposed		
	1082   3433	445 1739	637 1694	Cases   Noncases	
	4515 	2184	2331	+ Total   	
	.2396456	.2037546	.2732733	Risk	
Interval	[95% Conf.	estimate	Point		
.094270 1.49130 .32944	.044767   1.206183   .1709386 	595187 841188 543926 197672	.00 1.3 .25 .14	Risk difference   Risk ratio   Attr. frac. ex.   Attr. frac. pop	
2 = 0.000	29.91 Pr>chi2	chi2(1) = :	c	I	



Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals



How power calculations work Power calculations in stata

#### • Given:

- Null hypothesis value
- Alternative hypothesis value
- Standard error
- Significance level (generally 5%)
- Calculate:
  - Power to reject null hypothesis for given sample size
  - Sample size to give chosen power to reject null hypothesis



Power calculations

How power calculations work Power calculations in stata

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

#### Power Calculations Illustrated: 1



Shaded area = Sample value significantly different from  $H_0$ = probability of type I error (If  $H_0$  is true)



Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

How power calculations work Power calculations in stata

#### Power Calculations Illustrated: 2



*H*<sub>0</sub>: *x* = 0, S.E.(*x*) = 1

• 
$$H_A$$
:  $\bar{x} = 3$ , S.E. $(x) = 1$ 

• Power = 85%



Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

How power calculations work Power calculations in stata

#### Power Calculations Illustrated: 3



*H*<sub>0</sub>: *x* = 0, S.E.(*x*) = 1

• 
$$H_A$$
:  $\bar{x} = 4$ , S.E. $(x) = 1$ 

Power = 98%



Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

How power calculations work Power calculations in stata

#### Power Calculations Illustrated: 4



•  $H_0$ :  $\bar{x} = 0$ , S.E.(x) = 0.77

• 
$$H_A$$
:  $\bar{x} = 3$ , S.E. $(x) = 0.77$ 

• Power = 97%



Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

How power calculations work Power calculations in stata

#### Power Calculations in Stata

- sampsi
- Only for differences between two groups
- Difference in proportion or mean of normally distributed variable
- Can calculate sample size for given power, or power for given sample size
- Does not account for matching
- Need hypothesised proportion or mean & SD in each group



Formulating a hypothesis test Common types of hypothesis test

Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

Power calculations in stata

#### Parameters in Sample Size Calculation

- Power
- Significance level
- Mean (proportion) in each group
- SD in each group
  - Missing SD  $\Rightarrow$  proportion



How power calculations work Power calculations in stata

# Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

```
sampsi #1 #2, [ratio() sd1() sd2() power()]
where
```

- ratio Ratio of the size of group 2 to size of group 1 (defaults to 1).
  - sd1 Standard deviation in group 1 (not given for proportions).
  - sd2 Standard deviation in group 2 (not given for proportions). Assumed equal to sd1 if not given).
- power Desired power as a probability (i.e. 80% power = 0.8). Default is 90%.



Power calculations

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

## sampsi for power

How power calculations work Power calculations in stata

# sampsi #1 #2, [ratio() sd1() sd2() n1() n2()] where

- ratio Ratio of the size of group 2 to size of group 1 (defaults to 1).
  - sd1 Standard deviation in group 1 (not given for proportions).
  - sd2 Standard deviation in group 2 (not given for proportions). Assumed equal to sd1 if not given).
    - n1 Size of group 1
    - n2 Size of group 2



Power calculations in stata

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

#### sampsi **examples**

- Sample size needed to detect a difference between 25% prevalence in unexposed and 50% prevalence in exposed: sampsi 0.25 0.5
- Sample size needed to detect a difference in mean of 100 in group one and 120 in group 2 if the standard deviation is 20 and group 2 is twice as big as group 1
   sampsi 100 120, sd1(20) ratio(2)



How power calculations work Power calculations in stata

Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

#### Criticism of hypothesis and significance testing

- Hypothesis and significance testing are complicated, convoluted and poorly understood
- Tell us one fact that is unlikely to be true about our population
- *p*-value depends on both effect size and study size: contains no explicit information about either
- Intended as automated decision-making process: cannot include other information to inform decision
- Would prefer to know things that are true about the population
- More useful to have a range within which you believe a population parameter lies.



### Hypothesis Tests and Confidence Intervals

- Hypothesis tests about means and proportions are closely related to the corresponding confidence intervals for the mean and proportion.
- *p*-value mixes together information about sample size and effect size
- Dichotomising at p = 0.05 ignores lots of information.
- Confidence intervals convey more information and are to be preferred.
- There is a movement to remove "archaic" hypothesis tests from epidemiology literature, to be replaced by confidence intervals.
- If there are several groups being compared, a single hypothesis test is possible, several confidence intervals would be required.



Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

#### Hypothesis Tests vs. Confidence Intervals

Outcome	Exposed		
	No	Yes	
No	6	6	
Yes	2	5	

Outcome	Exposed	
	No	Yes
No	600	731
Yes	200	269

- **p** = 0.37
- OR = 2.5, 95% CI = (0.3, 18.3)



#### Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

# The ASA's Statement on *p*-Values: Context, Process, and Purpose

- P-values can indicate how incompatible the data are with a specified statistical model.
- P-values do not measure the probability that the studied hypothesis is true, or the probability that the data were produced by random chance alone.
- Scientific conclusions and business or policy decisions should not be based only on whether a *p*-value passes a specific threshold.
- Proper inference requires full reporting and transparency.
- A p-value, or statistical significance, does not measure the size of an effect or the importance of a result.
- By itself, a p-value does not provide a good measure of evidence regarding a model or hypothesis.



Hypothesis tests and confidence intervals

# Statistical tests, P values, confidence intervals, and power: a guide to misinterpretations

- Survey of 25 most common errors in statistical inference
- 20 involve hypothesis tests, 5 involve confidence intervals
  - E.g. effect significant in men, not significant in women: this is not evidence of a difference in effect between men and women.
  - Same null hypothesis tested many times in different studies, all results are non-significant: not evidence for null hypothesis

