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In this paper, we study the existence of the density associated with the exponential functional of the Lévy process $\xi$,

$$
I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}:=\int_{0}^{\mathbf{e}_{q}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{q}$ is an independent exponential r.v. with parameter $q \geq 0$. In the case where $\xi$ is the negative of a subordinator, we prove that the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$, here denoted by $k$, satisfies an integral equation that generalizes that reported by Carmona et al. [7]. Finally, when $q=0$, we describe explicitly the asymptotic behavior at 0 of the density $k$ when $\xi$ is the negative of a subordinator and at $\infty$ when $\xi$ is a spectrally positive Lévy process that drifts to $+\infty$.
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## 1. Introduction

A real-valued Lévy process is a stochastic process issued from the origin with stationary and independent increments and almost-sure right-continuous paths with left limits. For background on Lévy processes see, e.g., [1] and [23]. We write $\xi=\left(\xi_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ for its trajectory and $\mathbb{P}$ for its law. The law $\mathbb{P}$ of a Lévy process is characterized by its one-time transition probabilities. In particular, there always exists a triple ( $a, \sigma^{2}, \Pi$ ), where $a \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^{2} \geq 0$ and $\Pi$ is a measure on $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, satisfying the integrability condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1 \wedge x^{2}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} x)<\infty$, such that for $t \geq 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} z \xi t}\right]=\exp \{-\Psi(z) t\}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Psi(z)=\mathrm{i} a z+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} z^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} z x}+\mathrm{i} z x \mathbf{1}_{\{|x|<1\}}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} x)
$$

In the case when $\xi$ is a subordinator, the Lévy measure $\Pi$ has support on $[0, \infty)$ and fulfills the extra condition $\int_{(0, \infty)}(1 \wedge x) \Pi(\mathrm{d} x)<\infty$. Thus, the characteristic exponent $\Psi$ can be expressed as

$$
\Psi(z)=-\mathrm{i} c z+\int_{(0, \infty)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} z x}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} x)
$$

where $c \geq 0$ and is known as the drift coefficient. It is well known that the function $\Psi$ can be extended analytically on the complex upper half-plane, and so the Laplace exponent of $\xi$ is given by

$$
\phi(\lambda):=-\log \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \xi_{1}}\right]=\Psi(\mathrm{i} \lambda)=c \lambda+\int_{(0, \infty)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} x)
$$

Similarly, in the case where $\xi$ is a spectrally negative Lévy process (i.e., has no positive jumps), the Lévy measure $\Pi$ has support on $(-\infty, 0)$, and the characteristic exponent $\Psi$ can be written as

$$
\Psi(z)=\mathrm{i} a z+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} z^{2}+\int_{(-\infty, 0)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} z x}+\mathrm{i} z x \mathbf{1}_{\{x>-1\}}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} x)
$$

It is also well known that the function $\Psi$ can be extended analytically on the complex lower half-plane, and so its Laplace exponent satisfies

$$
\psi(\lambda):=\log \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\lambda \xi_{1}}\right]=-\Psi(-\mathrm{i} \lambda)=a \lambda+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \lambda^{2}+\int_{(-\infty, 0)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\lambda x}-1+-\lambda x \mathbf{1}_{\{x>-1\}}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} x)
$$

In this article, we examine the existence of the density associated with the exponential functional

$$
I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}:=\int_{0}^{\mathbf{e}_{q}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{q}$ is an exponential random variable independent of the Lévy process $\xi$ with parameter $q \geq 0$. If $q=0$, then $\mathbf{e}_{q}$ is understood to be $\infty$. In this case, we assume that the process $\xi$ drifts toward $-\infty$, because it is a necessary and sufficient condition for the almost-sure finiteness of $I:=I_{\infty}$ (see, e.g., Theorem 1 of Bertoin and Yor [4]).

To the best of our knowledge, nothing is known about the existence of the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ when $q>0$. In the case where $q=0$, the existence of the density of $I$ has been proven by Carmona et al. [7] for Lévy processes with a jump structure of finite variation and recently by Bertoin et al. [2], Theorem 3.9, for any real-valued Lévy process. In particular, when $\xi$ is the negative of a subordinator such that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right]<\infty$, Carmona et al. [7], Proposition 2.1, proved that the random variable $I$ has a density, $k$, that is the unique (up to a multiplicative constant) $L^{1}$-positive solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-c x) k(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) k(y) \mathrm{d} y, \quad x \in(0,1 / c) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c \geq 0$ is the drift coefficient and $\bar{\Pi}(x):=\Pi(x, \infty)$. Here we generalize the foregoing equation. Indeed, we establish an integral equation for the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}, q \geq 0$, when $\xi$ is the negative of a subordinator. We note that when $q=0$, the condition $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\xi_{1}\right|\right]<\infty$ is not essential for the existence of its density and the validity of (1.2).

Another interesting problem is determining the behavior of the density of the exponential functional $I$ at 0 and at $\infty$. This problem was recently studied by Kuznetzov [13] for Lévy processes with rational Laplace exponent (at 0 and at $\infty$ ), by Kuznetsov and Pardo [15] for
hypergeometric Lévy processes (at 0 and at $\infty$ ), and by Patie [20] for spectrally negative Lévy processes (at $\infty$ ). In most applications, it is sufficient to have estimates of the tail behavior $\mathbb{P}(I \leq t)$ when $t$ goes to 0 and/or $\mathbb{P}(I \geq t)$ when $t$ goes to $\infty$. The tail behavior $\mathbb{P}(I \leq t)$ was studied by Pardo [19] in the case where the underlying Lévy process is spectrally positive and its Laplace exponent is regularly varying at infinity with index $\gamma \in(1,2)$, and by Caballero and Rivero [6] in the case when $\xi$ is the negative of a subordinator whose Laplace exponent is regularly varying at 0 . The tail behavior $\mathbb{P}(I \geq t)$ also has been studied in a general setting (see $[8,18,21,22]$ ). The second main result of this paper is related to this problem. Namely, we describe in detail the asymptotic behavior at 0 of the density of $I$ when $\xi$ is a subordinator, which in particular implies the behavior of $\mathbb{P}(I<t)$ near 0 .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state our main results. In particular, we study the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ and the asymptotic behavior at 0 of the density of the exponential functional associated with the negative of a subordinator. In Section 3 we provide the proof of the main results, and in Section 4 we give some examples and some numerical results for the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ when the driving process is the negative of a subordinator.

## 2. Main results

Our first main result states that $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ has a density for $q>0$. Before we establish our first theorem, we introduce some notation and recall some facts about positive self-similar Markov processes ( pssMp ), which is our main tool in this first part.

Let $\left(\xi_{t}^{\dagger}, t \geq 0\right)$ be the process obtained by killing $\xi$ at an independent exponential time of parameter $q>0$, here denoted by $\mathbf{e}_{q}$. The law and the lifetime of $\xi^{\dagger}$ are denoted by $\mathbb{P}^{\dagger}$ and $\beta$, respectively.

We first note that

$$
\left(I, \mathbb{P}^{\dagger}\right)=\left(\int_{0}^{\beta} \exp \left\{\xi_{t}^{\dagger}\right\} \mathrm{d} t, \mathbb{P}^{\dagger}\right) \stackrel{d}{=}\left(\int_{0}^{\mathbf{e}_{q}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t, \mathbb{P}\right)
$$

For $x \geq 0$, let $\mathbb{Q}_{x}$ be the law of $X^{(x)}$, the positive self-similar Markov process with self-similarity index 1 issued from $x$ associated with $\xi^{\dagger}$ via its Lamperti's representation (see [17] for more details on this representation), that is, for $x>0$,

$$
X_{t}^{(x)}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x \exp \left\{\xi_{\tau(t / x)}^{\dagger}\right\}, & \text { if } \tau(t / x)<\infty, \\
0, & \text { if } \tau(t / x)=\infty,
\end{array} \quad t \geq 0\right.
$$

where

$$
\tau(s)=\inf \left\{r>0: \int_{0}^{r} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{t}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{d} t>s\right\}, \quad \inf \{\varnothing\}=\infty
$$

and 0 is a cemetery state. The process $X^{(x)}$ is a strong Markov process that fulfills the scaling property; that is, for $k>0$,

$$
\left(k X_{t / k}^{(x)}, t \geq 0\right) \stackrel{d}{=}\left(X_{t}^{(k x)}, t \geq 0\right)
$$

We denote by $T_{0}^{(x)}:=\inf \left\{t>0: X_{t}^{(x)}=0\right\}$, the first hitting time of $X^{(x)}$ at 0 . Observe that for $s>0$, we have the following equivalences:

$$
\tau(s)<\infty \quad \text { iff } \quad \tau(s) \leq \beta \quad \text { iff } \quad s \leq \int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{t}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{d} t
$$

Thus, from the construction of $X$, the following equality in law holds:

$$
\left(T_{0}, \mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \stackrel{d}{=}\left(\int_{0}^{\mathbf{e}_{q}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t, \mathbb{P}\right)
$$

In what follows, we denote by $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{x}}$ the expectation with respect to the probability measure $\mathbb{Q}_{x}$, $x \geq 0$.

We now have all of the elements necessary to establish our first main result. It concerns the existence of the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $q>0$. Then the function

$$
h(t):=q \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{X_{t}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{t<T_{0}\right\}}\right], \quad t \geq 0
$$

is a density for the law of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$.
Corollary 2.2. Assume that $q>0$ and that $\xi$ is a subordinator. Then the law of the random variable $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ is a mixture of exponentials; that is, its law has a density $h$ on $(0, \infty)$ that is completely monotone. Furthermore, $\lim _{t \downarrow 0} h(t)=q$.

In the sequel, we will assume that $\xi=-\zeta$, where $\zeta$ is a subordinator. We denote its drift by $c \geq 0$ and the renewal measure of the killed subordinator $\left(\zeta_{t}, t \leq \mathbf{e}_{q}\right)$ by $U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} x)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\mathbf{e}_{q}} f\left(\zeta_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right]=\int_{[0, \infty)} f(x) U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a positive measurable function. If the renewal measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then the function $u_{q}(x)=U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} x) / \mathrm{d} x$ is usually called the renewal density. If $q=0$, then we denote $U_{0}$ and $u_{0}$ by $U$ and $u$.

Our second main result generalizes the integral equation (1.2) of Carmona et al. for subordinators.

Theorem 2.3. Let $q \geq 0$. The random variable $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ has a density that we denote by $k$, and it solves the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y}^{\infty} k(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} k\left(y \mathrm{e}^{x}\right) U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} x) \quad \text { almost everywhere }, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-c x) k(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) k(y) \mathrm{d} y+q \int_{x}^{\infty} k(y) \mathrm{d} y, \quad x \in(0,1 / c) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if a density on $(0,1 / c)$ satisfies any of the equations (2.2) or (2.3), then it is the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$.

We illustrate the importance of the foregoing result in Theorem 2.5, where we study the asymptotic behavior at 0 of the density $k$, and in Section 4, where we provide some examples in which $k$ can be computed explicitly. Further applications have been provided by Haas [11] and by Haas and Rivero [12], who used this equation to estimate the right tail behavior of the law of $I$ and to study the maximum domain of attraction of $I$.

The following corollary is another important application of equation (2.3). In particular, it says that if we know the density of the exponential functional of the negative of a subordinator, say $k$, then for $\rho \geq 0, x^{\rho} k(x)$, adequately normalized is the density of the exponential functional associated to the negative of a new subordinator. The proof of this fact follows easily by multiplying in both sides of equation (2.3) by $x^{\rho}$. Such a result also has been given by Chazal et al. [9], but in terms of the distribution of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$, not in terms of its density.

Corollary 2.4. Let $q \geq 0, \rho>0$, and $c_{\rho}$ be the positive constants satisfying

$$
c_{\rho}=\int_{(0, \infty)} x^{\rho} k(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Then the function $h(x):=c_{\rho}^{-1} x^{\rho} k(x)$ is the density of the exponential functional of the negative of a subordinator whose Laplace exponent is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\rho}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\rho}(\phi(\lambda+\rho)+q) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the density $h$ solves the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-c x) h(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}_{\rho}(\log y / x) h(y) \mathrm{d} y, \quad x \in(0,1 / c), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\Pi}_{\rho}(z)=\bar{\Pi}(z) \mathrm{e}^{-\rho z}+q \mathrm{e}^{-\rho z}$.
We remark that the transformation studied by Chazal et al. [9] is more general than that presented in (2.4), and that they applied the transformation to Lévy processes with one-sided jumps. We also note that the subordinator with Laplace exponent given by $\phi_{\rho}$ has an infinite lifetime in any case.

Our next goal is to study the behavior of the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ near 0 . When $q=0$, we work with the following assumption:
(A) The Lévy measure $\Pi$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$; that is, the tail Lévy measure $\bar{\Pi}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{\Pi}(x+y)}{\bar{\Pi}(x)}=\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha y} \quad \text { for all } y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that regularly varying and subexponential tail Lévy measures satisfy this assumption with $\alpha=0$, and that convolution-equivalent Lévy measures are examples of Lévy measures satisfying (2.6) for some index $\alpha>0$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $q \geq 0$ and $\xi=-\zeta$, where $\zeta$ is a subordinator such that when $q=0$, the Lévy measure $\Pi$ satisfies assumption (A). The following asymptotic behavior holds for the density function $k$ of the exponential functional $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$.
(i) If $q>0$, then

$$
k(x) \rightarrow q \quad \text { as } x \downarrow 0 .
$$

(ii) If $q=0$, then $\mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\alpha}\right]<\infty$ and

$$
k(x) \sim \mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\alpha}\right] \bar{\Pi}(\log 1 / x) \quad \text { as } x \downarrow 0
$$

In the sequel, we will assume that $q=0$. The foregoing result will help us describe the behavior at $\infty$ of the density of the exponential functional of a particular spectrally negative Lévy process associated with the subordinator $\zeta$. To explain such relation, we need the following assumptions. Assume that $U$, the renewal measure of the subordinator $\zeta$, is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure with density $u$, which is nonincreasing and convex. We also suppose that $\mathbb{E}\left[\zeta_{1}\right]<\infty$. According to Theorem 2 of Kyprianou and Rivero [16], there exists a spectrally negative Lévy process $Y=\left(Y_{t}, t \geq 0\right)$ that drifts to $+\infty$, with Laplace exponent described by

$$
\psi(\lambda)=\lambda \phi^{*}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\phi(\lambda)} \quad \text { for } \lambda \geq 0
$$

where $\phi^{*}$ is the Laplace exponent of another subordinator and satisfies

$$
\phi^{*}(\lambda):=q^{*}+c^{*} \lambda+\int_{(0, \infty)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda x}\right) \Pi^{*}(\mathrm{~d} x)
$$

where

$$
q^{*}=\left(c+\int_{(0, \infty)} x \Pi(\mathrm{~d} x)\right)^{-1}, \quad c^{*}= \begin{cases}0, & c>0 \text { or } \Pi(0, \infty)=\infty \\ 1 / \Pi(0, \infty), & c=0 \text { and } \Pi(0, \infty)<\infty\end{cases}
$$

and the Lévy measure $\Pi^{*}$ satisfies

$$
U(\mathrm{~d} x)=c^{*} \delta_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x)+\left(q^{*}+\bar{\Pi}^{*}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x \quad \text { for } x \geq 0
$$

Let $I_{\psi}$ be the exponential functional associated with $-Y$, that is,

$$
I_{\psi}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-Y_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

and denote its density by $k_{\psi}$. From the proof of Proposition 4 of Rivero [21], the density $k_{\psi}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\psi}(x)=q^{*} \frac{1}{x} k\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \quad \text { for } x>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following corollary explains the asymptotic behavior at $\infty$ of the density of the exponential functional of $-Y$.

Corollary 2.6. Suppose that $\zeta$ is a subordinator satisfying assumption (A) such that its renewal measure has a density thst is nonincreasing and convex, and let $Y$ be its associated spectrally negative Lévy process defined as above. Then the following asymptotic behavior holds for the density function $k_{\psi}$ :

$$
k_{\psi}(x) \sim q^{*} \mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\alpha}\right] \frac{1}{x} \bar{\Pi}(\log x) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

## 3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We start the proof by showing that the function

$$
h(t, x):=q \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{x}}\left[\frac{1}{X_{t}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{t<T_{0}\right\}}\right], \quad t \geq 0, x>0,
$$

is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} h(t, x) \mathrm{d} t=1 \quad \text { for } x>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the result follows from the identity (3.1) and the fact that

$$
h(t+s)=\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left[h\left(s, X_{t}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{t<T_{0}\right\}}\right] \quad \text { for } s, t \geq 0,
$$

which is a straightforward consequence of the Markov property.
We now prove (3.1). From the definition of $X$ and the change of variables $u=\tau(t / x)$, which implies that $\mathrm{d} u=x^{-1} \exp \left\{-\xi_{\tau(t / x)}^{\dagger}\right\} \mathrm{d} t$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} & h(t, x) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =q \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} t \mathbb{E}\left[x^{-1} \exp \left\{-\xi_{\tau(t / x)}^{\dagger}\right\} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau(t / x)<\infty)}\right] \\
& =q \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} \exp \left\{-\xi_{\tau(t / x)}^{\dagger}\right\} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{t \leq x \int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{s}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{d} s\right\}} \mathrm{d} t\right]=q \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{u \leq \beta\}} \mathrm{d} u\right]=q \mathbb{E}(\beta)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now prove that

$$
\int_{t}^{\infty} h(s) \mathrm{d} s=\mathbb{P}\left(I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}>t\right), \quad t>0 .
$$

Indeed, letting $t>0$, making a change of variables, and using the semi-group property and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$
\int_{t}^{\infty} h(s) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{\infty} h(s+t, 1) \mathrm{d} s=\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} h\left(s, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{t<T_{0}\right\}}\right]=\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(t<T_{0}\right)
$$

The result follows from the identity $\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(t<T_{0}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}>t\right)$.
Proof of Corollary 2.2. Here we use the same notation as above and follow similar arguments as in the proofs of Lemma 5 and Proposition 1 of [3]. We first prove that for every $0 \leq t<T_{0}$ and $p>0$, the variable

$$
X_{t}^{p} \int_{t}^{T_{0}} \frac{1}{X_{s}^{p+1}} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

is independent of $\sigma\left\{X_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right\}$ and is distributed as

$$
\int_{0}^{\mathbf{e}_{q}} \mathrm{e}^{-p \xi_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

As a consequence of the Markov property at time $t$, we need only to show that under $\mathbb{Q}_{x}$, the variable

$$
x^{p} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \frac{1}{X_{s}^{p+1}} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

is distributed as $\int_{0}^{\mathbf{e}_{q}} \mathrm{e}^{-p \xi_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s$. Then the change of variables $t=\tau(s / x), s=x \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{u}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{d} u$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{p} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \frac{1}{X_{s}^{p+1}} \mathrm{~d} s & =x^{-1} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{-(p+1) \xi_{\tau(s / x)}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{-(p+1) \xi_{t}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{t}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{-p \xi_{t}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the desired identity in law, because ( $\xi_{t}^{\dagger}, 0 \leq t \leq \beta$ ) and ( $\xi_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq \mathbf{e}_{q}$ ) have the same law. Thus, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left[\int_{t}^{T_{0}} \frac{1}{X_{s}^{p+1}} \mathrm{~d} s\right]=\frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left[X_{t}^{-p} ; t<T_{0}\right]}{\phi(p)+q}
$$

which implies that

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left[X_{t}^{-p} ; t<T_{0}\right]}{\partial t}=-(\phi(p)+q) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left[X_{t}^{-(p+1)} ; t<T_{0}\right]
$$

By iteration, we have that the function $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left[X_{t}^{-p} ; t<T_{0}\right]$ is completely monotone and takes value 1 for $t=0$. Thus, taking $p=1$, we deduce that $h(t)$ is completely monotone on $(0, \infty)$, and that $\lim _{t \downarrow 0} h(t)=q$. Finally from Theorem 51.6 and Proposition 51.8 of [23], we have that the law of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ is a mixture of exponentials.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By Theorem 2.1 (when $q>0$ ) and Theorem 3.9 of [2] (when $q=0$ ), we know that there exists a density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ for $q \geq 0$, which we denote by $h$. Moreover, [7] proved that the positive integer moments of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ satisfy the following recursive equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}^{n}\right]=\frac{n}{\phi(n)+q} \mathbb{E}\left[I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}^{n-1}\right], \quad n>0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}^{n}\right]=\frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(q+\phi(i))}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is understood as 1 when $n=0$.
The proof of (2.2) follows from the identity (3.2). Indeed, on the one hand, it is clear that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}^{n}\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} k(x) \mathrm{d} x=n \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y y^{n-1} \int_{y}^{\infty} k(x) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

On the other hand, from the identity (2.1) with $f(x)=\mathrm{e}^{-n x}$ and a change of variables, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{\phi(n)+q} \mathbb{E}\left[I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}^{n-1}\right] & =n \int_{0}^{\infty} U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} x) \mathrm{e}^{-n x} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{n-1} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& =n \int_{0}^{\infty} U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} x) \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{n-1} \mathrm{e}^{-n x} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& =n \int_{0}^{\infty} U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} x) \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{n-1} k\left(z \mathrm{e}^{x}\right) \mathrm{d} z \\
& =n \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} z z^{n-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} k\left(z \mathrm{e}^{x}\right) U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, putting the pieces together, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y y^{n-1} \int_{y}^{\infty} k(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y y^{n-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} k\left(y \mathrm{e}^{-x}\right) U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} x) \quad \text { for } n>0
$$

which implies the desired result because the density

$$
y \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}\left(I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}\right)} \int_{y}^{\infty} k(x) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

is determined by its positive integer moments, which readily follows from the fact that $k$ is so.
Now, we verify the equation (2.3). We first prove that the function $\widetilde{h}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, defined via

$$
\widetilde{h}(x)= \begin{cases}\operatorname{cxh}(x)+\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) h(y) \mathrm{d} y+q \int_{x}^{\infty} h(y) \mathrm{d} y, & \text { if } x \in(0,1 / c) \\ 0, & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

is a density for the law of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ and thus that $h=\widetilde{h}$ a.e. Then we prove that the equality (2.3) holds. To do so, it is sufficient to verify that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} \widetilde{h}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(q+\phi(i))}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

given that the law of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ is determined by its positive integer moments. Indeed, elementary computations, identity (2.2), and the fact that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta y} U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} y)=\frac{1}{\phi(\theta)+q}, \quad \theta \geq 0
$$

give that for any integer $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} \widetilde{h}(x) \mathrm{d} x= & c \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x x^{n+1} h(x)+\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x x^{n} \int_{x}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) h(y) \\
& +q \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x x^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} h\left(x \mathrm{e}^{y}\right) U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} y) \\
= & \frac{n!(n+1) c}{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(q+\phi(i))}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y h(y) \int_{0}^{y} \mathrm{~d} x x^{n} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) \\
& +q \int_{0}^{\infty} U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} y) \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x x^{n} h\left(x \mathrm{e}^{y}\right) \\
= & \frac{n!(n+1) c}{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(q+\phi(i))}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y h(y) y^{n+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{e}^{-(n+1) z} \bar{\Pi}(z) \\
& +q \int_{0}^{\infty} U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} y) \mathrm{e}^{-(n+1) y} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} z z^{n} h(z) \\
= & \frac{n!(n+1) c}{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(q+\phi(i))}+\frac{(n+1)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(q+\phi(i))} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-(n+1) z}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} z)}{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +q \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(q+\phi(i))} \int_{0}^{\infty} U_{q}(\mathrm{~d} y) \mathrm{e}^{-(n+1) y} \\
= & \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(q+\phi(i))} \frac{(n+1) c+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-(n+1) z}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} z)+q}{q+\phi(n+1)} \\
= & \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(q+\phi(i))}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $\mathcal{N}=\{x \in \mathbb{R}: h(x) \neq \widetilde{h}(x)\}$. By the foregoing arguments, we know that the Lebesgue measure of $\mathcal{N}$ is 0 . Let $k:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be the function defined by

$$
k(x)= \begin{cases}h(x), & \text { if } x \in \mathcal{N}^{c} \\ \frac{1}{1-c x}\left(\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) h(y) \mathrm{d} y+q \int_{x}^{\infty} h(y) \mathrm{d} y\right), & \text { if } x \in \mathcal{N}\end{cases}
$$

We now prove that $k(x)$ satisfies equation (2.3) everywhere. If $x \in \mathcal{N}^{c}$, then we have that $k(x)=$ $h(x)=\widetilde{h}(x)$, and thus equation (2.3) is verified. Indeed, if $x \in \mathcal{N}$, then we have the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c x k(x)+\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) k(y) \mathrm{d} y+q \int_{x}^{\infty} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& =c x k(x)+\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) k(y) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{y \in \mathcal{N}^{c}\right\}} \mathrm{d} y+q \int_{x}^{\infty} k(y) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{y \in \mathcal{N}^{c}\right\}} \mathrm{d} y \\
& =c x k(x)+\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) h(y) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{y \in \mathcal{N}^{c}\right\}} \mathrm{d} y+q \int_{x}^{\infty} h(y) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{y \in \mathcal{N}^{c}\right\}} \mathrm{d} y \\
& = \\
& \frac{c x}{1-c x}\left(\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) h(y) \mathrm{d} y+q \int_{x}^{\infty} h(y) \mathrm{d} y\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) h(y) \mathrm{d} y+q \int_{x}^{\infty} h(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& = \\
& k(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, if $k$ is a density on ( $0,1 / c$ ) satisfying equation (2.2) or (2.3), then from the foregoing computations, it is clear that $k$ and $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ have the same positive integer moments. This implies that $k$ is a density of the exponential functional $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. The proof consists of three steps. First, we show that when $q=0$, $\mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\alpha}\right]<\infty$. Then, for $q \geq 0$, we obtain a technical estimate on the maximal growth of $k(x)$ as $x \downarrow 0$. Finally, we obtain the statement of the theorem.

Step 1 . We assume that $q=0$ and prove that $\mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\alpha}\right]<\infty$. The case where $\alpha=0$ is obvious. For $\alpha \in(0,1)$, we have from Theorem 2 of [4] that there exists a random variable $R$, independent of $\xi$, such that $I R \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbf{e}$, where $\mathbf{e}$ follows a unit mean exponential distribution. Because $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{e}^{-\alpha}\right]<$ $\infty$, the result follows.

Finally, let $\alpha \geq 1$. With (2.3) and some standard computations, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-\beta-1} k(x) \mathrm{d} x & =c \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x x^{-\beta} k(x)+\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x x^{-\beta-1} \int_{x}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) k(y) \\
& =c \mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\beta}\right]+\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y k(y) \int_{0}^{y} \mathrm{~d} x x^{-\beta-1} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) \\
& =c \mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\beta}\right]+\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y y^{-\beta} k(y) \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{e}^{\beta u} \bar{\Pi}(u) \\
& =-\frac{1}{\beta} \mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\beta}\right]\left(-c \beta+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\beta z}\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} z)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\beta-1}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\beta}\right] \frac{\phi(-\beta)}{-\beta}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the Laplace exponent of $\xi$, which can be extended to $(-\alpha, \infty)$ because, for $\beta<\alpha$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\beta u}-1\right) \Pi(\mathrm{d} u)=\beta \int_{1}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{\beta-1} \mathrm{~d} z<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see that (3.5) holds, note that $\bar{\Pi}(\log (z))$ is regularly varying with index $-\alpha$ by (2.6). Thus, $\bar{\Pi}(\log z)=z^{-\alpha} \ell(z)$ for a slowly varying function $\ell$, and we can apply Proposition 1.5.10 of Bingham et al. [5].

Now, by iteratively using (3.4), we see that for $\mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\alpha}\right]<\infty$, it is sufficient to have $\mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\alpha^{\prime}}\right]<$ $\infty$ for some $\alpha^{\prime} \in[0,1)$. But this obviously holds if $\alpha^{\prime}=0$, whereas if $\alpha^{\prime} \in(0,1)$, it then holds by the same argument as used above for the case where $\alpha \in(0,1)$.

Step 2. We assume that $q \geq 0$. For $q=0$, let $p$ be any function such that $p(0)=0$ and $\min \{\theta-1,0\}<p(\theta)<\theta$, for all $\theta>0$. When $q>0$, the function $p$ will be taken as 0 , and thus the symbol $p(x)$ will be taken as 0 . The goal of this step is to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k(x)}{x^{p(\alpha)}} \quad \text { stays bounded as } x \downarrow 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the parameter given in the assumption (A).
Observe that when $q>0$, it follows from (2.3) that $\liminf _{x \rightarrow 0} k(x) \geq q$. Set $h(x):=$ $k(x) / x^{p(\alpha)}$. We can write (2.3) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-c x=x \int_{1}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \frac{h(x z)}{h(x)} \mathrm{d} z+\frac{q x^{p(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}\left(I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}>x\right)}{h(x)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We argue by contradiction. Take some $\hat{x} \in(0,1 / c)$. If $h$ were not bounded at $0+$, then $\mathbf{1}_{\{x \leq \hat{x}\}} h(x)$ would keep on attaining new maxima as $x \downarrow 0$. (Note that $\hat{x}$ is present just to ensure that this statement also holds if $k$ is not bounded at $1 / c-$.) In particular, this means that a sequence of
points $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ exists with $x_{n} \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $h\left(x_{n}\right) \geq \sup _{x \in\left[x_{n}, \hat{x}\right]} h(x)$. We will show that this implies

$$
x_{n} \int_{1}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \frac{h\left(x_{n} z\right)}{h\left(x_{n}\right)} \mathrm{d} z+\frac{q x_{n}^{p(\alpha)} \mathbb{P}\left(I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}>x_{n}\right)}{h\left(x_{n}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

which indeed contradicts (3.7) because $1-c x_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that if $q>0$ and $h$ is not bounded at $0+$, then the second term in the latter equation tends to 0 , because $p(\alpha)=0$ by construction. Thus, we just need to prove that the first term in the latter equation tends to 0 . For this, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n} \int_{1}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \frac{h\left(x_{n} z\right)}{h\left(x_{n}\right)} \mathrm{d} z= & x_{n} \int_{1}^{\hat{x} / x_{n}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \frac{h\left(x_{n} z\right)}{h\left(x_{n}\right)} \mathrm{d} z  \tag{3.8}\\
& +x_{n} \int_{\hat{x} / x_{n}}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \frac{h\left(x_{n} z\right)}{h\left(x_{n}\right)} \mathrm{d} z
\end{align*}
$$

We first deal with the first integral on the right-hand side of (3.8). By construction of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$, we have $h\left(x_{n} z\right) \leq h\left(x_{n}\right)$ for any $z \in\left[1, \hat{x} / x_{n}\right]$; thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \int_{1}^{\hat{x} / x_{n}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \frac{h\left(x_{n} z\right)}{h\left(x_{n}\right)} \mathrm{d} z \leq x_{n} \int_{1}^{\hat{x} / x_{n}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \mathrm{d} z \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q>0$ or $\alpha=0($ recall $p(0)=0)$, then we can take any $1<z_{0}$ and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n} \int_{1}^{\hat{x} / x_{n}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) \mathrm{d} z & =x_{n} \int_{1}^{z_{0}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) \mathrm{d} z+x_{n} \int_{z_{0}}^{\hat{x} / x_{n}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) \mathrm{d} z \\
& \leq x_{n} \int_{1}^{z_{0}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) \mathrm{d} z+x_{n}\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{x_{n}}-z_{0}\right) \bar{\Pi}\left(\log \left(z_{0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inequality uses that $\bar{\Pi}$ is decreasing. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, recalling that $x_{n} \downarrow 0$, we see that the first integral on the right-hand side vanishes, whereas the second term tends to $\hat{x} \bar{\Pi}\left(\log z_{0}\right)$. Because we can make this term arbitrarily small by choosing $z_{0}$ sufficiently large, because $\bar{\Pi}(\log z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that (3.9) vanishes.

Next, consider the case where $\alpha>0$ and $q=0$. Because $\alpha-1<p(\alpha)<\alpha$, we can choose some $\beta \in(0, \alpha)$ such that $p(\alpha)-\beta+1 \in(0,1)$. Using this, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n} \int_{1}^{\hat{x} / x_{n}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \mathrm{d} z & =x_{n} \int_{1}^{\hat{x} / x_{n}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{\beta-1} z^{p(\alpha)-\beta+1} \mathrm{~d} z \\
& \leq x_{n}\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{x_{n}}\right)^{p(\alpha)-\beta+1} \int_{1}^{\hat{x} / x_{n}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{\beta-1} \mathrm{~d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

and the right-hand side vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$, again because $x_{n} \downarrow 0$, and by (3.5).

It remains to show that the second integral on the right-hand side of (3.8) vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n} \int_{\hat{x} / x_{n}}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \frac{h\left(x_{n} z\right)}{h\left(x_{n}\right)} \mathrm{d} z & \leq x_{n} \bar{\Pi}\left(\log \left(\hat{x} / x_{n}\right)\right) \frac{1}{h\left(x_{n}\right)} \int_{\hat{x} / x_{n}}^{\infty} z^{p(\alpha)} h\left(x_{n} z\right) \mathrm{d} z \\
& =\frac{\bar{\Pi}\left(\log \left(\hat{x} / x_{n}\right)\right)}{x_{n}^{p(\alpha)}} \frac{1}{h\left(x_{n}\right)} \int_{\hat{x}}^{\infty} k(u) \mathrm{d} u
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inequality uses that $\bar{\Pi}$ is decreasing and to get the equality we apply the definition of $h$ together with the substitution $u=x_{n} z$. Because $k$ is a density and, by assumption, $h\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n$ goes to $\infty$, for the right-hand side to vanish, it remains to show that $\bar{\Pi}\left(\log \hat{x} / x_{n}\right) / x_{n}^{p(\alpha)}$ stays bounded as $n$ increases. When $q>0$ or $\alpha=0$ (recall that $p(0)=0$ ), it is immediate, because $\bar{\Pi}$ is decreasing. When $\alpha>0$ and $q=0$, for any $1<z_{0}<z$, integration by parts yields

$$
\bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)}=p(\alpha) \int_{z_{0}}^{z} \bar{\Pi}(\log (u)) u^{p(\alpha)-1} \mathrm{~d} u+\int_{z_{0}}^{z} u^{p(\alpha)} \mathrm{d} \bar{\Pi}(\log (u))+\bar{\Pi}\left(\log \left(z_{0}\right)\right) z_{0}^{p(\alpha)}
$$

Now, if we let $z$ go to $\infty$, then, because $p(\alpha)<\alpha$, we see from (3.5) that the first integral on the right-hand side stays bounded, whereas the second integral is negative because $\bar{\Pi}$ is decreasing. Consequently, the left-hand side must stay bounded, and we are done.

Step 3, case $q=0$. Denote $C_{\alpha}=\mathbb{E}\left[I^{-\alpha}\right]$, which is finite by step 1 . From (2.3), we obtain, for all $x>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-c x) \frac{k(x)}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))}=\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x))}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} k(y) \mathrm{d} y . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\Pi(\log (1 / x))$ is regularly varying (cf. (2.6)) with index $-\alpha$, we have that for any $\delta>$ 0 , there is $D_{\delta}$ such that $\Pi(\log (1 / x)) \geq D_{\delta} x^{-\alpha-\delta}$ for $x$ sufficiently small. Thus, the latter and property (3.6) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{cxk}(x)}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))}=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (3.10) together with $k \geq 0$, Fatou's lemma, and identity (3.11) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{x \downarrow 0} \frac{k(x)}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} & =\liminf _{x \downarrow 0} \frac{c x k(x)}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))}+\liminf _{x \downarrow 0} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x))}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-\alpha} k(y) \mathrm{d} y=C_{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In contrast, for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have as $x \downarrow 0$,

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x))}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \rightarrow \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} y^{-\alpha} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \leq C_{\alpha} .
$$

If $\alpha>0$, this follows from the fact that the convergence (2.6) is uniform over $y \in[\varepsilon, \infty$ ) (see, e.g., Theorem 1.5 .2 of [5]). If $\alpha=0$ this uniformity holds only over intervals of the form [ $\left.\varepsilon, x_{0}\right]$,
in which case we can write the left-hand side as the sum of integrals over $\left[\varepsilon, x_{0}\right]$ and $\left[x_{0}, \infty\right)$, the former in the limit again is bounded above by $C_{\alpha}$, whereas for the latter, we can use that $\bar{\Pi}$ is decreasing to see

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x))}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \leq \frac{\bar{\Pi}\left(\log \left(x_{0} / x\right)\right)}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} \int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} k(y) \mathrm{d} y
$$

then letting first $x \rightarrow \infty$, thereby using (2.6), and then $x_{0} \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that this term vanishes.
So it remains to show that

$$
\limsup _{x \downarrow 0} \int_{x}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x))}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
$$

For this, we get for $\varepsilon$ small enough and $x<\varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} \int_{x}^{\varepsilon} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) k(y) \mathrm{d} y & =\frac{x}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} \int_{1}^{\varepsilon / x} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) k(x z) \mathrm{d} z \\
& \leq \frac{C x}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} \int_{1}^{\varepsilon / x} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z))(x z)^{p(\alpha)} \mathrm{d} z \\
& =\frac{C x^{1+p(\alpha)}}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))} \int_{1}^{\varepsilon / x} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) z^{p(\alpha)} \mathrm{d} z \\
& \sim \frac{C^{\prime} x^{1+p(\alpha)}}{\bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x}\right)^{p(\alpha)+1} \bar{\Pi}(\log (\varepsilon / x)) \quad \text { as } x \downarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are constants, the inequality holds by step 2 (cf. (3.6)), and the asymptotics follow from Karamata's theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 1.5 .11 of [5]), which indeed applies here because $\bar{\Pi}(\log (z))$ is regularly varying with index $-\alpha$ (cf. (2.6)) and by construction (see step 2 ), $p(\alpha) \geq \alpha-1$. Now, using (2.6), we see that ultimately, the right-hand side goes to $C^{\prime} \varepsilon^{p(\alpha)+1-\alpha}$ as $x \downarrow 0$, and that this vanishes as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ because, by construction, $p(\alpha)+1-\alpha>0$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

Step 3 , case $q>0$. We will prove that

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) k(y) \mathrm{d} y \underset{x \rightarrow 0}{\rightarrow} 0 .
$$

By step 2, we can assume that $k$ is bounded by $K \geq q$, in a neighborhood of $0+$. Letting $\delta>1$ fixed, for $x$ small enough, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{x}^{x \delta} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) k(y) \mathrm{d} y & \leq K \int_{x}^{x \delta} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) \mathrm{d} y \\
& =K \int_{0}^{\log \delta} \bar{\Pi}(u) x \mathrm{e}^{u} \mathrm{~d} u \leq K x \delta \int_{0}^{\log \delta} \bar{\Pi}(u) \mathrm{d} u \underset{x \rightarrow 0}{\rightarrow 0} 0
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, we have that

$$
\int_{x \delta}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (y / x)) k(y) \mathrm{d} y \leq \bar{\Pi}(\log \delta) \int_{x \delta}^{\infty} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \underset{x \rightarrow 0}{\rightarrow} \bar{\Pi}(\log \delta) .
$$

We conclude by making $\delta \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, using equation (2.3) and the foregoing arguments, we conclude that

$$
(1-c x) k(x)-q \mathbb{P}\left(I_{e_{q}}>x\right) \underset{x \rightarrow 0}{\rightarrow} 0
$$

and the result follows.

## 4. Examples and some numerics

In this section, we illustrate Theorem 2.3, Corollary 2.4, and equation (2.7) with some examples, and provide some applications of Theorem 2.5.

Example 1. Let $q>0$ and consider the case where the subordinator is just a linear drift with $c>0$. By a simple Laplace inversion, we deduce $u_{q}(x)=c^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-(q / c) x}$. Thus, from identities (2.3) and (2.2), we get

$$
(1-c x) k(x)=\frac{q}{c} \int_{[0, \infty)} k\left(x \mathrm{e}^{y}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-(q / c) y} \mathrm{~d} y, \quad x \in(0,1 / c)
$$

After straightforward computations, we deduce that the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ is of the form

$$
k(x)=q(1-c x)^{q / c-1}, \quad x \in(0,1 / c)
$$

It is important to note that we can get the density $k$ by direct calculations, because

$$
I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}=\int_{0}^{\mathbf{e}_{q}} \mathrm{e}^{-c t} \mathrm{~d} t=c^{-1}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-c \mathbf{e}_{q}}\right)
$$

and $\mathbf{e}_{q}$ is exponentially distributed.
In what follows, we use the notation in Corollary 2.4 and in the discussion after Theorem 2.5. Let $\rho>0$ and note that

$$
\phi_{\rho}(\theta)=c \theta+q \frac{\theta}{\theta+\rho} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{\rho}=\frac{q}{c^{\rho+1}} \frac{\rho(\rho+1) \Gamma(q / c)}{\Gamma(\rho+q / c+1)}
$$

According to Corollary 2.4, the density of the exponential functional of the subordinator with Laplace exponent given by $\phi_{\rho}$, satisfies

$$
h(x)=c^{\rho+1} \frac{\Gamma(\rho+q / c+1)}{\Gamma(\rho+1) \Gamma(q / c)} x^{\rho}(1-c x)^{q / c-1} \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1 / c)
$$

in other words, the exponential functional has the same law as $c^{-1} B(\rho+1, q / c)$, where $B(\rho+$ $1, q / c)$ is a beta random variable with parameters ( $\rho+1, q / c$ ).

We now consider the associated spectrally negative Levy process $Y$ whose Laplace exponent is written as follows:

$$
\psi(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\phi_{\rho}(\lambda)}=\frac{\lambda(\lambda+\rho)}{c(\lambda+\rho)+q}
$$

From (2.7), we deduce that the density of the exponential functional $I_{\psi}$ associated to $Y$ satisfies

$$
k_{\psi}(x)=\frac{\rho c^{\rho+1}}{c \rho+q} \frac{\Gamma(\rho+q / c+1)}{\Gamma(\rho+1) \Gamma(q / c)} x^{-(\rho+q / c)}(x-c)^{q / c-1} \quad \text { for } x>c .
$$

Thus, $I_{\psi}$ has the same law as $c(B(\rho, q / c))^{-1}$.
Example 2. Let $q=c=0, \beta>0$, and

$$
\bar{\Pi}(z)=\frac{\beta}{\Gamma(a+1)} \mathrm{e}^{-((s-1) / a) z}\left(\mathrm{e}^{z / a}-1\right)^{a-1}
$$

where $a \in(0,1]$ and $s \geq a$. Thus, the Laplace exponent $\phi$ has the form

$$
\phi(\theta)=\beta \frac{\theta \Gamma(a(\theta-1)+s)}{\Gamma(a \theta+s)}
$$

In this case, the equation (2.3) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(x) & =\frac{\beta}{\Gamma(a+1)} \int_{x}^{\infty}(y / x)^{-(s-1) / a}\left((y / x)^{1 / a}-1\right)^{a-1} k(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& =\frac{\beta x}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{0}^{\infty}(z+1)^{a-s} z^{a-1} k\left(x(z+1)^{a}\right) \mathrm{d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

where we are using the change of variable $z=(y / x)^{1 / a}-1$. After some computations, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(z)=\frac{\beta^{s / a}}{a \Gamma(s)} z^{(s-a) / a} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta z)^{1 / a}} \quad \text { for } z \geq 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $I$ has the same law as $\beta^{-1} \gamma_{s}^{a}$, where $\gamma_{s}$ is a gamma random variable with parameter $s$.

If $a=1$, then the process $\xi$ is a compound Poisson process of parameter $\beta>0$ with exponential jumps of mean $(s-1)^{-1}>0$. From (4.1), it is clear that the law of its associated exponential functional has the same law as $\gamma_{(s, \beta)}$, a gamma random variable with parameters $(s, \beta)$.

We now consider the associated spectrally negative Levy process $Y$ with Laplace exponent satisfying

$$
\psi(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\phi(\lambda)}=\frac{\lambda \Gamma(a \lambda+s)}{\beta \Gamma(a(\lambda-1)+s)} .
$$

The density of the exponential functional $I_{\psi}$ associated with $Y$ is given by

$$
k_{\psi}(x)=\frac{\beta^{(s-a) / a}}{a \Gamma(s-a)} x^{-s / a} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta / x)^{1 / a}}, \quad x>0
$$

We remark that when $a=1$, the process $\xi$ is a Brownian motion with drift, and that the exponential functional $I_{\psi}$ has the same law as $\gamma_{(s-1, \beta)}^{-1}$. This identity in law has been established by Dufresne [10].

Next, let $\rho>0$ and note that

$$
\phi_{\rho}(\theta)=\beta \frac{\theta \Gamma(a(\theta+\rho-1)+s)}{\Gamma(a(\theta+\rho)+s)} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{\rho}=\frac{\Gamma(a \rho+s)}{\beta^{\rho} \Gamma(s)} .
$$

According to Corollary 2.4, the density of the exponential functional of the subordinator with Laplace exponent given by $\phi_{\rho}$ satisfies

$$
h(x)=\frac{\beta^{(s+a \rho) / a}}{a \Gamma(a \rho+s)} x^{(a \rho+s-a) / a} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta x)^{1 / a}} \quad \text { for } x>0
$$

that is, it has the same law as $\beta^{-1} \gamma_{a \rho+s}^{a}$. In particular, the density of the exponential functional of its associated spectrally negative Levy process satisfies

$$
k_{\psi}(x)=\frac{\beta^{(s+a \rho-a) / a}}{a \Gamma(a(\rho-1)+s)} x^{-(a \rho+s) / a} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta / x)^{1 / a}}, \quad x>0
$$

Example 3. Finally, let $a \in(0,1), \beta \geq a, c=0, q=\Gamma(\beta) / \Gamma(\beta-a)$,

$$
\bar{\Pi}(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{(1+a-\beta) x / a}}{\left(\mathrm{e}^{x / a}-1\right)^{1+a}} \mathrm{~d} x \quad \text { and } \quad u_{q}(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta-1) z / a}\left(\mathrm{e}^{z / a}-1\right)^{a-1}
$$

The process $\xi$ with such characteristics is a killed Lamperti stable subordinator with parameters $(1 / \Gamma(1-a), 1+a-\beta, 1 / a, a)$; see Section 3.2 in Kuznetsov et al. [14] for a proper definition. From Theorem 1.3, the density of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ satisfies the equation

$$
k(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{x \mathrm{e}^{y}}{\Gamma(1-a)} \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{(1+a-\beta) x / a}}{\left(\mathrm{e}^{x / a}-1\right)^{1+a}} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\Gamma(\beta) \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta-1) z / a}}{\Gamma(\beta-a) \Gamma(a+1)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{y / a}-1\right)^{a-1}\right) k\left(x \mathrm{e}^{y}\right) \mathrm{d} y
$$

Because the foregoing equation seems difficult to solve, we use the method of moments to determine the law of $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$. We first note that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}^{n}\right]=\frac{n!\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(a n+\beta)}
$$

and that in the case where $\beta=1$, the exponential functional $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ has the same distribution as $X_{a}^{-a}$, where $X_{a}$ is a $\alpha$-stable positive random variable, that is,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda X_{a}}\right]=\exp \left\{-\lambda^{a}\right\}, \quad \lambda \geq 0
$$

see Section 3 in [3]. Recall that the negative moments of $X_{a}$ are given by

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{a}^{-n}\right]=\frac{\Gamma(1+n / a)}{\Gamma(1+n)}, \quad n \geq 0
$$

We now introduce $L_{(a, \beta)}$ and $A$, two independent random variables, whose laws are described as follows:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(L_{(a, \beta)} \in \mathrm{d} y\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{a \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta / a) X_{a}^{\beta}} ; \frac{1}{X_{a}^{a}} \in \mathrm{~d} y\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P}(A \in \mathrm{~d} y)=(\beta / a-1)(1-x)^{\beta / a-2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

It is important to note from Example 1, that $A$ has the same law as the exponential functional associated with the subordinator $\sigma$, which is defined as follows:

$$
\sigma_{t}=t+\beta / a-1, \quad t \geq 0
$$

On the one hand, it is clear that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[L_{(a, \beta)}^{n}\right]=\frac{a \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta / a)} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{a}^{-(a n+\beta)}\right]=\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta / a)} \frac{\Gamma(n+\beta / a)}{\Gamma(a n+\beta)},
$$

and on the other hand, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[A^{n}\right]=\frac{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\beta / a)}{\Gamma(n+\beta / a)}
$$

which implies that $I_{\mathbf{e}_{q}}$ has the same law as $L_{a, \beta} A$.
Finally, we numerically illustrate the density $k$ and its asymptotic behavior at 0 for some particular subordinators $\zeta$. First, we briefly discuss our method. Clearly, the equation (1.2) motivates the following straightforward discretization procedure. Approximate $k$ by a step function $\tilde{k}$, that is,

$$
\tilde{k}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{x \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)\right\}} y_{i}
$$

where $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}=1 / c$ forms a grid on the $x$-axis. The heights $y_{i}$ can then be found by iterating over $i=N-1, \ldots, 0$, thereby using (1.2) at each step, with $x=x_{i}$ and $k$ replaced by $\tilde{k}$. Two remarks are pertinent here.

First, because (1.2) is linear in $k$, the condition that $k$ is a density is required to uniquely determine the solution. This translates to the fact that the numerical procedure discussed above requires a starting point; that is, the value $y_{N-1}>0$ should be known. (Of course, starting with $y_{N}=0$ yields $\tilde{k} \equiv 0$.) We proceed by leaving $y_{N-1}$ undetermined, running the iteration so that
every $y_{i}$ in fact becomes a linear function of $y_{N-1}$, and then finding $y_{N-1}$ by requiring that $\tilde{k}$ integrates to 1 .

Second, even though any choice of grid would work in principle, we found one particularly useful. Indeed, if we set $x_{n}=(1 / c) \Delta^{N-n}$ for some $\Delta$ less than (but typically very close to) 1 , then equation (2.3) yields the following relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-c x_{n}\right) y_{n} & =\int_{x_{n}}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}\left(\log \left(y / x_{n}\right)\right) \tilde{k}(y) \mathrm{d} y=x_{n} \int_{1}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) \tilde{k}\left(x_{n} z\right) \mathrm{d} z \\
& =x_{n} \sum_{i=n}^{N-1} y_{i} \int_{1}^{\infty} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) \mathbf{1}_{\left\{x_{n} z \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)\right\}} \mathrm{d} z=x_{n} \sum_{i=n}^{N-1} y_{i} \int_{\Delta^{n-i}}^{\Delta^{n-i-1}} \bar{\Pi}(\log (z)) \mathrm{d} z
\end{aligned}
$$

The approximation yielded by this setup is very efficient compared with, for example, the approximation using a standard equidistant grid, because in this case we need evaluate only $N$ different integrals numerically ${ }^{1}$.

We consider two examples in which the density $k$ of $I$ is explicitly known. The first example is taken from Example 2 with $a=1, \beta=2$, and $s=3 / 2$. In this case, from (4.1), we have

$$
k(x)=\frac{2^{5 / 2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} x^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-2 x} \quad \text { for } x>0
$$

Figures $1-4$ show plots of the density $k$, the difference $\tilde{k}-k$ (where $\tilde{k}$ is obtained by the foregoing method with $\Delta=0.998$, yielding a grid of $\sim 4500$ points and a few minutes computation time on an average laptop), the ratio $k(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))$, and the ratio $\tilde{k}(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))$, respectively.


Figure 1. The density function $k$.

[^0]

Figure 2. The difference $\tilde{k}-k$.

The second explicit example is also from Example 2 with $\beta=1$ and $s=1$ and $a=1 / 2$. In this case, from (4.1), we have

$$
k(x)=2 x \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}} \quad \text { for } x>0
$$



Figure 3. The ratio $k(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log 1 / x)$.


Figure 4. The ratio $\tilde{k}(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log 1 / x)$.

It is important to note that $\bar{\Pi}$ satisfies (A) with $\alpha=1$. In this case, Figures $5-8$ show plots plots of the density $k$, the difference $\tilde{k}-k$, the ratio $k(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))$, and the ratio $\tilde{k}(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))$, respectively.

We next examine two examples in which no formula for $k$ is available. The first example is where $\xi$ is a stable subordinator with drift, that is, $c=1$ and $\Pi(\mathrm{d} x)=x^{-1-a} \mathrm{~d} x$, where we take


Figure 5. The density function $k$.


Figure 6. The difference $\tilde{k}-k$.
$a=1 / 4$. Figures 9 and 10 show plots of $\tilde{k}$ and the ratio $\tilde{k}(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log (1 / x))$, respectively. Note that this is an example of a Lévy measure satisfying (2.6) with parameter 0 .

The second example is a subordinator $\xi$ with zero drift and Lévy measure of the form $\Pi(\mathrm{d} x)=$ $x^{-1 / 4} \exp \left(-x^{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x$. Figure 11 shows $\tilde{k}$ for $n=1, n=2$, and $n=3$. Figure 12 shows the ratio $\tilde{k}(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log 1 / x)$ for the case where $n=1$, where (A) is satisfied with $\alpha=1$.


Figure 7. The ratio $k(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log 1 / x)$.


Figure 8. The ratio $\tilde{k}(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log 1 / x)$.


Figure 9. The density function $\tilde{k}$.


Figure 10. The ratio $\tilde{k}(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log 1 / x)$.


Figure 11. Density functions $\tilde{k}$.


Figure 12. The ratio $\tilde{k}(x) / \bar{\Pi}(\log 1 / x)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All computations were done in the open source computer algebra system SAGE: www.sagemath.org

